



Bum Rap: Tamarisk is bad habitat in lower CR (Ohmart et al.)

OR: Tamarisk is pretty good habitat in Grand Canyon (Brown et al.)

- * ~ 30 neotropical migrant bird spp. nest in it in GC
- * 80-95% of ~300 willow flycatcher nests in Arizona are in tamarisk
- * It is designated as potential critical habitat for endangered WIFL

Tamarisk supports >250 invertebrate herbivores in the Middle East, but <10 (mostly non-natives) in the SW



Non-native Manna leafhopper Opsius stactagalus



Native Schistocerca alutacea shoshone



Diorhabda Introduction Background

- Tamarisk leaf beetles (*Diorhabda* sp.) from the Middle East are moving into the Grand Canyon region from the north
- The beetle was selected as the preferred bio-control agent for tamarisk by the USDA Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) and the Agricultural Research Station (both Dept. of Agriculture), with U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service
- In 1999, after both National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and Endangered Species Act (ESA) compliance was completed, the beetles were released into cages in several locations across the Rocky Mountains and Great Plains; however, the beetle was not to be released within 200 miles of SWFL habitat
- The beetle was introduced by private individuals and southern Utah counties, and is rapidly moving southward towards the Grand Canyon region
- The beetle may eliminate a large portion of the riparian habitat in Grand Canyon

DIORHABDA LIFE CYCLE

- 300-500 eggs/female, hatch in 7 days
- Larvae live 14-27 days, 3 instars
- Pupation in a silk cocoon, 7 days
- Adults emerge, secrete pheromones to promote aggregation and mating;
 female lays eggs on tamarisk foliage every day, living 2-4 weeks
- •1-2 generations /yr depending on day length (greater at higher latitudes)







FAILED CAVEATS AND CONTAINMENT EFFORTS

Only the Fukang (China) and Chlik (Kazakhstan) strains were to be released. These strains were believed to only persist in areas above 38° north latitude (approximately at the upper end of Lake Powell) due to day-length requirements and would not be successful below that latitude.

No releases were to be made within 200 miles of tamarisk areas that supported endangered southwestern willow flycatcher nesting.

The ESA consultation covered the placement of the beetles in field cages, and the later removal of the cages to free the insects to surrounding areas. Coverage for active movement of the beetles from the experimental release areas was not included.

In 2004, the Delta, Utah, experimental release site was opened for collection of beetles for use by local agencies in Utah to introduce the beetles to non-Federal lands. Grand County, Utah, stocked beetles in at least two sites near Moab in 2004 and another three in 2005. Defoliation on a larger scale was observed in 2005. Since then, the beetles have moved down the Colorado River almost to the upper end of Lake Powell. In 2006, The City of St. George, Utah, released beetles along the Virgin River at 37° north latitude. By 2008, defoliation along the river and at a southwestern willow flycatcher breeding site was documented. The beetles have spread downstream on the Virgin River to at least Littlefield, Arizona, and are expected to reach Lake Mead in 2009 or 2010. Beetles from Moab down the Colorado River to Lake Powell have slowed their advance; however, entry into Arizona via Lake Powell/Colorado River is still likely to occur.



Volume 6 lesse 2 February 2009

"Not Wanted in Arizona: Tamarisk Leaf Beetles "





RAPID REDUCTION OF TAMARISK AND UNINTENDED CONSEQUENCES: THE SINGLE LARGEST ECO-IMPACT ON THE COLORADO RIVER SINCE GLEN CANYON DAM?

- Increased erosion
- Fire hazard
- Loss of SWFL and breeding habitat
- Loss of other ~ 30 Neotropical migrant birds that breed preferentially in tamarisk?
- Other wildlife (e.g., reptiles)
- Low tamarisk mortality?
- Rapid selection for resistance to beetles
- •Long-term consequences?



SCIENTIFIC AMERICAN, March 19, 2009

Are Beetles Imported into the U.S. to Kill Invasive Trees Doing Too Good a Job? Strategy that unleashed cedar leaf beetles on Tamarisk trees may have to be revised as the chompers spread to threaten endangered birds.

By Anne Minard

SALT CEDAR LEAF BEETLE: Is this little bug being too efficient?
BOB RICHARD/US ANIMAL AND PLANT HEALTH INSPECTION SERVICE
A foreign beetle imported to attack invasive trees in the U.S. Southwest may have brought its own culinary agenda. Researchers in Utah and Arizona are sounding the alarm about salt cedar leaf beetles, which were imported from Kazakhstan several years ago to control invasive tamarisk trees.

"Now that the beetle is spreading to large areas, we need to start looking for unexpected consequences of defoliation and death of the tamarisk," says Philip Dennison, a geographer at The University of Utah and lead author of a study warning of the unintended risks published this month in the online edition of the journal <u>Remote Sensing of Environment</u>.

Agriculture Department Forced to Re-examine Tamarisk Leaf-eating Beetle Program That Hurts Endangered Songbird

TUCSON, *Ariz*.— A lawsuit filed by the Center for Biological Diversity and the Maricopa Audubon Society on 17 June 2009 has forced the U.S. Department of Agriculture and its Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS) to reevaluate their tamarisk leaf-eating beetle program. APHIS-released beetles are contributing to the decline of the endangered <u>southwestern willow flycatcher</u> by defoliating the trees in which the flycatchers nest. The re-evaluation should lead to modification of the program and to emergency habitat restoration.

From:

http://www.biologicaldiversity.org/news/press_releases/2009/southwestern-willow-flycatcher-06-17-2009.html

WHAT TO DO IN THE CRE?

- Interagency coordination?
- Monitor, esp. habitats, shoreline vertebrates?
- Active restoration planning for native vegetation (e.g., GCWC Report)?
- Implementation of restoration efforts?

A LARGE AND GROWING BIBLIOGRAPHY ON THE RATIONALE AND IMPACTS OF TAMARISK LEAF BEETLE INTRODUCTION

BEAN, D.W.; KELLER, J.C. in prep.: Characteristics of diapause induction in populations of *Diorhabda elongata* collected from sites in Europe, Africa and Asia: Implications for tamarisk (*Tamarix* spp) biocontrol in North America. For publication in *Biological Control*.

COSSÉ, A.A.; BARTELT, R.J.; ZILKOWSKI, B.W.; BEAN, D.W.; PETROSKI, R.J. 2005: The aggregation pheromone of *Diorhabda elongata*, a biological control agent of saltcedar (*Tamarix* sp.): Identification of two behaviorally active components. *Journal of Chemical Ecology*, **31(3)**: 657–670. PDF DELOACH, C.J.; CARRUTHERS, R. 2004: Biological control programs for integrated invasive plant management. In: *Proceedings of Weed Science Society of America Meeting, Kansas City, MO. Weed Science Society of America (CD-ROM)*. 17 pp. PDF

DELOACH, C.J.; CARRUTHERS, R.I.; LOVICH, J.E.; DUDLEY, T.L.; SMITH, S.D. 2000: Ecological interactions in the biological control of saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.) in the United States: toward a new understanding. In N. R. Spencer (ed.), *Proceedings of the X International Symposium on Biological Control of Weeds, 4–14 July 1999, Montana State University.* Bozeman, Montana, pp. 819–873. PDF

DUDLEY, T.L. DELOACH, C.J. 2004: Saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.), endangered species, and biological weed control-can they mix? *Weed Technology*, **18(5)**: 1542–1551. PDF

GRESSITT, J.L.; KIMOTO, S. 1963: The Chrysomelidae (Coleopt.) of China and Korea, Part 2. *Pacific Insects Monograph*, **1B**: 301–1026.* LUCAS, P.H. 1849: Exploration scientifique de l'Algeria. *Zoologie (Paris)*, **2**: 542–546. (In French)

HUDGEONS, J.L.; KNUTSON, A.E.; HEINZ, K.M.; DELOACH, C.J.; DUDLEY, T.L.; PATTISON, R.R.; KINIRY, J.R. 2007: Defoliation by introduced *Diorhabda elongata* leaf beetles (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) reduces carbohydrate reserves and regrowth of *Tamarix* (Tamaricaceae). *Biological Control*, **43**: 213–221. PDF

LEWIS, P.A.; DELOACH, C.J.; KNUTSON, A.E.; TRACY, J.L.; ROBBINS, T.O. 2003: Biology of *Diorhabda elongata deserticola* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), an Asian leaf beetle for biological control of saltcedars (*Tamarix* spp.) in the United States. *Biological Control*, **27**: 101–116. PDF MILBRATH, L.; DELOACH, C.J. 2006: Host specificity of different populations of the leaf beetle *Diorhabda elongata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), a biological control agent of saltcedar (*Tamarix* spp.). *Biological Control*, **36**: 32–48. PDF

MILBRATH, L.; DELOACH, C.J.; TRACY, J.L; 2007: Overwintering survival, phenology, voltinism, and reproduction among different populations of the leaf beetle *Diorhabda elongata* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). *Environmental Entomology*, **36(6)**: 1356–1364.PDF

REICHE, L.; SAULCY, F. 1858: Espèces nouvelles ou peu connues de Colèoptères, recueillies par M.F. de Saulcy, member de l'Institute, dans son voyage en Orient, et dècrites par M.M. L. Reiche et Fèlicien de Saulcy. *Annales de la Société Entomologique de France, Sér.*, **3**, **6**: 5–60. (In French)

TRACY, J.L.; DELOACH, C.J. 1999: Biological control of saltcedar in the United States: Progress and projected ecological effects. In: Bell, C.E. (Ed.), Arundo and Saltcedar: The Deadly Duo, Proceedings of the Arundo and Saltcedar Workshop, 17 June, 1998. Ontario, California, 111–154. PDF TRACY, J.L.; ROBBINS, T.O. 2009: Taxonomic revision and biogeography of the Tamarix-feeding Diorhabda elongata (Brullé, 1832) species group (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae: Galerucinae: Galerucini) and analysis of their potential in biological control of Tamarisk. Zootaxa, 2101: 1-152. PDF WEISE, J. 1893: Chrysomelidae. In: Erichson, W. (ED.), Naturgeschichte der Insecten Deutchland, 61(73): 961–1161. (In German)