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ABSTRACT

SRH-2D, Sedimentation and River Hydraulics – Two-Dimensional model, is a two-dimensional (2D) hydraulic, sediment, temperature, and vegetation model for river systems under development at the Bureau of Reclamation. It was evolved from SRH-W which had the additional capability of watershed runoff modeling. This version, SRH-2D version 2, focuses specifically on 2D modeling of river systems for flow hydraulics; many features are improved from SRH-W. Future versions will add additional modules related to sediment, temperature and vegetation modeling. This report serves as the theory and user’s manual for SRH-2D v2. The manual provides an introduction to SRH-2D, its unique capability and its potential applications. Mathematical formulation, numerical methods, and solution algorithms are presented; sample calibration and verification cases are simulated and discussed; and a number of project applications are reported. The manual also provides training to prepare users to simulate river flows using SRH-2D. This manual should be sufficient for users to learn how to apply SRH-2D.

SRH-2D solves the 2D dynamic wave equations, i.e., the depth-averaged St. Venant equations. In terms of modeling capabilities, SRH-2D is comparable to many existing models such as RMA-2 (US Army Corps of Engineers 1996) and MIKE21 (DHI software 1996). SRH-2D possess a few boasting features. First, SRH-2D uses a flexible mesh that may contain arbitrarily shaped cells. In practice, the hybrid mesh of quadrilateral and triangular cells is recommended though purely quadrilateral or triangular elements may be used. A hybrid mesh may achieve the best compromise between solution accuracy and computing demand. Second, SRH-2D adopts very robust and stable numerical schemes with seamless wetting-drying algorithm. The resultant outcome is that few tuning parameters are needed to arrive at the final solution.

The first five Chapters are strongly recommended for new users. The rest of the chapters are for references only.
CHAPTER 1
INTRODUCTION

This document serves as the theory and user’s manual for SRH-2D version 2, a version released for flow simulation in rivers. Other modules for sediment, temperature and vegetation modeling will be released in the future. For watershed runoff modeling, users should use SRH-W version 1.

The manual is organized as follows: the background and model capabilities are discussed in this chapter; SRH-2D modeling procedure, model setup commands and tutorials are in chapter 2 through 5; details of the mathematical formulation, numerical methods and solution algorithms are presented in chapters 6 through 8; and sample verification cases are discussed and a number of practical application cases are reported in chapter 9 and 10. The manual intends to train new users to understand and use SRH-2D for modeling through self-learning.

1.1 Background

SRH-2D, Sedimentation and River Hydraulics – Two-Dimensional model, is a two-dimensional (2D) hydraulic, sediment, temperature, and vegetation model for river systems under development at the Bureau of Reclamation. Version 2 (v2) focuses specifically on modeling of river systems for flow hydraulics. SRH-2D v2 is an improvement from its predecessor SRH-W. However, SRH-W has the additional watershed runoff module and is still available on the same website. Future versions will add additional modules related to sediment, temperature and vegetation modeling.

SRH-2D v2 may be applied but not limited to:

- Flow in one or multiple streams covering the main channel, side channels, and floodplains;
- Flood routing and inundation mapping over any terrain;
- Flow around in-stream structures such as weirs, diversion dams, release gates, coffer dams, etc.;
- Flow over-spill over banks and levees;
- Flow over vegetated areas and interaction with main channel flows;
- Flow in reservoirs with known flow release; and
- Morphological assessment of bed erosion potential.

A number of papers may be referred to for additional information related to modeling and application issues, such as Lai (2005), Bountry et al. (2006), and Lai (2009a, b). Sample project applications are listed at the end of this manual.
Some project reports and papers are available for download at the website or upon request.

SRH-2D was developed with a vision to provide reliable solutions with reasonable turnaround time on a Personal Computer (PC). Advanced solution algorithms are adopted so that it might provide solutions with little parameter turning. SRH-2D is also developed with the objective that a 2D model does not have to be too complex to use. With SRH-2D, users do not have to memorize many commands; they may be guided by a preprocessor, an interactive user interface, through the partial-interface mode discussed later. Most user input errors may be automatically detected by the preprocessor so errors may be removed before carrying out the final analysis.

1.2 Modeling Concept and Capabilities

SRH-2D adopts a zonal approach for coupled modeling of main and side channels and floodplains. A river system is divided into modeling zones and each zone may be assigned with different parameters such as the Manning’s roughness coefficient and may be meshed differently. The zonal partition, along with the mesh layout, is illustrated in Figure 1. A river system is represented by a solution domain. The domain is then partitioned into zones (polygons). A zone may represent an arbitrary flow area. Typically, zones are delineated based on natural features such as the topography, vegetation, and bed roughness.

![Figure 1. Illustration of zonal partition and mesh layout](image)

One of the salient features of SRH-2D is the use of the hybrid mesh, which is based on the arbitrarily shaped element method of Lai (1997, 2000) for geometry representation. This unstructured hybrid meshing strategy is flexible that
facilitates the implementation of the zonal modeling concept. SRH-2D essentially allows the use of most existing meshing methods available, such as the structured curvilinear mesh (pure quadrilaterals), conventional finite element mesh (purely triangles), Cartesian mesh (purely rectangular or square mesh), and the hybrid mixed element mesh. Typical meshes used by SRH-2D are the hybrid mesh as shown in Figure 2 and Figure 3. More mesh examples may be found in Chapter 10.

Figure 2. A sample SRH-2D mesh: quadrilateral cells are used along the main channel and levees but mixed coarser cells are in the floodplains

Figure 3. A sample SRH-2D mesh that uses a combination of structured quadrilateral cells and unstructured mixed-shape cells
Major SRH-2D capabilities are listed below:

- 2D depth-averaged dynamic wave equations (the standard St. Venant equations) are solved with the finite-volume numerical method;
- Steady state (with constant discharge) or unsteady flows (with flow hydrograph) may be simulated;
- An implicit scheme is used for time integration to achieve solution robustness and efficiency;
- An unstructured arbitrarily-shaped mesh is used which includes the structured quadrilateral mesh, the purely triangular mesh, or a combination of the two. Cartesian or raster mesh may also be used. In most applications, a combination of quadrilateral and triangular meshes is the best in terms of efficiency and accuracy;
- All flow regimes, i.e., subcritical, transcritical, and supercritical flows, may be simulated simultaneously without the need for special treatments;
- Robust and seamless wetting-drying algorithm; and
- Solved variables include water surface elevation, water depth, and depth averaged velocity. Output variables include the above, plus Froude number, bed shear stress, critical sediment diameter, and sediment transport capacity.

SRH-2D is a 2D model, and it is particularly useful for problems where 2D effects are important. Examples include flows with in-stream structures, through bends, with perched rivers, with side channel and agricultural returns, and with braided channel systems. A 2D model may also be needed if one is interested in local flow velocities, eddy patterns, flow recirculation, lateral velocity variation, and flow over banks and levees.

1.3 Limitations

SRH-2D v2 has the following limitations:

- Only flow is modeled with version 2. Mobile-bed sediment transport and other modules are not available at present;
- Only the flow routing module has been developed and released. Users need to have access to other software for mesh generation and result post-processing. At present, SRH-2D v2 uses SMS, the Surface-Water Modeling System (http://www.aquaveo.com/), as its mesh generator, user interface and post-processing. Other graphical post-processing software may also be used such as ArcGIS and TECPLOT. Details are discussed later in this manual;
- Only personal computers with the Windows Operating System are supported.
1.4 Acquiring SRH-2D

The latest information about SRH-2D may be found on the Web by accessing http://www.usbr.gov/pmts/sediment and following the SRH-2D link on the left of the web page.

SRH-2D is under continuous development and improvement. Users are encouraged to check the SRH-2D web page for updates.

1.5 Disclaimer

SRH-2D and related information in the manual are developed for use at the Bureau of Reclamation. Despite many successful applications of SRH-2D to projects, Reclamation does not guarantee the performance of the program. Reclamation assumes no responsibility for the correct use of SRH-2D and makes no warranties concerning the accuracy, completeness, reliability, usability, or suitability for any particular purpose of the software or the information contained in this manual. SRH-2D is a program that requires engineering expertise to use and for correct result interpretation. Like other computer programs, SRH-2D is potentially fallible. All results obtained from the use of the program should be carefully examined by an experienced engineer to determine if they are reasonable and accurate. Reclamation will not be liable for any special, collateral, incidental, or consequential damages in connection with the use of the software.
CHAPTER 2
GETTING STARTED

This chapter provides an overview of the SRH-2D model and what users need to know before using SRH-2D. This chapter is recommended for new users.

2.1 Model Structure

Three programs are needed for a complete analysis with SRH-2D: (1) a mesh generation program; (2) the SRH-2D package; and (3) a post-processing graphical program.

Each of the three programs is described next.

2.1.1 Mesh Generation Program

SRH-2D does not contain the mesh generation program at present. Instead, SRH-2D relies on a third-party mesh generation program. Any 2D mesh generation program may be used since SRH-2D adopts the arbitrarily-shaped mesh system. In general, a combination of quadrilaterals and triangles is the most common mesh type used by SRH-2D. However, the purely quadrilateral mesh or triangular mesh may also be used. If a user has access to a particular mesh generator and would like it be included into SRH-2D, please contact the SRH-2D developer: Dr. Lai (ylai@do.usbr.gov).

The software SMS, the Surface-Water Modeling System, is the mesh generator supported by SRH-2D at present. SMS is a pre- and post-processor for surface water modeling and design, which may be obtained with a reasonably-priced license fee. The following website provides more information: http://www.aquaveo.com/. Only three SMS modules are needed to run SRH-2D: Map Module, Scatter module, and Mesh Module.

APPENDIX A provides some discussion on how to use SMS to prepare a mesh for SRH-2D. Users, however, should get training to use SMS directly from Aquaveo, LLC.

SMS may be used in one of two ways in conjunction with SRH-2D: (1) Partial-Interface mode: only the mesh and the boundaries (noderstrings) are inputs to SRH-2D; or (2) Full-Interface mode: all model inputs are set up within SMS in addition to mesh and boundaries. Partial-Interface mode is explained in Chapter 3 and Full-Interface mode is discussed in Chapter 4. For beginners, Full-Interface is
recommended; experienced users may use Partial-Interface as it offers more control on running the program.

2.1.2 SRH-2D Package

The SRH-2D release package consists of two programs: `srhpre` and `srh2d`

Srhpre is a text-based interactive user interface that guides a user to set up the SRH-2D simulation in an easy-to-understand manner. Its main use is when the Partial-Interface mode is chosen. It may be interpreted as a preprocessor to obtain an input file to run `srh2d`. The interface is designed such that a user does not need to memorize many input commands. The interface has the error checking capability so that errors may be detected before running SRH-2D program. A mesh file with the 2DM format (2D Generic Mesh format in SMS) should have been generated using SMS. The 2DM mesh file should contain at least the following information: (1) Partial-Interface mode: mesh elements with material type (E4Q and E3T cards), mesh nodes (ND cards), and nodestrings (NS cards); and (2) Full-Interface mode: mesh elements with material type, mesh nodes, nodestrings, Manning’s roughness coefficients, SRH-2D parameters, and boundary conditions (types and values).

Srh2d is the main solver that reads the input data generated by `srhpre`, carries out the simulation, and outputs the simulated results to data files in a format accessible to graphical post-processing packages. The output data files contain the final results and may be viewed and processed using the selected graphic packages such as SMS, TECPLLOT, or ArcGIS (see APPENDIX B for discussion).

Among output files, a restart (hot-start) file, named `_RSTn.dat` (n is an integer), is created whenever `srh2d` is run. The `_RSTn.dat` file contains all results and may be generated periodically during program execution. The restart file serves several important purposes:

1. In the event of a computer crash, the program may be continued from the previously saved restart file so that the simulation is not completely lost.
2. A time-consuming and computation intensive job may be run in several steps; a user has the opportunity to examine results at the end of each step to monitor the solution progress or check whether a steady-state solution has been achieved. The job may be continued to the next or final stage by restarting from the previous run using the `_RSTn.dat` file.
3. For some cases, solutions from the `_RSTn.dat` file of another run (but with the same mesh) may be used as the initial conditions to speed up the steady state modeling.
4. The `_RSTn.dat` file of a steady-state solution is often used as the initial conditions for an unsteady simulation.
The restart file is used in two ways. It is used for restart (hot-start), controlled by a parameter named $IREST$, so that previous simulation may be continued to completion without any changes in inputs. The parameter of $IREST$ may be conveniently set up using the SRH-2D dynamic input file as explained in APPENDIX C. In a second usage, a restart file from another solution but with the same mesh is used as an initial condition for a new simulation. For such a use, $IREST$ should not be used; the INITIAL_CONDITION parameter should be set up as explained later.

2.1.3 Post-Processing Program

SRH-2D outputs intermediate and final results to result files that may be viewed and examined by post-processing graphical programs. Three formats are currently available from SRH-2D: SMS, TECPLOT, and SRH. SMS output files are in the ASCII column format for all variables at the cell (element) centers. SMS format may be imported into SMS, ArcGIS, or EXCEL programs. TECPLOT format is a special form used for result post-processing by the TECPLOT program. SRH is the ASCII column format, similar to the SMS format, but all variables are at the mesh points (nodes). SRH format may also be processed by SMS, ArcGIS, or EXCEL. Note that all computed variables are located at cell centers and the SMS output format stores the naturally computed variables. The disadvantage, however, is that only the mesh center coordinates are the output although the input mesh provides nodal points, so that “half” of the boundary mesh is lost by this format. The SRH and TECPLOT formats store all variables at the mesh points (nodes) through interpolation from cell center to nodes. The output results are consistent with the input mesh points but interpolation process may introduce errors.

2.2 Solution Procedure

It is noted that SRH-2D solves all equations in SI units (e.g., distance and mesh coordinates are in meters, elevation and water depth in meters, velocity in m/s, stress in N/m$^2$, etc). For model input and results output, however, users have the option of using either SI unit or the ENGLISH unit. The specific unit requirement is clearly indicated during the model setup stage.

A typical solution process consists of four steps: mesh generation, preprocessing, model execution, and result post-processing. They are described below.

2.2.1 Mesh Generation

The first step in using SRH-2D is to prepare a 2D mesh using a mesh generation program. At present, SMS is supported by SRH-2D for mesh generation. Note
that only the Generic Mesh (2DM) coverage is used by SRH-2D which uses the linear elements. Once the mesh is generated, SRH-2D model parameters may also be setup within SMS under the Full-Interface mode. Mesh generation is discussed in Appendix A.

A mesh may be generated using any units, such as meter, foot, etc. The mesh unit (or scale) information is one of the input parameters used by SRH-2D for conversion.

2.2.2 Preprocessor Execution

Once a mesh is generated in SMS, srhpre may be started by clicking the executable. A window will pop up that allows an interactive session to begin. The window may be resized to fit the monitor size. A user will be asked to choose the preprocessing mode: Partial-Interface or Full-Interface.

If Full-Interface mode is chosen, the SMS 2DM mesh file, say casename.2DM, is the only input file. The srhpre will simply ask the input of the 2DM mesh file name. No more inputs are needed as all SRH-2D input parameters are set up within SMS. Detailed discussion is provided in Chapter 3.

The importance of 2DM file is as follows:

- It allows a user to keep a permanent record of case simulated. With casename.2DM file saved, a simulation may be repeated later if necessary to reproduce the model results.
- An experienced user may edit casename.2DM directly for simulation setup. This is particularly useful when only minor changes are needed for carrying out a parametric study, or input errors are to be corrected.

If Partial-Interface is chosen, srhpre allows a user to set up the simulation through an interactive menu-driven session, i.e., commands are entered one by one as directed by the preprocessor. In the beginning, a case name is needed so that all I/O files may use this case name as the identifier. In this manual, “case” is the case name for convenience of discussion unless otherwise stated. During the interactive preprocessing, all inputs are saved to a ‘script’ file named case_SOF.dat (Script Output File). This file may later be used to rerun srhpre by renaming case_SOF.dat to case_SIF.dat (Script Input File). The _SIF.dat file may be interpreted as the input file to run the preprocessor. The importance of _SIF.dat file is described below:

- A user may stop srhpre at any time during the preprocessing step; srhpre execution may then be continued later from the stop point of last preprocessing using the _SIF file. It is similar to the restart or hot-start procedure of the srh2d, and it may be necessary so that a user may take a break, or correct input errors.
• It allows a user to keep a permanent record of the simulation once completed. With _SIF.dat file saved, along with the mesh file, a simulation may be repeated later if necessary to reproduce the model results.
• An experienced user may edit the _SIF.dat file directly for simulation setup. This is particularly useful when only minor changes are needed for carrying out a parametric study, or input errors are to be corrected. Therefore, it is recommended that the script file case_SOF.dat be saved to case_SIF.dat immediately after it becomes available, as the _SOF.dat file will be over-written if srhpre is executed again.

The case_SIF.dat is an ASCII file; each line is designated as either a COMMENT line or a COMMAND line. A comment line starts with ‘//’. A user may add lines of comments to the script file to assist the interpretation of the input file. The command line is the actual input text which is read and processed by srhpre. A user is encouraged to do an exercise: run srhpre first with a sample session using the on-screen interactive option, and then examine case_SOF.dat to learn the script file format.

A list of all input commands used by the Partial-Interface mode is discussed in Chapter 4.

After a successful srhpre session, a data file, case.dat, is created which serves as the input file to the main solver srh2d. Sample execution of SRH-2D is presented in Chapter 5: Tutorial.

2.2.3 Main Solver Execution

A user may start executing the main solver by clicking srh2d20 in a PC window. A number of windows will pop up providing model solution progress and result monitoring. Detailed discussion may be found in Chapters 5 and 6.

2.3 SRH-2D Output Files

During and after the execution of SRH-2D, a number of output files are generated and important ones are described below:

2.3.1 _RES File

A file, named case RES.dat, is created by SRH-2D; this is the solution residual file that records the history of the solution process. Part of the information contained in the _RES file is also plotted on screen with a pop-up window for a graphical viewing of the solution progress. For each time step, residuals of each governing equation, normalized to order one, are recorded in the _RES file.
addition to the on-screen plot, users may also check the _RES file directly to monitor the solution progress. For example, it provides information on the status of solution convergence/divergence. For a steady state simulation, the solution is probably diverging if residual keeps increasing. Note that residuals are difficult to define and sometimes it may be impossible for them to drop to a low level. This mostly happens at a few points, due to wetting/drying cells, but the overall solution may have already been converged. Therefore, a better indicator for convergence is to use monitor points and monitor lines as discussed later.

2.3.2 _OUT File

The _OUT.dat file is an informational file, named case_OUT.dat, which records some basic messages about the simulation run, such as the cpu time, problem definition, etc.

2.3.3 _RSTn File

The _RSTn.dat file is the restart or hot-start file, named case_RSTn.dat, that may be used to continue the simulation from a previous execution. Details have been discussed in Section 2.1.2.

2.3.4 Result Output File

Result output files are used for graphical post-processing. Several formats may be used as discussed in APPENDIX B. Depending on the format selected, case_SMSn.dat is generated if SMS format is used, case_TECn.dat file is created if TECPLOT format is selected, and case_SRHn.dat is generated if SRH format is chosen.
CHAPTER 3

FULL INTERFACE MODE: USE SMS

This chapter provides instructions on how to use SMS as a full interface to SRH-2D, not just as a mesh generator. With the Full-Interface mode, the use of preprocessor, _srhpre_, is limited to reading the SMS 2DM file and checking potential errors. It is not the intent of this Chapter to train users to use SMS for mesh generation; for such a purpose users should consult the SMS user’s manual and resort to SMS training classes. This Chapter focuses on how to interface between SMS and SRH-2D. A general guide on mesh generation using SMS is in Appendix A. The SMS modules used by SRH-2D are the Map, Mesh, and Scatter modules.

3.1 SRH2D Template File

SMS may be configured, once for all, as the Full-Interface mode to run SRH-2D with the use of a template file. The template file, named srh2d-sms-template-v10.2DM, is supplied with the SRH-2D release package. It is intended for users who use SMS version 10 and above. Another template file, srh2d-sms-template-v8.2DM, is also supplied for users who use SMS version 9 and less. If a user runs into trouble with the version 10 (v10) template, the version 8 (v8) template may be used even if your SMS is version 10 and higher.

**Procedure to configure SMS as SRH-2D Full-Interface mode:** A once-for-all setup can be done to configure SMS as the Full Interface for SRH-2D with version 10 and higher. The setup process as follows:

- Create a Short Cut for the SMS on the desktop if a user does not already have;
- Right click SMS executable short cut; and choose “properties” by left clicking on the pop-up window (see Figure 4 for the pop-up window);
- Select “Shortcut” button; the content of “Target” already points to the SMS executable such as "C:\Program Files\SMS 10.0\sms100.exe"
- Append now the directory tree of the template file to the end of the “Target”. For example, if srh2d-sms-template-v8.2DM is placed in “C:\Program Files\SMS 10.0\” (the same location of sms100.exe), the modified “Target” content would be: "C:\Program Files\SMS 10.0 \sms100.exe" "C:\Program Files\SMS 10.0\srh2d-sms-template-v8.2DM"
- Click “Apply” and it is done (See Figure 4 for the final look).
If the SMS shortcut is opened, the SMS start-up window would now have SRH-2D set up as the default model with the Full-Interface mode. “SRH-2D” should be displayed on the top right corner of the window, next to “Elements”. Choose “U.S. Survey” if prompted and click “OK” if a complaining message shows up as they are irrelevant to SRH-2D.

Users also have the option to load the template file into SMS each time a mesh is to be generated for SRH-2D instead of the above once-for-all setup. The template file may be loaded into SMS at the beginning or after the 2D mesh has been generated. Load the template file into SMS through “File\Open” option. The template file should only be “Appended” to the existing mesh if after a mesh is generated. With the v8 template, “Switch Current Model …” under Data button should be done first to set the model as “Generic” before loading the template.

Three sets of SRH-2D inputs need to be assigned with the Full-Interface mode; they are carried out after the 2D mesh has been generated within the mesh
module. The three sets are: Global Parameters, Boundary Conditions, and Manning’s Coefficient. They are discussed next.

### 3.1.1 Global Parameters Setup

After the 2D mesh is generated, the option of "SRH-2D\Global Parameters …" becomes available for setup in the mesh module. These are the input parameters to run SRH-2D. With the v10 template, input parameters are divided into four groups: Global, Flow, Output, and Data_File_List. With the v8 template, no grouping is used. Each input is described below.

**Steady or Unsteady Solution:**

Entering _SRH-2D\Global Parameters ..., users are given the opportunity to select “Steady State” or “Dynamic” under the “General” button (group). “Steady State” should be selected if a steady state simulation is to be carried out with a constant flow discharge; “Dynamic” is chosen for an unsteady simulation when a flow hydrograph is supplied for discharge. “Time step” is in seconds and used for SRH-2D time integration; “Total time” is the total simulation time in hours. Both time step and total simulation time may also be set up with the _DIP.dat file as explained in Appendix C. It appears that users may not be able to set up time step and total simulation time if “Steady State” is chosen with some SMS versions. One may get around this by clicking “Dynamic” first, setting up time step and total simulation time, and then returning to “Steady State”. Or, users are encouraged to use the _DIP.dat file (dtnew and Total_Simulation_Time).

**Simulation_Description**

It provides users with the opportunity to describe the kind of simulation to be carried out. A text string is expected. It is under the “Global” group with v10 template file.

**Case_Name**

One word is used to define the case name of the simulation. For convenience of discussion, _case_ is assumed as the case name throughout this manual. Users may use any word for the case name (space, comma, and a few other special characters may not be used). The case name is used to identify all input and output files. For example, the input file created by _srhpre_ is named _case_.dat, the result output file is named _case_SMSn_.dat, restart file is named _case_RSTn_.dat, and so forth. A text input is expected. It is under the “Global” group with v10 template file.

**Mesh_Unit**

The mesh in SMS may use a number of unit systems for the horizontal and vertical coordinates. Six options are available: FEET, METERS, MILES,
INCHES, MILLIMETERS, and KILOMETERS. One option should be selected with v10 template and a text should be typed with v8 template. It is under the “Global” group with v10 template file.

**Solution Module**

This input parameter selects the module of SRH-2D to be activated. At present, only FLOW module is available. In the future, morphological, sediment, temperature, and vegetation modules will be added. One option should be chosen. It is under the “Global” group with v10 template file. (NOTE: This input is currently deleted since only FLOW module is available.)

**Turbulence Model**

Two turbulence models are available: the parabolic model (Zero-Equation) or the two-equation k-ε model (KE-Equation). The depth-averaged parabolic turbulence model calculates the turbulent viscosity with \( \nu_t = \alpha V_f h \), where \( V_f \) is the frictional velocity and \( h \) is the water depth. Coefficient \( \alpha \) ranges from 0.3 to 1.0 and the default value of 0.7 is used by SRH-2D. A user has the option to use a different \( \alpha \) with the _DIP.dat file. In general, however, results may not be sensitive to \( \alpha \) for most applications. The parabolic model works well for most field applications and requires less computing time and is recommended for use first. Also, it is not recommended to use turbulence model or the viscosity as a primary calibration parameter. Solution stability is not affected by the turbulence model. With v10 template, an option may be selected; but with v8 template a text input, ZERO or KE, is the expected input. The input is under the “Flow” group with the v10 template file.

**Initial Condition**

An initial condition is needed for all simulations. For a steady state simulation, the initial water surface elevation may be important in obtaining convergence. Two options are available: DRY or filename. If the text DRY is the input, the entire solution domain is assumed to be dry initially. Zero velocity and zero water depth are set up everywhere. This option works well for almost all cases and is recommended. A longer computing time may be needed for problems with a long river reach, multiple side channels, or a small flow discharge to attain a steady state solution. If the input is a text other than DRY, say case_init, the initial condition will be from the results contained in a restart (hot start) file named case_init_RST.dat. The RST file is typically from another SRH-2D run; but it is required that the same mesh is used for both simulations. One use of the RST option is to utilize a steady-state solution as the initial condition for an unsteady simulation; another usage is to use results at another flow discharge as the initial condition for the new steady state run. Note that the RST option here is different from the restart (hot start) option by setting irest=1 in the _DIP.dat file. The RST option is to set up the initial condition for the main dependent variables only.
while $\text{irest}=1$ is to set all variables and parameters to continue from a previous simulation to completion. A text input, DRY or a file name for an RST file, is the expected input. The input is under the “Flow” group with the v10 template file.

**Output_Format**

The output results may be written in different formats to output files. Three formats are available: SMS, TECplot or SRH. Both SMS and RSH is a column-based ASCII file that may be imported into SMS, ArcGIS, or Excel software for graphical viewing and processing. The difference is: SMS format stores all variables at the mesh element (cell) centers while SRH stores all variables at the mesh nodal points. TECplot format stores all variables at the mesh nodal points and the file may be imported into the TECPLOT graphical package for post-processing. For specifics of these formats, users may refer to APPENDIX B. An option may be selected with the v10 template but a text input, SMS, TEC, or SRH, is the expected entry with the v8 template. The input is under the “Output” group with the v10 template file.

**Output_Unit**

Two unit systems are available to output the simulation results: the English unit system (feet for elevation and depth, feet/second for velocity, lb/ft$^2$ for shear stress, ect.) or the International unit system (meter for elevation and depth, m/s for velocity, N/m$^2$ for shear stress, ect.). An option may be selected with the v10 template while a text input, EN or SI, is the expected input. The input is under the “Output” group with the v10 template file.

**Data_File_01 through Data_File_10:**

Users may specify up to 10 data file names which can be used to provide time series data and rating curve data. These data files are used for boundary condition setup, normally for unsteady simulation. New file names are entered consecutively in place of the default names ($\text{case}_\text{tsf}_i$.dat). Any default file names unmodified will be ignored by SRH-2D. Each data file entered will be read, processed, and stored as either a “time series function” or a “general function” (see Appendix D). They are to be used as boundary conditions at inlets and exits for an unsteady simulation, as explained in the boundary condition section below. Sample usage of the time series data includes: the flow hydrograph at an inlet and the water surface elevation versus time data at an exit. A general function may be a rating curve with the data of discharge versus stage at an exit. Caution: there are SPECIAL format requirements how these data should be stored in the data file and they are explained in Appendix D. The format should be strictly followed.
3.1.2 Boundary Condition Assignment

Boundaries are set up by creating “nodestring” in SMS. Normally, only inlets and exits need to be “nodestring-ed” since SRH-2D automatically sets up all exterior boundaries as no-slip walls. Each boundary (nodestring) should be assigned the boundary conditions with the “Assign BC …” option under the SRH-2D button in the mesh module. The procedure is as follows:

1. Create a nodestring for the exterior boundary where boundary conditions are to be specified. Note that all exterior boundaries have been automatically set up as no-slip walls by SRH-2D. Therefore, only those boundaries which are not walls need to be created. Normally, only inlets and exits need to be set up. Caution: only exterior nodes may be included in the nodestring for the exterior boundaries; one may ensure this with the “Control” key (instead of the “Shift” key) to create the nodestring.

2. Monitor lines are created with interior nodetsring. Users may create up to nine (9) monitor lines. Flow discharge through each monitor line is recorded in the output file _LN n.dat as a function of time. At least one monitor line is recommended near a major exit as the flow discharge at this location may serve as the best indicator that a steady state solution is achieved. The output file name is case_LNn.dat with n the monitor line ID.

3. Left-click to select a nodestring, exterior or interior, and the boundary conditions may be set up by selecting “Assign BC …” option (in the SRH-2D button or with right-click).

4. Monitor points are created by selecting a node and invoke ‘Assign BC …” option (in the SRH-2D button or with right-click). Up to 9 monitor points may be assigned. If no monitor points are selected, SRH-2D will issue a warning message and mesh cell number 1 is chosen. At the monitor point, simulated results are recorded as a function of time in the output file named case_PTi.dat (i is the i-th point). Results at monitor points may be plotted to show how variables are changing with time. The water surface elevation at the monitor point number 1 is displayed in the SRH-2D monitoring window.

Below is a description of all available boundary types and the boundary values that may be specified.

INLET-Q: It is an upstream inlet boundary with a subcritical flow. A flow discharge is specified along with the unit. For a steady state simulation, a real positive value is specified as the constant flow discharge. For an unsteady flow, a negative integer, -n, is usually the input though a constant discharge (but positive) may be used. The integer, n, refers to the time series function specified in the “DATA_FILE_0n” in the Global Parameter setup. The unit of the discharge and time in the data file is also specified: 1 for cfs and 2 for cms.
EXIT-H: It is a downstream exit boundary with a subcritical flow. Water surface elevation should be specified at this boundary along with the unit. For a steady state simulation, a real positive value is the input as a constant water surface elevation (therefore, elevation below zero is not allowed in SRH-2D). For an unsteady flow, a negative integer, \(-n\), is the input. The integer, \(n\), refers to the data function specified in the “DATA_FILE_0n” in the Global Parameter setup. If it is a time series function, time(hour) versus elevation(ft or meter) is the input data; if it is a general function, discharge(cfs or cms) versus elevation(ft or meter), the rating curve, is the data. The unit of the data is also specified: 1 for feet and cfs and 2 for meters and cms.

EXIT-Q: It is a downstream exit boundary with a known discharge where the water flows out of the domain. The input is the same as INLET-Q: discharge and unit. Caution: at least one EXIT-H is needed for modeling and EXIT-Q is intended only for cases with multiple exits. It is preferable that EXIT-H is the main exit and EXIT-Q is only a secondary exit with a small flow discharge.

INLET-SC: It is an upstream inlet boundary with a supercritical flow. Both discharge and water surface elevation is specified at the boundary. For a steady state simulation, two real positive values are specified: discharge and water elevation. For an unsteady flow modeling, a negative integer, \(-n\), may be the input for either discharge or elevation or both, though constant values may also be used. The integer, \(n\), refers to the time series function or the general function (rating curve) specified in the “DATA_FILE_0n” in the Global Parameter setup. The unit is also specified for the discharge and elevation: 1 for cfs and feet and 2 for cms and meters.

EXIT-EX: It is a downstream exit boundary where the flow is supercritical. No boundary conditions are needed at such exits, neither discharge nor water surface elevation.

WALL: It is a solid wall boundary on which velocity is zero. It may also be interpreted simply as the no-slip boundary. Wall is usually used for river banks and at domain edge whether wet or dry. No boundary conditions are needed at wall boundaries. By default, all exterior boundaries are set up as walls. Therefore, there is usually no need to set up WALL boundaries again.

SYMMETRY: It is a symmetry boundary, and it may be interpreted as a slip wall boundary. No boundary conditions are needed at symmetry boundaries.

MONITOR LINE: It is not a real boundary at all; it is an internal polyline which may be used to monitor the total flow discharge through it. At least one monitor line near a major exit is recommended as the discharge through the line is often the best indicator that a steady state solution is attained. Note that a monitor line can not be placed on the exterior boundary. If the internal monitor line is setup
mistakenly as one of the boundary types above, an error message will be issued by SRH-2D.

**MONITOR POINT**: Monitor points are created by selecting a node and invoke ‘Assign BC …’ option (in the SRH-2D button or with right-click). Up to 9 monitor points may be assigned. If no monitor points are selected, SRH-2D will issue a warning message and mesh cell number 1 is chosen. At a monitor point, simulated results are recorded as a function of time in the output file named `case_PT{i}.dat` (i is the i-th point). Results at monitor points may be plotted to show how variables are changing with time. The water surface elevation at the monitor point number 1 is displayed in the SRH-2D monitoring window. No extra information is needed for a monitor point.

### 3.1.3 Manning’s Roughness Coefficient

The third and final setup is the distribution of the Manning’s roughness coefficient. The option of “SRH-2D\Material Properties …” in the mesh module is used for the purpose. A constant roughness value may be assigned to each material created during mesh generation. If users forget to set up Manning’s roughness coefficient, zero roughness is set up by SMS and SRH-2D would issue a warning.
CHAPTER 4
PARTIAL-INTERFACE MODE: INPUT COMMANDS

The Partial-Interface mode may be used if you encounter difficulty in using the Full-Interface mode as we cannot change the way SMS operates or the SMS version you use. Actually, the Partial-Interface mode gives users more control and is equally easy to use.

With the Partial-Interface mode, SMS is only used to generate a “Generic” mesh (2DM mesh) along with the boundary (nodestring) information. The rest of the solution parameters are set up with the SRH-2D preprocessor. As a result, even the 2DM file generated for the Full-Interface mode may be used for the Partial-Interface mode as the mesh and boundaries information is in the Full-Interface mode 2Dm file.

This chapter lists all input commands used by srhpre if the Partial-Interface mode is chosen. It serves as a complete reference of SRH-2D input commands. Description of input commands is listed in the order of their appearances in srhpre. Note that not all commands will appear during an actual session as only relevant commands would appear. Recall that the case_SOF.dat file would be created while running srhpre. Users have the option to terminate srhpre at any time, rename the file to case_SIF.dat, and re-run srhpre from last stop with SIF file.

In the discussion below, some input parameters are mandatory while others are optional. Optional input parameters are put in brackets, e.g., [PARA]; default values are assigned if optional parameters are not given.

INPUT METHOD SELECTION

Upon starting srhpre, users are prompted to choose the “Input Method Selection”. Two options are available: enter integer 1 if the interactive input is used. This is a must if a user does not have the _SIF.dat input file; enter integer 2 if users already have the script input file, case_SIF.dat. Note that srhpre will always create a new script output file named, case_SOF.dat. It is recommended that the script output file be renamed to case_SIF.dat after completion of srhpre so that it may be used as the script input file for future runs.

CASE NAME

One word is used to define the simulation case. For convenience of discussion, case is assumed as the case name and this convention is adopted throughout this
manual. Users may use any word for the case name. Once entered, case name is used to identify all input and output files for the simulation by SRH-2D. For example, the script input file should be named case_SIF.dat, the script output file is case_SOF.dat, the input file created by the preprocessor srhpre is named case.dat, and so forth.

**SIMULATION DESCRIPTION**

This provides users with an opportunity to describe the kind of simulation users are carrying out. Description is limited to one line currently; CARRIAGE-RETURN (Enter) key may be entered if users do not want to have any description. The description will appear in the case_SOF.dat file.

**RESULT OUTPUT FORMAT AND UNIT**

This command specifies the result output file format and units for writing the 2D simulation results to output files. Three formats are currently available: SMS, TECplot, or SRH. SMS and SRH output files are in the ASCII column format for all variables and they may be imported into SMS, ArcGIS, or EXCEL programs. The only difference between SMS and SRH is that SMS stores all data at the mesh cell (element) centers while SRH stores all data at the mesh nodes. TECplot format is a special form used for result post-processing by the TECPLOT program. Note that all computed variables are located at cell centers and the SMS format stores the naturally computed variables. The disadvantage, however, is that only the mesh center coordinates are the output although the input mesh provides nodal points, so that “half” of the boundary mesh is lost by this format. The SRH and TECPLOT formats store all variables at the mesh points (nodes) through second-order interpolation from cell center to nodes. The output results are consistent with the input mesh points but interpolation process may introduce errors.

Two unit systems are available to output the results: SI or EN. Enter SI for SI unit system (e.g., meters for elevation and depth, m/s for velocity, and N/m² for shear stress); and enter EN for English unit system (e.g., ft, ft/s, and lb/ft²). The file name of the result output is dependent on the format chosen; examples are: case_SMSn.dat, case_TECn.dat, or case_SRHn.dat (n is a consecutive integer starting from 1).

**RESULT OUTPUT AT MONITORING POINTS**

This command allows users to specify up to nine (9) monitoring points where simulated results will be recorded at each time step; that is, time series of output variables are available at monitoring points. X and Y coordinates of each point are given to define the points. The point files are named as case_PTn.dat with n the point number.
Note that the output variable list and the associated variable units at the monitor points may be obtained by examining the headers of the file. Note that only the first monitor point, PT1, is displayed in the monitoring window.

**COORDINATES OF MONITORING POINTS**

This command specifies the planview coordinates, $X$ and $Y$, of all monitoring points. A total of $2n$ real values are needed as inputs where $n$ is the total number of monitoring points. The unit of $(X,Y)$ should be the same as that of the mesh. If $(X,Y)$ point is outside the solution domain, the preprocessor will issue a warning message and this point is ignored. It is recommended that the mesh generation program, such as SMS, be used to determine the coordinates of the monitoring points.

**STEADY-OR-UNSTEADY-SIMULATION**

SRH-2D always runs in the unsteady mode. If UNSTEADY is chosen, however, results are time accurate meaning all intermediate results are solved correctly and this should be the option for an unsteady flow modeling. With the STEADY option, only the final steady solutions are sought and the intermediate results may not be right. The STEADY option should be selected if only final solutions are sought as it takes much less computing time.

**TIME-STEP-AND-SIMULATION-TIME**

Three parameters are needed related to time step and simulation time:

$$TSTART\ DT\ T\_SIMU$$

where:

- $TSTART$: a real value for the simulation starting time in HOUR (0.0 is typically used unless there is a good reason otherwise).
- $DT$: a real value for the time step in SECOND for the simulation.
- $T\_SIMU$: Total simulation time in HOUR to be performed.

Note that both $DT$ and $T\_SIMU$ may be dynamically changed using the special _DIP.dat file during the SRH-2D execution. See APPENDIX C for more information.

**TURBULENCE-MODEL-SELECTION**

This command selects the turbulence model to be used. Two models are available: PARA or KE, where PARA=depth-averaged parabolic turbulent model and KE=standard $k-$ two-equation model.
The depth-averaged parabolic turbulence model (Rodi, 1993) calculates the turbulent viscosity with \( \nu_t = \alpha V_* h \) where \( V_* \) is the frictional velocity and \( h \) is the water depth. Coefficient \( \alpha \) ranges from 0.3 to 1.0, and a default value of 0.7 is used by SRH-2D. Users have the option to use a different \( \alpha \) using the _DIP.dat file. In general, final results may not be sensitive to \( \alpha \) for most applications and it should not be used as a primary calibration parameter or for promoting numerical stability.

Other turbulent models have been added and only the standard k-\( \varepsilon \) two-equation model is available. The usefulness of more sophisticated turbulence models other than the parabolic model is yet to be understood. We do not find the turbulence model critical in most applications.

**INITIAL-CONDITION-SETUP-METHOD**

An initial condition is needed for all simulations. For a steady state simulation, an initial condition for the water surface elevation is important in obtaining convergence or for reduction of computer time. This command allows users to choose one of the several methods to set up the initial condition and they are described below:

- **DRY Bed Setup:** The entire solution domain is dry initially. Zero velocity components and zero water depth are set up everywhere. This option works almost for all cases and is recommended. A longer computing time may be needed for problems with a long river reach, multiple side channels, or small flow discharge to a steady state solution.

- **RST Setup:** The initial condition is from another SRH-2D solution with the same mesh. One use is to utilize a steady-state solution as the initial condition for an unsteady simulation; another usage is to use water surface elevation from another run as the initial condition. Often, results at other flow discharges may also be used as the initial condition for a steady state simulation.

**INITIAL-CONDITION: RESTART FILE NAME**

This command is to specify the restart file name.

**MESH-UNIT**

The mesh generated in SMS may be in any unit system. Available options include: FOOT, METER, INCH, MILE, KM, and MM. A text input, one of the listed options, is the expected input and the default is "FOOT".

**IMPORT-MESH-FILE**
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This command specifies the file name and the format of the mesh for importing
the mesh into SRH-2D. At present, only one mesh format is used: \textit{SMS-2DM}.

\textbf{MANNING’S ROUGHNESS INPUT METHOD}

This command determines the input method to be used to specify the distribution
of Manning’s roughness coefficient over the solution domain. Two options are
available: (1) enter 1 if a constant Manning’s coefficient is used over the entire
solution domain; and (2) enter 2 if different Manning’s coefficients are specified
over different material types of the \textit{SMS-2DM} mesh generated using \textit{SMS}.

\textbf{CONSTANT MANNING’S COEFFICIENT}

This command appears if option 1 is selected in the \textit{MANNING’S-
ROUGHNESS–INPUT-METHOD} command. A constant Manning’s coefficient
is provided over the entire solution domain.

\textbf{NUMBER OF MATERIAL TYPES USED}

This command appears only if option 2 is used in the \textit{MANNING-
ROUGHNESS–INPUT-METHOD} command. The total number of material types
is provided to specify the Manning’s coefficient.

\textbf{MANNING COEFFICIENT FOR MATERIAL TYPE}

This command appears only if option 2 is used in the \textit{MANNING-
ROUGHNESS–INPUT-METHOD} command. It specifies the Manning’s
roughness coefficient for each material type in the \textit{NUMBER-OF-MATERIAL-
TYPE} command.

\textbf{SPECIFY-BOUNDARY-CONDITION\text-emph{for-NodeString}}

Each NODESTRING created in SMS is treated as either an exterior boundary
segment on which boundary conditions are to be set up or an internal boundary
which is set up as a monitor line. The order SRH-2D uses in this command is the
same as the order used to create the NODESTRING within SMS. If a user is
unsure of which NODESTRING is prompted by SRH-2D, the start and end node
IDs printed out by SRH-2D may be used to find the NODESTRING in SMS
(node ID may be displayed within SMS).

All exterior boundaries of the solution domain have been automatically set up as a
WALL boundary by SRH-2D, a default boundary type. The above default setting
may be adequate for most boundary segments. Any segments that are different
from a WALL should be included in the NODESTRING lists within SMS and
their type and boundary values are set up here with the command. This way, the
default WALL setting is overwritten.
The first input required is the boundary type and one of the following is used:

**INLET-Q**  **EXIT-H**  **EXIT-Q**  **INLET-SC**  **EXIT-EX**  **WALL**  **SYMM**  **MONITOR**

where:

- **INLET-Q**: A subcritical upstream inlet boundary. Flow discharge is to be specified later;
- **EXIT-H**: A subcritical downstream exit boundary. A water surface elevation is to be specified later;
- **EXIT-Q**: An exit through which flow discharge is known. Flow discharge is to be specified on this boundary. Note that this boundary is intended as a secondary exit in addition to the primary exit. At least one primary exit is required with the **EXIT-H**;
- **INLET-SC**: A supercritical upstream inlet boundary. Both a flow discharge and a water surface elevation are specified at the boundary later;
- **EXIT-EX**: A supercritical exit boundary. Neither discharge nor water elevation is required at this boundary;
- **WALL**: A no-slip solid wall boundary on which velocity is zero;
- **SYMM**: A symmetry boundary. This boundary type may also be regarded as a slip wall boundary; and
- **MONITOR**: This is not a boundary condition at all. It is an internal boundary through which flow discharge is computed and outputted to `case_LNi.dat` files ($i$ is an integer referring to the order of MONITOR lines).

Only the first four boundary types need further boundary value information and they are discussed below.

**SPECIFY-BOUNDARY-VALUES**

Flow discharge and/or water surface elevation should be further given for the following four boundary types: **INLET-Q**, **EXIT-H**, **EXIT-Q**, and **INLET-SC**.

They are listed below:

INLET-Q and EXIT-Q need two inputs: \( Q \) UNIT
EXIT-H needs two inputs: \( W \) UNIT
INLET-SC needs three inputs: \( Q \) \( W \) UNIT

\( Q \) is flow discharge and may take one of two forms: A constant real value or a file name containing a time series hydrograph. A steady state simulation uses the constant discharge; and an unsteady simulation usually uses a time series hydrograph. The hydrograph file should have the following format: (1) the first three rows are comment lines; and (2) starting from the 4\textsuperscript{th} row, two values are...
given for time (hour) and discharge (Q). The unit of Q is specified by the UNIT option as explained below.

W is the water surface elevation (or stage) at the boundary and may take one of the two forms: a constant value or a file name containing W data. If a constant is given, an unchanging water elevation is assumed. The W file may be a time series data (time~W) or a rating curve. The first row of the file indicates the data type: RATING_CURVE text string on the first row indicates that a rating curve is used; otherwise, a time series is assumed. A time series file has the following format: (1) the first three rows are comment lines; and (2) starting from the 4th row, two values are given for time (hour) and water surface elevation (unit is as discussed below). For a rating curve file: (1) first row has the text RATING_CURVE; (2) 2nd and 3rd rows are comment lines; and (3) starting from the 4th row, two values are given for Q and W (their unit is discussed below). It is cautioned that a rating curve may cause solution instability for some cases. If it occurs, one may convert the rating curve to time series data given a know hydrograph.

UNIT is the unit of Q and W and may be SI or EN. If SI is the input, Q has the unit of m3/s and W has the unit of meters. If EN is given, Q is ft3/s and W is feet.

**INTERMEDIATE RESULT OUTPUT CONTROL**

This command is to specify the time interval, INTERVAL, in HOUR for intermediate result output. For every INTERVAL hours, two files will be generated by `srh2d`: the restart file (case_RStn.dat) and the result output file (e.g., case_SMSn.dat or case_TECn.dat; n is the integer indicating n-th output). If INTERVAL<0, no intermediate output will be made and only the final results will be the output.

Intermediate output is recommended as it saves a copy of the restart file (_RStn.dat file) so that simulation may be continued in the event of a computer crash. In addition, it offers users an opportunity to examine and view the results to monitor the solution progress.

The parameter INTERVAL may also be set up and changed dynamically using the _DIP.dat file discussed in APPENDIX C.
CHAPTER 5
TUTORIAL

This chapter provides tutorial cases so that solution processes and procedures may be reviewed with SRH-2D, and inputs and outputs may be familiar to users. The primary purpose is to train users to use SRH-2D with simple examples. The solution process for more complex problems is similar. All tutorial cases come with SRH-2D distribution package, and users are encouraged to run these tutorial cases to get hands-on experience. This chapter is particularly recommended for new users.

5.1 A Subcritical Flow in a Channel

Test case one of MacDonald (1996), a 1D subcritical flow, is used here to serve as a tutorial case to learn how to run SRH-2D. Despite its simplicity, the case covers essential procedures to run SRH-2D; it also trains users getting familiar with the model. More details of the tutorial case may be found later in Section 9.1 1D Subcritical Flow.

Step 1 of the analysis is collection of data relevant to the flow simulated. For the case, these include the solution domain (1000m-by-10m size), bed elevation (provided in analytical form by MacDonald 1996), flow discharge at the inlet boundary (15 m$^3$/s), water elevation at the exit (0.7484 m), and Manning’s roughness coefficient (0.03).

Step 2 involves the generation of a mesh for the solution domain. For the case, a simple 80-by-3 Cartesian mesh cells are generated in SMS as shown in Figure 5. If the Full-Interface mode is used, solution parameters, boundary conditions, and Manning’s roughness coefficient are also set up in SMS. The 2DM mesh file, named tutorial_c1.2DM, enclosed with the distribution package, is the Full-Interface version output from SMS with the v10 template. It may be used for the tutorial exercise. Users are encouraged to view the 2DM file using a text editor such as Nodepad. It may be seen that the bottom section contains the general parameters, boundary conditions, and the Manning’s coefficient. For an experienced user, 2DM file can be modified and edited directly to set up and/or change the parameters. It is cautioned that some SMS versions may have a bug that the Manning’s coefficient for the last material type may be missing in the 2DM file. Therefore, a user is recommended to check whether all Manning’s coefficients have been set up properly in the 2DM file.

Users are encouraged to run srhpre also with the Partial-Interface mode; the same 2DM file can be used since information at the bottom sections containing the
general parameters, boundary conditions, and the Manning’s coefficient are ignored by SRH-2D. Users are encouraged to try both modes to see whether the same solutions are obtained.

Figure 5. An 80-by-3 mesh used for simulation for test 1 of MacDonald (1996)

Step 3 is to run the preprocessor, *srhpre*, to develop an input file for SRH-2D solver. Only the 2DM file name, tutorial_c1, needs to be the input for the Full-Interface mode. With the Partial-Interface mode, however, inputs are guided by *srhpre*. The case name used in this manual is “c1” and the time step of the simulation is 5 seconds. After completion of *srhpre*, a script file named c1_SOF.dat is created that may be renamed as c1_SIF.dat. The c1_SIF.dat script file may be used to run *srhpre* again with the “Use a Script Input File” preprocessing mode instead of the interactive mode (users are encouraged to try this!). The c1_SIF.dat script file is also included in the distribution package and is not listed here. Once done, a data file, c1.dat, is created which is an ASCII input file for use by *srh2d*.

Before executing the solver *srh2d*, a user is encouraged to create the Dynamic Input (DIP) file, c1_DIP.dat, as described in Appendix C. The DIP file used for the tutorial case is included in the distribution package and may be used for other applications (The “a_turb = 0.0” should be deleted though).

Step 4 is to run the solver, *srh2d*, by simply clicking the executable. Upon entering the case name, c1 for the tutorial case, a window will pop up and several sub-windows will be displayed so that the solution process may be monitored. Figure 6 shows these windows for the tutorial case. One window is the master window (named srh2d) that displays the total cpu time for the simulation. The “Residual Monitoring” window may be used to check the solution process, e.g., the total simulation time (hrs) that has been solved. It also provides residual reduction of the solver (residual may be interpreted as relative error of two velocity equations). Ideally the residual would decrease to a low level. For most natural flows, however, residual will usually stall at a constant value or fluctuate around a mean wildly. This is due to a number of causes. For most cases, it is due
to small areas with wetting and drying. For the tutorial case, the residual stalled due to the near zero lateral velocity component of the lateral velocity equation. The individual residual of u- and v-equations may be found in the output file: c1_RES.dat. Note that the residual is not a good indicator to determine whether a steady state solution has been achieved. Such a check is better done with the flow discharge through a monitor line near the exit. A third window, Water Surface Elevation, displays the calculated water surface elevation at the user-specified monitor point if such a point is supplied. The water elevation at monitor point number 1 is displayed if multiple points may be supplied. A good monitoring point is where the flow is hard to reach. Results at each monitor point are stored in the output file: c1_PT1.dat, c1_PT2.dat, etc.

Figure 6. A sample window session running `srh2d20` for the tutorial case 1

The final step is to post-process the results. A number of output files are generated after completion of `srh2d` execution. They are discussed below for the tutorial case:

**c1_DIA.dat:** This is the DIAgnostic file with potential errors and warnings about the execution. It helps to identify causes of execution error or failure. For the tutorial case, the file is almost empty indicating a successful run of the model.
**c1_OUT.dat:** This is the OUTput file providing general model information such as input parameters, mesh size, list of restart file numbers and their corresponding time, cpu time of the simulation, ect.

**c1_PT1.dat:** It provides time history of output variables at the user-specified monitor points. The file is in column format and may be imported into Excel for plotting. Output from the file may be used to decide if a steady state solution has been obtained or to examine unsteady change of a variable.

**c1_RES.dat:** It contains residuals of continuity and two velocity equations during the solution. Note that residuals are normalized. For example, the ResH is normalized by the maximum of the first three iterations. Therefore, residual of 1.0 is obtained for ResH if NITER is less than 4 in the c1_DIP.dat file.

**c1_RST1.dat:** This is the restart file in an unformatted binary form and its intended use has been discussed in CHAPTER 2.

**c1_TEC1.dat:** It is the result output file with TECPLOT format (see APPENDIX B for more discussion on data format). The output file contains all output variables at the user-specified time and over all mesh points. It may be imported into the corresponding graphical software (TECPLOT for the tutorial case) for viewing and processing of the simulation results.

In general, only the result output file (e.g., c1_TEC1.dat) is important. The restart file is also important if a user intends to continue the simulation from last simulation. A simple way of restarting the run, say up to 10 hours, consists of three steps: (1) set IREST=1 and TOTAL_SIMULATION_TIME=10 in the c1_DIP.dat file; (2) rename c1_RST1.dat to c1_RST.dat; and (3) click the srh2d to run the model.

For the tutorial case, simulated water surface elevation and water depth are processed using TECPLOT and results are compared with the analytical solution of MacDonald (1996) in Figure 9 and Figure 10.
CHAPTER 6
GOVERNING EQUATIONS

This chapter presents all governing equations used by SRH-2D. It provides theoretical information and is intended for reference only.

6.1 Flow Equations

Most open channel flows are relatively shallow and the effect of vertical motions is negligible. As a result, the most general flow equations, the three-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations, may be vertically averaged to obtain a set of depth-averaged two-dimensional equations, leading to the following well known 2D St. Venant equations:

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial hU}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hV}{\partial y} = e \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial hU}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial hUU}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hVU}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial hT_{xx}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hT_{xy}}{\partial y} - gh \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} - \tau_{bx} + D_{xx} + D_{xy} \tag{2}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial hV}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial hUV}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hVV}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial hT_{xy}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial hT_{yy}}{\partial y} - gh \frac{\partial z}{\partial y} - \tau_{by} + D_{yx} + D_{yy} \tag{3}
\]

In the above, \( t \) is time, \( x \) and \( y \) are horizontal Cartesian coordinates, \( h \) is water depth, \( U \) and \( V \) are depth-averaged velocity components in \( x \) and \( y \) directions, respectively, \( e \) is excess rainfall rate, \( g \) is gravitational acceleration, \( T_{xx}, T_{xy} \), and \( T_{yy} \) are depth-averaged turbulent stresses, \( D_{xx}, D_{xy}, D_{yx}, D_{yy} \) are dispersion terms due to depth averaging, \( z = z_b + h \) is water surface elevation, \( z_b \) is bed elevation, \( \rho \) is water density, and \( \tau_{bx}, \tau_{by} \) are the bed shear stresses (friction). Bed friction is calculated using the Manning’s roughness equation as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\tau_{bx} \\
\tau_{by}
\end{bmatrix} = \rho C_f \begin{bmatrix}
U \\
V
\end{bmatrix} \sqrt{U^2 + V^2}; \quad C_f = \frac{gn^2}{h^{1/3}} \tag{4}
\]

where \( n \) is the Manning’s roughness coefficient.

Turbulence stresses are based on the Boussinesq equations as:
where \( \nu \) is kinematic viscosity of water; \( \nu_t \) is turbulent eddy viscosity; and \( k \) is turbulent kinetic energy.

A turbulence model is used to compute the turbulent eddy viscosity. Two turbulence models may be used (Rodi 1993): the depth-averaged parabolic model and the two-equation \( k-\varepsilon \) model. With the parabolic model, \( \nu_t = C_f U_* h \) in which \( U_* \) is the bed frictional velocity. The model constant \( C_f \) ranges from 0.3 to 1.0, and a default value of \( C_f = 0.7 \) is used by SRH-2D; but its value may be changed using the _DIP.dat file described in APPENDIX C. Note that terms with \( k \) are dropped in Equation (5).

If \( k-\varepsilon \) model is used, turbulent viscosity is calculated with \( \nu_t = C_{\mu}k^2/\varepsilon \). Two additional equations are solved as follows:

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( h \nu_t \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h \nu_t \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( h \nu_t \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_x} \frac{\partial k}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( h \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_y} \frac{\partial k}{\partial y} \right) + P_h + P_{kb} - h\varepsilon \tag{6}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( h \varepsilon \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h \nu_t \varepsilon \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( h \nu_t \varepsilon \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( h \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_x} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left( h \frac{\nu_t}{\sigma_y} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial y} \right) + C_{\varepsilon_{1k}} \frac{\varepsilon}{k} P_h + P_{db} - C_{\varepsilon_{2h}} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{k} \tag{7}
\]

The following definitions and coefficients are used (Rodi 1993):

\[
P_h = h \nu_t \left[ 2 \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial x} \right)^2 + 2 \left( \frac{\partial V}{\partial y} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial V}{\partial x} \right)^2 \right] \tag{8}
\]

\[
P_{\text{kb}} = C_f^{-1/2} U_*^3; \quad P_{\text{db}} = C_{\alpha} C_{\varepsilon_{2h}} C_{\mu}^{1/2} C_f^{-3/4} U_*^4 / h \tag{9}
\]

\[
C_{\mu} = 0.09, \quad C_{\varepsilon_{1k}} = 1.44, \quad C_{\varepsilon_{2h}} = 1.92, \quad \sigma_x = 1, \quad \sigma_y = 1.3, \quad C_{\alpha} = 1.8 - 3.6 \tag{10}
\]

The terms \( P_{\text{kb}} \) and \( P_{\text{db}} \) are added to account for the generation of turbulent energy and dissipation due to bed friction for the case of uniform flows.
Some discussion of the Manning’s roughness coefficient is in order. With SRH-2D, the Manning’s coefficient is a local constant that does not change with flow, but it may be spatially distributed depending on bed types. In addition to the Manning’s coefficient, another representation of flow roughness is the equivalent roughness height of the bed. For a loose bed, the equivalent roughness height and Manning’s coefficient should include both effects of the bed material grain size and bed form. These two parameters may be converted from each other using the Strickler’s formula:

$$n = \frac{k}{d_{90}^{1/6}}$$

where \( A \) is in the neighborhood of 26 depending on sediment size, bed form, vegetation, and channel morphology. For flat beds, \( k_n \) may take \( 2d_{90} \), based on the diameter of the bed material. A somewhat higher value, e.g., \( 3d_{90} \), was used by van Rijn (1987). For sand-wave beds, \( k_n \) is related to the wave height.
CHAPTER 7

INITIAL AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

SRH-2D needs proper initial and boundary conditions for simulation. This chapter discusses the type of initial and boundary conditions used.

7.1 Initial Conditions

Initial conditions, i.e., values of velocity components ($U$ and $V$), water surface elevation ($Z$), and turbulence kinetic energy and dissipation rate ($k$ and $\varepsilon$) if the $k-\varepsilon$ turbulence model is used, are needed to start the SRH-2D simulation. Several ways are offered by SRH-2D to set up the initial conditions.

If only steady state solutions are sought, initial water surface elevation is the only initial variable to be set up, as zero velocity components and small values of $k$ and $\varepsilon$ values are automatically set up by SRH-2D. Initial water surface elevation may be set up in several ways including: dry bed setup and restart setup. Readers are referred to Chapter 3 for information for each setup method.

For an unsteady simulation, restart setup is recommended. For example, the initial conditions are from a SRH-2D steady state solution.

7.2 Inlet Boundary

An inlet boundary is defined as a boundary segment on the solution domain where flow is expected to move into the domain. Multiple inlets may be specified for a solution domain. At an inlet, velocity is specified by a user. If sediment transport is also simulated, sediment concentrations at the inlet are also needed.

At present, a total discharge, $Q$ in m$^3$/s, through an inlet is specified. This discharge may be a constant value for steady state simulation or a hydrograph ($Q$ versus time) for an unsteady simulation. SRH-2D calculates a distribution of the velocity vector along the inlet in such a way that the total discharge is satisfied. Three approaches may be used for the velocity distribution at the inlet such that the total specified discharge is satisfied.

**Uniform-v Approach:** A constant velocity magnitude is imposed at the inlet with flow direction normal to the inlet boundary.
**Uniform-q Approach:** A constant unit discharge, \( q = \nu h \), is assumed with flow direction normal to the inlet boundary (\( \nu \) is velocity magnitude and \( h \) is water depth at inlet).

**Conveyance Approach (to be completed):** A conveyance parameter is calculated first such that 
\[
K = Q / \sum h_i^{5/3} \Delta s_i
\]
with \( i \) = the \( i \)-th boundary face of the inlet, \( h_i \) = the water depth, \( n_i \) = the Manning’s coefficient, and \( \Delta s_i \) = \( i \)-th boundary face distance. The velocity at each face \( i \) is then calculated as 
\[
v_i = Kh_i^{2/3} / n_i.
\]
The flow direction is assumed to be normal to the inlet boundary.

Currently, flow direction not normal to the inlet boundary is not available.

If flow is subcritical at an inlet, water surface elevation at the inlet is not needed and is calculated by SRH-2D assuming that the water surface slope normal to the inlet is constant.

If flow is supercritical at an inlet, water surface elevation at the inlet is also needed as the boundary condition. Currently, only a constant water surface elevation may be specified.

If the \( k-\varepsilon \) turbulence model is used, \( k \) and \( \varepsilon \) values are needed at an inlet. For most applications, they are not important and have negligible impact on the flow pattern (Rodi, 1980). SRH-2D, therefore, uses default values based on the relationships proposed by Rastogi and Rodi (1978) at an inlet: 
\[
\nu_i = 0.0765 U \nu h \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = g U h \nu S,
\]
in which \( S \) is energy slope and \( U \nu \) is the friction velocity. Or, the following \( k \) and \( \varepsilon \) values are specified at an inlet: 
\[
k = 0.922 U^2 / h \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = U^2 / h
\]
with \( U^2 := gn^2 (U^2 + V^2) / h^{1/3} \).

### 7.3 Exit Boundary

An exit boundary is defined as a boundary segment on the solution domain where flow is expected to move out of the domain. Multiple exits may be specified for a problem.

At an exit where the flow is expected to be subcritical, only the water surface elevation is needed as the boundary condition. No boundary conditions are needed if the flow at the exit is supercritical. SRH-2D will automatically calculate the variables at the exit assuming that derivatives of variables normal to the boundary are constant.

Several ways may be used to supply the water surface elevation condition at a subcritical exit and they are discussed below.
**User-Specified Water Surface Elevation:** A user may specify the water surface elevation (stage), steady or a time series, directly at an exit. The elevation may be constant or a function of time. Often, the water surface elevation at the exit is either from measured data or from a 1D model such as HEC-RAS or SRH-1D that includes a much larger spatial area of the simulation river reach.

**Rating Curve Approach:** A user may provide rating curve data that gives the water surface elevation at the exit as a function of the flow discharge. SRH-2D calculates the water surface elevation at the exit automatically based on the flow discharge through the exit.

**Free Surface Elevation (to be completed):** For unsteady simulation such as flood propagation, the free surface elevation condition may be used in which the water surface elevation at the exit is calculated by SRH-2D using the kinematics condition, i.e., \( \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \sqrt{gh} \frac{\partial h}{\partial n} = 0 \) (\( n \) here refers to the unit normal at the boundary). Exit-averaged quantities are used to obtain the average water surface elevation across the exit.

### 7.4 Solid Wall Boundary

Solid wall boundaries may represent banks and islands. No-slip condition is assumed at solid walls for the dynamic wave solver. However, a solid wall is equivalent to symmetry boundary for the diffusive wave solver. Therefore, only no-slip wall condition for the dynamic wave solver is described below.

The wall function approach is employed at a solid wall. With this approach, the flow shear stress vector at a wall boundary face is calculated as follows:

\[
(\tau_{wx}, \tau_{wy}) = \rho C_{\mu}^{1/4} k_p^{1/2} \frac{\kappa(U,V)}{\ln(Ey_p^+)}
\]

with \( y_p^+ = C_{\mu}^{1/4} k_p^{1/2} y_p / \nu \) for \( k - \varepsilon \) model; and

\[
(\tau_{wx}, \tau_{wy}) = \rho U_* \frac{\kappa(U,V)}{\ln(Ey_p^+)}
\]

with \( y_p^+ = U_* y_p / \nu \) for depth-averaged parabolic model (zero-equation model).

In the above, \( C_{\mu} \) is defined in equation (10), \( k_p \) is turbulent kinetic energy at cell \( P \) that contains the wall boundary face, \( \kappa = 0.41 \) is the von Karman constant, \( y_p \) is normal distance from cell center \( P \) to a wall, and \( E \) is a constant.
For the $k - \varepsilon$ model, $P_h$ and $\varepsilon$ at cell P are fixed and calculated as:

\[
P_h = \tau_w^2 / (\kappa \mu P^+_{y_p}) \quad \text{and} \quad \varepsilon = C_{\mu}^{3/4} k_{p}^{3/2} / (\kappa y_{ip})
\]

(14)

At solid walls, the gradient of sediment concentration in the direction normal to a wall is set to zero.

### 7.5 Symmetry Boundary

Symmetry boundary is defined as a boundary where all dependent variables are extrapolated assuming the gradient of the variable in a direction normal to the boundary is zero except the velocity component normal to the boundary. The velocity component normal to the boundary is set to zero.

Note that the symmetry boundary acts the same as the slip wall boundary condition within SRH-2D.
CHAPTER 8

NUMERICAL METHODS

This chapter provides the numerical methods and algorithms used to solve the governing equations in Chapter 5.

8.1 Flow Solver

8.1.1 Discretization

The 2D depth-averaged equations in (1) to (3) may be written in tensor form as

\[
\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h \vec{V}) = 0
\]  

(15)

\[
\frac{\partial (h \vec{V})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h \vec{V} \vec{V}) = -gh \nabla z + \nabla \cdot \left( h \vec{T} \right) - \frac{\vec{r}_b}{\rho}
\] 

(16)

where \( \vec{V} \) is the mean velocity vector, \( \vec{T} \) is the 2nd-order tensor of turbulence stress with its component defined in equation (5), \( \vec{r}_b \) is the bed shear stress vector, and \( \rho \) is the fluid density. Note that rainfall is omitted as it is used only for the diffusive wave equation.

The governing equations are discretized using the finite-volume approach, following the work of Lai (1997, 2000) and Lai et al. (2003a). The solution domain is covered with an unstructured mesh with each mesh element assuming arbitrarily shaped polygons. Most commonly used polygons are triangles and quadrilaterals. All dependent variables are stored at the geometric center of a polygon. The governing equations are integrated over a polygon using the Gauss theorem. As an illustration, consider the general convection-diffusion equation representative of all governing equations:

\[
\frac{\partial h \Phi}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h \vec{V} \Phi) = \nabla \cdot (\Gamma \nabla \Phi) + S^*_a
\]  

(17)

Here \( \Phi \) denotes any dependent variable, a scalar or a component of a vector, \( \Gamma \) is the diffusivity, and \( S^*_a \) is the source/sink term. Integration over an arbitrarily shaped polygon P shown in Figure 7 leads to:
\[
\frac{(h_{p}^{n+1} \Phi_{p}^{n+1} - h_{p}^{n} \Phi_{p}^{n}) A}{\Delta t} + \sum_{\text{all-sides}} \left( h_{c} V_{c} \frac{\bar{s}}{s} \right) \nabla \Phi_{c}^{n+1} = \sum_{\text{all-sides}} \left( \Gamma_{c}^{n+1} \nabla \Phi_{c}^{n+1} \cdot \vec{n} \right) + S_{\Phi} \quad (18)
\]

In the above, \( \Delta t \) is time step, \( A \) is polygon area, \( V_{c} = \overline{V_{c}} \cdot \vec{n} \) is the velocity component normal to the polygonal side (e.g., \( P_{1}P_{2} \) in Figure 7) and is evaluated at the side center \( C \), \( \vec{n} \) is polygon side unit normal vector, \( \bar{s} \) is the polygon side distance vector (e.g., from \( P_{1} \) to \( P_{2} \) in Figure 7), and \( S_{\Phi} = S_{\Phi}^{*} A \). Subscript \( C \) indicates a value evaluated at the center of a polygon side and superscript, \( n \) or \( n+1 \), denotes the time level. In the remaining discussion, superscript \( n+1 \) will be dropped for ease of notation. Note that the first-order Euler implicit time discretization is adopted. The main task of the discretization is to obtain appropriate expressions for the convective and diffusive fluxes at each polygon side.

![Figure 7. Schematic illustrating a polygon P along with one of its neighboring polygons N](image)

Discretization of the diffusion term, the first term on the right hand side of equation (18), needs further attention. The final expression for \( \nabla \Phi \cdot \vec{n} \) can be written as:

\[
\nabla \Phi \cdot \vec{n} \frac{\bar{s}}{s} = D_{n} \left( \Phi_{N} - \Phi_{p} \right) + D_{c} \left( \Phi_{P_{2}} - \Phi_{P_{1}} \right)
\]

where
\[ D_n = \frac{|\vec{s}|}{(|\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2|) \cdot \vec{n}}; \quad D_c = -\frac{(|\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2|) \cdot \vec{s} / |\vec{s}|}{(|\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2|) \cdot \vec{n}} \] 

(20)

In the above, \( \vec{r}_1 \) is the distance vector from P to C and \( \vec{r}_2 \) is from C to N. The normal and cross diffusion coefficients, \( D_n \) and \( D_c \), at each polygon side involve only geometric variables; they are calculated only once in the beginning of the computation.

Calculation of a variable, say \( Y \), at the center C of a polygon side is discussed next. This is an interpolation operation used frequently for a number of variables. In the next, a second-order accurate expression is derived. As shown in Figure 7, a point I is defined as the intercept point between line PN and line \( P_1P_2 \). A second-order interpolation for point I gives:

\[ Y_I = \frac{\delta_1 Y_N + \delta_2 Y_P}{\delta_1 + \delta_2} \] 

(21)

in which \( \delta_1 = \vec{r}_1 \cdot \vec{n} \) and \( \delta_2 = \vec{r}_2 \cdot \vec{n} \). \( Y_I \) may be used to approximate the value at the side center C. This treatment, however, does not guarantee second-order accuracy unless \( \vec{r}_1 \) and \( \vec{r}_2 \) are parallel. A truly second-order expression is derived as:

\[ Y_C = Y_I - C_{\text{side}} (Y_{P_2} - Y_P) \] 

(22a)

\[ C_{\text{side}} = \frac{(|\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2|) \cdot \vec{s} / |\vec{s}|}{(|\vec{r}_1 + \vec{r}_2|) \cdot \vec{n}} \] 

(22b)

The extra term in the above is similar in form to the cross diffusion term in equation (20).

\( \Phi_C \) in the convective term in equation (18) needs further discussion. If the second-order scheme is applied directly, spurious oscillations may occur for flows with a high cell Peclet number (Patankar 1980). Therefore, a damping term is added to the second-order scheme similar to the concept of artificial viscosity. The damped scheme is derived by blending the first-order upwind scheme with the second-order central difference scheme and can be expressed as

\[ \Phi_C = \Phi^{CN}_C + d(\Phi^{UP}_C - \Phi^{CN}_C) \] 

(23)

where

\[ \Phi^{UP}_C = \frac{1}{2}(\Phi_P + \Phi_N) + \frac{1}{2} \text{sign}(V_C)(\Phi_P - \Phi_N) \] 

(24)
and $\Phi_c^{CN}$ is the second-order interpolation scheme, equation (22a). In the above expression, $d$ defines the amount of damping used. In most applications, $d = 0.2 \sim 0.3$ is used.

With expressions for the diffusion and convection terms, the final discretized governing equation for an element $P$ can be organized as the following linear equation

$$A_P \Phi_P = \sum_{nb} A_{nb} \Phi_{nb} + S_{diff} + S_{conv} + S_\Phi$$

(25)

where “nb” refers to all neighbor polygons surrounding the polygon $P$. The coefficients in this equation are:

$$A_{nb} = \Gamma_c D_n + \text{Max}(0, -h_c V_c |\vec{s}|)$$

(26a)

$$A_P = \frac{h^2_P A}{\Delta t} + \sum_{nb} A_{nb}$$

(26b)

$$S_{diff} = \frac{h^2_P A}{\Delta t} + \sum_{all\ sides} \Gamma_c D_c (\Phi_{p2} - \Phi_{p1})$$

(26c)

$$S_{conv} = \sum_{all\ sides} (h_c V_c |\vec{s}|) \left\{ (1 - d) \left[ \frac{\delta_1}{\delta_1 + \delta_2} - \frac{1 - \text{sign}(V_c)}{2} \right] (\Phi_{N'} - \Phi_P) \right\}$$

$$- \sum_{all\ sides} (h_c V_c |\vec{s}|) \left[ (1 - d) C_{side} (\Phi_{p2} - \Phi_{p1}) \right]$$

(26d)

8.1.2 Side Normal Velocity Calculation and Elevation Correction Equation

For a non-staggered mesh, a special procedure is required to obtain the polygon side normal velocity that is used to enforce the continuity equation. Otherwise the well-known checkerboard instability may appear (Rhie and Chow 1983). Here the procedure proposed by Rhie and Chow (1983) and Peric et al. (1988) is adopted. That is, the normal velocity is obtained by averaging the momentum equation from element centers to element sides. A detailed derivation is omitted, but interested readers are referred to the previous work (e.g., Rhie and Chow 1983, Peric et al. 1988, and Lai et al. 1995). It is sufficient to express the final side normal velocity as follows:

$$V_c = \vec{\n} + \left( \frac{A}{A_P} \right) <gh\nabla z > \vec{\n} - \left( \frac{A}{A_P} \right) gh\nabla z \cdot \vec{\n}$$

(27)
where “< >” stands for the interpolation operation from mesh element center to side as expressed in (22a). When a vector appears in the interpolation operation, the interpolation is applied to each Cartesian component of the vector.

The velocity-water surface elevation coupling is achieved using a method similar to the SIMPLEC algorithm (Patankar 1980). In essence, if the elevation from a previous time step or iteration, \( z^* \), is known, an intermediate velocity field, may be obtained by solving the linearized momentum equation:

\[
A_p \tilde{V}_p^* = \sum_{nb} A_{nb} \tilde{V}_N^* - a \nabla z^* + \tilde{S}_Y
\]  

(28)

where \( a \) is a constant. Next, we seek corrections of velocity \( \tilde{V}^* = \tilde{V}^{n+1} - \tilde{V}^* \) and elevation \( z^* = z^{n+1} - z^n \) such that the momentum equation is satisfied, i.e.,

\[
A_p \tilde{V}_p^{n+1} = \sum_{nb} A_{nb} \tilde{V}_N^{n+1} - a \nabla z^{n+1} + \tilde{S}_Y
\]  

(29)

Or, the following correction equation is obtained:

\[
A_p \tilde{V}_p^* = \sum_{nb} A_{nb} \tilde{V}_N^* - a \nabla z^*
\]  

(30)

With the SIMPLEC algorithm, the above may be approximated as

\[
\tilde{V}_p^* = -\frac{a}{A_p - \sum_{nb} A_{nb}} \nabla z^*
\]  

(31)

Substitution of the above into the continuity equation (15) leads to the following elevation correction equation:

\[
\frac{z^*}{\Delta t} + \nabla \cdot (\tilde{V}^* z^*) = \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{ah}{A_p - \sum_{nb} A_{nb}} \nabla z^* \right) - \nabla \cdot \left( h^n \tilde{V}^* \right)
\]  

(32)
The above elevation correction equation may be solved to obtain \( z' \) and then (31) is used to obtain the velocity correction. A number of iterations are usually needed within each time step if the flow is unsteady; but one iteration is used for a steady state simulation.

### 8.1.3 Summary of Solution Procedure

Governing equations are solved in an equation-by-equation manner. In a typical iterative solution process, momentum equations are solved first assuming known water surface elevation and turbulent viscosity given at the previous time step. The newly obtained velocity is used to calculate the normal velocity at mesh element sides in equation (27). This side velocity will usually not satisfy the continuity equation. Therefore, the pressure correction equation (32) is solved and (31) is used to obtain a new elevation and new velocity. After the elevation correction equation, other scalar equations, such as turbulence and sediment equations, may be solved. This completes one iteration of the solution cycle. The above iterative process may be repeated within one time step until a preset residual criterion for each equation is met. Then the solution would advance to the next time step. For a steady state simulation, one iteration is usually used as time-accurate intermediate solutions are usually not sought. In this study, the residual of a governing equation is defined as the sum of absolute residuals at all mesh elements.

The implicit solver requires the solution of non-symmetric sparse matrix linear equations in (25). Direct solvers are impractical for calculations with a lot of mesh elements because of excessive demand for computer memory and CPU time. On the other hand, the choice of iterative solvers is limited for the unstructured mesh. In SRH-2D, the standard conjugate gradient solver with ILU preconditioning is used (Lai 2000).
CHAPTER 9
VERIFICATION CASES

This chapter focuses on verification of SRH-2D, as a numerical technique or model has to be tested to lend credence to its validity and application range. A number of verification and test cases are presented, from simple cases with analytical solutions to those with experiment data, and some are compared with published numerical results of other models. The next chapter presents application and validation cases when SRH-2D is applied to practical projects.

9.1 1D Subcritical Flow in a Channel

MacDonald (1996) presents a number of non-trivial analytical test cases for 1D steady St. Venant equations. Test case 1 is a subcritical flow that is selected to test the dynamic wave solver of SRH-2D. Case 1 has a horizontal extent of 1000m by 10m with a variable bed slope. A steady flow discharge of 15 m³/s is maintained at the upstream boundary while a water depth of 0.7484m is maintained at the exit. The Manning’s roughness coefficient used for simulation is 0.03 and the Froude number of the flow ranges from 0.40 to 0.77.

An 81-by-4 mesh is used to simulate the case as shown in Figure 8 with the boundary conditions of discharge at the inlet and water depth at the exit.

Simulated water surface elevation and water depth are compared with the analytical solution of MacDonald (1996) in Figure 9 and Figure 10. Also, the diffusive wave solver is used to simulate the case and results from the diffusive wave solver are also shown. It is seen that the simulated water surface elevation
and water depth are almost the same as the analytical solution, while the water depth result of the diffusive wave solver produces slight errors.

Figure 9. Comparison of simulated water surface elevation with analytical solution for test case 1 of MacDonald (1996)

Figure 10. Comparison of simulated water depth with analytical solution for test case 1 of MacDonald (1996)
9.2 1D Transcritical Flow in a Channel

Test case 6 of MacDonald (1996) is a transcritical flow that has a smooth transition from subcritical to supercritical flow with a hydraulic jump. It is selected to test the dynamic wave solver of SRH-2D. The test case has a horizontal extent of 150m by 10m with a variable bed slope. A steady subcritical flow discharge of 20 m$^3$/s is maintained at the upstream boundary while a water depth of 1.7m is maintained at the exit. The Manning’s roughness coefficient is 0.031752.

A 121-by-4 uniform Cartesian mesh is used that is similar to the 1D subcritical flow case in Figure 8. A discharge is specified at the subcritical inlet while water depth is specified at the subcritical exit.

Simulated results are plotted in Figure 11 that show the 3D view of the bed and water surface elevations with color of the water surface representing the Froude number. It is seen that the subcritical flow at the inlet quickly transitions to supercritical, and a hydraulic jump is then formed downstream. Simulated water surface elevation and water depth profiles are compared with the analytical solution of MacDonald (1996) in Figure 12 and Figure 13. Also, the diffusive wave solver is used to simulate the case and results from the diffusive wave solver are also shown. It is seen that the dynamic wave solution compares well with the analytical including capturing of the hydraulic jump. On the other hand, the diffusive wave missed the hydraulic jump completely and a smooth transition of the water surface elevation is simulated. This indicates that the diffusive wave solver is inappropriate for modeling hydraulic jumps. However, the simulated results of the diffusive wave solver is checked against the analytical solution of the diffusive wave equation. It is shown that a comparison between the model and the analytical results are quite good.
Figure 11. 3D view of bed elevation and simulated water surface elevation for test case 6 of MacDonald (1996)

Figure 12. Comparison of simulated water surface elevation with analytical solution for test case 6 of MacDonald (1996)
9.3 2D Diversion Flow in a Channel

A channel bifurcation occurs often in open channel flows, and flow features are complex in the diversion area. This test case simulates a channel diversion case measured and studied by Shetta and Murthy (1996). It serves as a 2D test case with flow separations.

The solution domain consists of a main channel, with 6.0m in length ($X$ direction) and 0.3m in width ($Y$ direction), and a side channel normal to the main channel at $X=3.0$m. The side channel has a length of 3.0m and width of 0.3m. A quadrilateral mesh system was used to cover the solution domain and the portion of the mesh at the diversion is shown in Figure 14, along with the $X$ and $Y$ coordinate system. Overall, the main channel has a mesh of 120-by-30 elements and the side channel has 40-by-30 mesh elements.
Figure 14. Part of the quadrilateral mesh used for simulation of the diversion flow

The simulated case has a main channel flow discharge of 0.00567 m$^3$/s, water surface elevation of 0.0555m at the exit of the main channel ($X=6.0m$), and water surface elevation of 0.0465m at the exit of the side channel ($Y=3.3m$). The Manning’s roughness coefficient is 0.012 and the parabolic turbulence model is used for the simulation.

Simulated results are compared with measured data of Shettar and Murthy (1996) for the water surface elevation along both walls of the main and side channels (Figure 15 and Figure 16) and depth averaged velocity profiles in both channels (Figure 17 and Figure 18). The water surface elevation in the main channel is predicted well but discrepancy is noticeable in the side channel. Also, the velocity near the bottom wall ($Y=0$) of the main channel is over-predicted. These discrepancies, mostly associated with areas of flow separation, are due to the inability of the turbulence model to predict the size of flow separation accurately. Results may be improved with the use of the $k$-$\varepsilon$ turbulence model instead of the parabolic model used.
Figure 15. Comparison of water surface elevation along both walls of the main channel for the Shettar and Murthy (1996) case.

Figure 16. Comparison of water surface elevation along both walls of the side channel for the Shettar and Murthy (1996) case.
Figure 17. Comparison of x-velocity (U) profiles at selected x locations in the main channel for the Shettar and Murthy (1996) case.

Figure 18. Comparison of y-velocity profiles at selected y locations in the side channel for the Shettar and Murthy (1996) case.
SRH-2D has been applied to many projects for practical applications and this chapter focuses on presentation and discussion of selected applications and validation cases. Each case discussed has a separate project report that provides much more detail and the user is referred to the respective reports for further information.

10.1 Savage Rapids Dam Removal Study

This section presents application of SRH-2D to a dam removal study, the Savage Rapids Dam. The Savage Rapids Dam is located in southwestern Oregon on the Rogue River, five miles upstream from the city of Grants Pass. It is owned and operated by Grants Pass Irrigation District and has been used for diverting irrigation flows since 1921. The full removal of the dam and construction of a new pumping station are under design by the Bureau of Reclamation, due to lack of compliance of the existing fish ladders and screens to the current National Marine Fisheries Service criteria. SRH-2D is used to simulate various scenarios to provide design data and assistance. Only the calibration and verification study is reported below. Detailed application results of SRH-2D may be found in the project report by Bountry and Lai (2006). Additional discussion of results may be found in Bountry et al. (2006).

10.1.1 Topography and Mesh

The simulation reach extends from the Savage Rapids Park, 0.5 mile upstream of the dam, to about 0.45 mile downstream of the dam. The topography for the reach is reconstructed from a number of surveys conducted between 1999 and 2005 (Bountry and Randle 2003) (see Figure 19). A quadrilateral mesh is developed that consists of 20,145 elements and 20,468 nodes with a typical element size of 5 by 12 feet. A 3D view of the topography and part of the mesh is displayed in Figure 20.
Figure 19. Plainview and bed elevation contours of the simulated area for the Savage Rapids Dam removal project.

Figure 20. A Perspective View of the Topography of the Modeled River Reach.
10.1.2 Case Modeled, Boundary Conditions, and Other Parameters

The measured data, water surface elevation and velocity vectors, during the April 2002 survey (Bountry and Randle 2003) was chosen to calibrate and verify the SRH-2D model. This case represents a drawn-down flow with a discharge of 2,800 ft$^3$/s. All flow was through the two radial gates near the left side of the dam. The measured water surface elevation is used to calibrate the Manning roughness coefficient that is assumed to be uniform throughout the reach. Once calibrated, the model results are then compared with the measured velocities and flow patterns. Both diffusive wave and dynamic wave solutions are obtained so that a comparison may be made between the two solvers.

A water surface elevation of 935.53ft was specified at the downstream boundary. This elevation was obtained from the calibrated one dimensional HEC-RAS model as described by Bountry and Randle (2003). At the upstream boundary, a flow discharge of 2,800ft$^3$/s was applied where a uniform distribution of velocity is assumed with the flow normal to the boundary. The calibrated flow loss coefficient is 0.05 for the diffusive wave model and 0.04 for the dynamic wave model. Finally, the depth-averaged parabolic model is used for the turbulence viscosity used by the dynamic wave model (Rodi 1993).

10.1.3 Comparison of Water Surface Elevation

The calibrated model results are compared with the measured water surface elevation along the thalweg in Figure 21. Both the diffusive wave and the dynamic wave model agree with the measured elevation well. Major discrepancy between the two models is mostly limited to an area near the radial gates where a hydraulic jump exists due to the dam. As anticipated, the dynamic wave model predicts the existence of the jump, while the diffusive wave model is incapable of simulating the hydraulic jump. The diffusive wave model tends to predict a smooth variation of elevation over the jump. Based on experiences with other applications of SRH-2D, it is recommended that the jump area should be modeled with a higher loss coefficient in order to predict the water elevation change, although the uniform coefficient works fine for the Savage Rapids Dam application.
Next, the computed velocity vectors and flow patterns are compared with the measured data so that the flow hydraulics may be compared in greater detail. It is noted that a good prediction of the water surface elevation does not guarantee a good prediction of velocities and flow patterns.

The ADCP-measured depth-averaged velocity data are available and the measurement points are displayed in Figure 22. Upstream of the dam, eight cross sections were surveyed and they are numbered consecutively in the figure. Downstream of the dam, two areas are compared: One is immediately downstream of the dam but near the right side; another is downstream of the excavated channel from the radial gates. Complex eddies were formed at the time of the survey in both areas.
Figure 22. Velocity Measurement Points for the Simulated River Reach (Points are Shown in Red)

A comparison of predicted and measured velocity vectors at eight cross sections upstream of the dam is displayed in Figure 23 and Figure 24. Agreement is favorable for both models except at a few locations. Overall, the difference between the dynamic wave and the diffusive wave solutions is not appreciable. The dynamic wave model is capable of predicting the flow separation on the left bank of cross sections 3 and 4 while the diffusive wave model is not.

A comparison of velocities and flow patterns is shown downstream of the dam in Figure 25. It is clear that the diffusive model is incapable of predicting any eddies and therefore, the velocity results in such areas are in gross error. On the other hand, the dynamic wave model is quite good in predicting the eddy structures. It is noted that the two-eddy structures on the right of the jet stream from the excavated channel is well predicted both in terms of size and location. In addition, the eddy on the left of the jet stream is also predicted. These results indicate that the dynamic wave model has to be used if eddies or flow separation are of interest.
Figure 23. Comparison of Predicted and Measured Velocity Vectors at Cross Sections 1 to 4

Figure 24. Comparison of Predicted and Measured Velocity Vectors at Cross Sections 5 to 8
10.2 Study of Sandy River and Columbia River Interaction

The Sandy River Delta Dam (SRD Dam) is located near the confluence of the Sandy and Columbia Rivers, east of Portland, Oregon. As a result of its closure in 1938 to improve fish passage through the Sandy River, flow has been redirected from the east (upstream) distributary to the west (downstream) distributary of the delta. The east distributary has since partially filled with sediment and supports dense riparian vegetation, including aged cottonwoods. Although once the main distributary channel, the east distributary is currently only activated under high flow conditions on the Sandy or Columbia Rivers. The study area is shown in Figure 26.
Increased understanding of the ecological functions of the natural channel configuration and requirements of anadromous fish has initiated a reassessment of the role of the SRD Dam in improving fish passage. Recent efforts to improve aquatic habitat conditions have considered the removal of the SRD Dam. SRH-2D was used to more effectively evaluate possible effects related to removal of the SRD Dam. Both hydraulic and sediment studies were carried out but only the hydraulic results of the model calibration study are discussed. More details of the study may be found in the project report by Lai et al. (2006).

10.2.1 Solution Domain, Mesh, and Flow Roughness

The solution domain was selected based on the stated objectives of the project and was guided later by the topographic and bathymetric data; it is displayed in Figure 27. The solution domain encompassed about 9.5 miles of the Columbia River and 2.6 miles of the Sandy River with an area of about 12.8 square miles.

The final mesh is displayed in a series of figures from Figure 28 to Figure 30. A combination of quadrilateral and triangular elements was used that provided the best compromise between the accuracy and computing time. The main river channels were mostly covered with quadrilateral cells that allow mesh stretching while the remaining areas were mostly covered with combined triangular-quadrilateral cells. The final mesh contained a total of 37,637 cells.
Figure 27. Solution domain for the Sandy River Delta simulation. West (left) side of the Columbia River is the exit boundary, east (right) side is the inlet boundary, and south (bottom) side is the inlet boundary of the Sandy River.

Figure 28. Mesh for the Sandy River Delta project: entire solution domain.
Topography data were obtained from several sources, including Lidar data and cross section survey data, to represent existing conditions. The bathymetric data were in point form (Easting, Northing, and elevation) and were interpolated onto the mesh points. The bed elevation contour plot and a perspective view of the topography are shown in Figure 31 and Figure 32.
Flow resistance was calculated with the Manning’s roughness equation in which the Manning’s coefficient \( n \) was needed as the model input. In this project, the solution domain was divided into a number of roughness zones as shown in Figure 33 according to the underlying bed properties. Note that zones 1, 2 and 3 represent the main channel of the Sandy River, and zones 4 and 5 represent the main channel of the Columbia River. Zone 6 consists mostly of sand bars and less vegetated areas, while zone 7 represents islands and floodplains with more vegetation. Each zone was assigned a Manning’s \( n \) value that was determined through a calibration study by comparing with the field data of October 2005. After a number of simulation runs, the final calibrated Manning’s coefficients were listed in Table 1.
Table 1. Calibrated Manning’s Coefficients in Different Zones Shown in Figure 33

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zone Number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manning’s $n$</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 33. Roughness zones used for the Sandy River Delta Project

10.2.2 Input Data

Existing condition simulation was carried out corresponding to the field measured condition on October 12, 2005. The trip of October 2005 indicated that flow conditions were quite unsteady for both the Columbia River and the Sandy River, due mainly to the tidal influence and flow release from the Bonneville Dam. Flow unsteadiness often leads to difficulty in model calibration. Following a careful examination of the field data, conditions corresponding to the trip of October 12, 2005, were used for calibration.

The following input data were used for the model calibration study:

- Flow discharge for the Sandy River was set at 377 cfs, as recorded at the USGS Gage #14142500 (Sandy River below Bull Run River, near Bull Run, OR) on October 12, 2005. At one cross section of the Sandy River, field data from October 2005 estimated that the discharge was about 342 cfs based on the ADCP bottom tracking data.

- Flow discharge through the Columbia River was fixed at 123,000 cfs, which represented the average flow release from the Bonneville Dam on October 12, 2005. Releases from Bonneville Dam that day were very unsteady with a reported range of 118,000 to 132,000 cfs. Discharges calculated at several Columbia River cross sections from measured ADCP bottom tracking velocity data ranged from 98,310 to 125,700 cfs.
• The water surface elevation at the exit of the Columbia River reach was needed as the downstream boundary condition and the field measured elevation was used. The measured elevation, however, was quite unsteady and two distinct elevations were identified: 4.75 feet and 5.50 feet. Both elevations were used for the model calibration, which led to the development of two calibration runs: one low elevation case (4.75 feet) named Run #1, and another high elevation case (5.50 feet) named Run #2. Post-simulation analysis indicated that the difference in elevation at the exit boundary only influenced results near the confluence area of the Sandy River and Columbia River.

10.2.3 Comparison of Water Surface Elevation

Three sets of results were obtained with the calibrated hydraulic model, and they are named Run #1, #2 and #3. Run #1 and Run #2 reflect effects due to different water surface elevations specified at the exit boundary of the Columbia River reach. The two runs also indicate the sensitivity of model results to the exit boundary condition. Run #1 used the low elevation condition (4.75 feet), and Run #2 was based on the high elevation condition (5.50 feet). Both Run #1 and Run #2 used a Manning’s coefficient of 0.15 for zone 3 in Figure 33. A third run (Run #3) was added to examine the impact of using a different Manning’s coefficient in zone 3. Run #3 used the same downstream boundary condition as Run #1, but used a Manning’s coefficient of 0.08 in zone 3 (versus 0.15 with Run #1 and #2).

The simulated water surface elevations on the Sandy River project reach are compared with the field data of October 2005 in Figure 34. The following observations may be made:

• The hydraulic model predicted the water surface elevation along the Sandy River quite well despite uncertainty in measured data and the unsteady nature of the flow in the field. The thalweg profile was also plotted in Figure 34 to demonstrate how well the model predicted water surface elevation despite large fluctuations in the bed topography. The difference between the field-measured and model-predicted elevation was typically within 0.3 feet, except near the confluence of the west distributary of the Sandy River and the Columbia River. This difference at the west confluence is likely associated with tidal fluctuations during the survey of October 2005.

• Major elevation changes at riffle and pool areas of the Sandy River reach were also predicted by the model. This indicates that the bed topography represented the riffle and pool areas correctly and that the model also represented the flow loss correctly.

• Uncertainty in the value of the Manning’s $n$ at Zone 3 may be obtained with results of Run #3. Reducing $n$ from 0.15 to 0.08 alone led to a drop in
water surface elevation upstream of the zone by about 0.65 feet for the calibrated case. It should be noted that model-predicted elevations in other parts of the reach are not affected by this change. This assures that uncertainty in the roughness of zone 3 is limited to zone 3 only. A Manning’s roughness coefficient of 0.08 was used when the model was applied to flood flow scenarios.

Comparison of water surface elevations on the Columbia River reach are shown in Figure 35. Again on the Columbia River, the river flow was quite unsteady and two distinct water surface elevations were identified. When different water surface elevations were used as the exit boundary conditions, represented by Run #1 and Run #2, the SRH-2D model predicted water surface elevations within the range of the measured values. Comparison of the field-measured and model-predicted water surface elevations demonstrates a satisfactory agreement along the Columbia River reach.

Figure 34. Comparison of simulated and field-measured water surface elevations along the Sandy River reach for October 12, 2005 flow conditions (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)
10.2.4 Comparison of Flow Velocity

Verification of the model was further carried out by comparing predicted and field-measured velocity results. ADCP measured velocity data were collected along both the Sandy and Columbia Rivers. An ensemble of ADCP data is a combination of water velocity (profile) and bottom tracking (boat velocity) data, and can be comprised of an average of several water velocity pings and several bottom pings. A ping is a single pulse of acoustic energy. Sandy River depth-averaged velocity data were processed from the ADCP velocity profiles (Water Mode 12) with 12 sub-pings. The Columbia River depth-averaged velocity data were from a single ADCP ensemble (velocity profile).

In both rivers, a measured data point represents an instantaneous, depth-averaged velocity for a single location. As a result, the data can be noisy, and averaging several adjacent velocity profiles is recommended in some situations. Research indicates that spatial averaging, sampling time, and sampling frequency affects the accuracy of mean velocity estimates (González-Castro et al., 2000). However, no averaging of the field data was performed in this study for comparison with the model results, as we were only interested in evaluating if the simulated data fell within the range of measured data. An effort was made to remove all extreme outlier velocity data from the field-measured dataset.
Nevertheless, the dataset may still contain some erroneous data points (as can be seen from several velocity vectors presented). This does not affect the model calibration, but may contribute to a portion of the observed noise in the field-measured data.

Field-measured and model-predicted velocity magnitude comparisons at all measurement points were made for both the Sandy River (Figure 36) and the Columbia River (Figure 37). Although field data were noisy, results of the comparison are quite satisfactory. The large fluctuations in measured velocity values may be attributed to flow unsteadiness created by local geometry features, such as boulders and large turbulent eddies, and partly due to a few erroneous field data points.

Figure 36. Comparison of simulated and field-measured velocity magnitudes along the Sandy River reach for October 12, 2005 flow conditions (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)
Comparison of velocity was achieved through assessment of velocity vectors in different regions of the river reaches. Seven regions were used for comparison (Figure 38) and results are shown in Figure 39 to Figure 45. In view of uncertainty associated with some of the field data, the comparison between the field-measured and model-predicted data is deemed satisfactory.

Figure 37. Comparison of simulated and field-measured velocity magnitudes along the Columbia River reach for October 12, 2005 flow conditions (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)

Figure 38. Seven regions (blue boxes) used for velocity vector comparison; Red points are the locations where velocity measurements were made
Figure 39. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 1 (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)

Figure 40. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 2 (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)
Figure 41. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 3 (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)

Figure 42. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 4: Left is upstream and right is downstream portion of the region (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)
Figure 43. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 5: Left is upstream and right is downstream portion of the region (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)
Figure 44. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 6: Left is upstream and right is downstream portion of the region. (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)

Figure 45. Comparison of velocity vectors in Region 7 (GSTAR-W is the former name of SRH-2D)
10.3 Other Application Cases

In addition to the projects discussed in this manual, SRH-2D has also been applied to many other projects with a wide range of applications. These include temporary diversion channel design, levee setback, stream habitat, dam removal, erosion and geomorphic assessment, etc. The following is a list of available project reports carried out at Reclamation for additional applications using the SRH-2D:

2008:

- **Middle Fork John Day River (Oregon)**: “2D hydraulic modeling of the Forrest Conservation Property,” E. Holburn, 2008.

2007:


2006:


Recent papers, related to SRH-2D research and development, are listed below:
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APPENDIX A

ON MESH GENERATION USING SMS

This appendix describes how to generate a mesh using SMS. It is not the intent of this Appendix to train a user to use SMS; for such a purpose a user should resort to SMS training classes. This Appendix will focus on how to interface between SMS and SRH-2D. Note that only a portion of the SMS capabilities are used by SRH-2D. The modules used include the Map Module, Mesh Module, and Scatter Module.

A typical sequence of mesh generation procedures using SMS is as follows:

1. Upon entering SMS, the SRH-2D-SMS template file should be loaded into SMS if Full-Interface mode is selected. Under both modes, a user needs to ensure that the model COVERAGE is set to GENERIC 2D MESH in the MAP module and Feature Objects/Coverage option. Upon completion of mesh generation, the mesh is stored in the 2D Generic Mesh format (2DM file). For example, casename.2DM file stores the mesh information and is used by the SRH-2D as the mesh input.

2. The first SMS module used is usually the SCATTER Module in which the topography of the simulation solution domain is defined. An ASCII data file (e.g., Excel files), which contains all survey points (Easting, Northing, and Bed Elevation), may be read into SMS Scatter Module. Or, topographic contour lines stored in formats such as DXF may be read into SMS Map Module and DXF may be converted into scatter points to define the topography using “DXF ➔ Scatter Points” option. The topography information contained in the SCATTER module is used later to obtain bed elevation at mesh points through interpolation. Ideally, the survey points should cover the entire solution domain; otherwise, extrapolation will be performed by SMS.

3. A first step in mesh generation is to use the Map Module to create the boundaries of the solution domain. Boundaries are represented with Feature Objects (nodes and arcs) with the Map Module. The topography data contained in the Scatter Module or aerial photos may be used to sketch out the solution domain. The size and location of the boundaries may be determined by factors such as the interested simulation area, the largest discharge to be simulated, etc. If possible, one solution domain is used for all possible discharges under the same topography. SRH-2D determines the wet and dry areas automatically and a larger domain may be used if an inundation extent is unknown.

4. Once the solution domain is created, the next step is to divide the solution domain into polygons using the feature objects (nodes and arcs). Polygons are automatically generated within Map Module using the “Feature Objects/Build
Polygons” option, once all feature arcs are generated and completed. Note that the polygon creation step is very important in several ways. Firstly, each polygon may be meshed independently within the Mesh Module. Thus it may be used as a way to distribute the mesh density. For example, the main channel may be represented by a polygon so that a quadrilateral mesh is generated (with PATCH in SMS) and more mesh points may be used in the polygon. In the floodplain areas, however, polygons may be meshed with triangles (with PAVING in SMS) and many fewer points may be used. A sample mesh is shown in Figure A1 to illustrate the mesh distribution. Secondly, a polygon may be assigned a material type and the material type is used by SRH-2D to represent bed properties such as the Manning’s roughness coefficient. This way, different polygons may be used to represent spatial distributions of bed roughness.

(5) Once all polygons are generated, a mesh may be generated and a material type is assigned for each polygon. A pop-up window will appear to carry out the task by clicking the polygon within the Map Module. Several mesh types are available with SMS, and the most useful ones are the PATCH and PAVING. PATCH creates a quadrilateral structured mesh and works on four sided polygons only while PAVING creates a triangular unstructured mesh and works on any polygons. It is recommended that the main channel or special areas (e.g., structures and levees) be meshed with PATCH and the remaining areas be meshed with PAVING. The mesh density and distribution may be changed and the polygon/material type may be assigned within the pop-up window. A user should consult the SMS manual for more detail on mesh generation. Do not be afraid to make mistakes as SMS allows you to revisit the mesh generation and change/modify the mesh any way necessary.

(6) Once all polygonal meshes are generated, the mesh may be assembled together by using the “Feature Objects/MapÆ 2D Mesh” option within the Map Module. “Merging Triangles” option may be used to reduce the number of cells while keeping the mesh points the same. This completes the 2D mesh generation and the mesh may be displayed for examination. Steps (4) to (6) may be repeated to optimize the mesh until a satisfactory final mesh is obtained.

(7) Once the mesh is finalized, the bed elevation of each point is interpolated from the scatter data sets created in Step (2). This is accomplished by going to the Scatter Module and using the “Scatter/Interpolate-to-Mesh” option. The bed topography represented by the mesh may be examined by plotting the contour lines in the Display Option. Also, check that linear elements are used for the mesh (versus the Quadratic) by displaying the mesh points. If midpoints of element edges are displayed, elements are quadratic. Conversion from quadratic to linear may be carried out within the Mesh Module with the “Elements/Linear – Quadratic” option.

(8) Finally, NODESTRINGS are created within the Mesh Module. Each nodestring represents a boundary segment of the solution domain and is used by
SRH-2D to specify the boundary types and boundary conditions (see the BOUNDARY SEGMENT DEFINITION command). All external boundaries of the solution domain are setup as WALL boundaries automatically by SRH-2D. Therefore, only boundaries other than WALL boundaries need to be created using NODESTRING here. For most applications, only inlets and exits are needed. If SMS is not used as the Full-Interface to SRH-2D, a user should take a note of the nodestring order in which it is created since the order is used as the nodestring ID. For example, the first created node string has an ID of 1, and the fifth nodestring has an ID of 5, etc. The nodestring ID will be used to specify boundary conditions using the SRH-2D preprocessor. If a user forgets the nodestring IDs, the mesh file, case.2DM, may be viewed to decide the order (and ID) that is listed near the end of the file with the NS cards.

(9) The above procedures complete the mesh generation process and if the project file is saved with the name of “case”, an ASCII mesh file will be created by SMS with the name of case.2DM. This 2DM file will be used by SRH-2D.

(10) If Full-Interface mode is chosen, Chapter 3 should be consulted to see how to set up boundary conditions and other parameters.

![Figure A1. A Sample Mesh to Represent Main Channel and Floodplain](image-url)
APPENDIX B

INPUT AND OUTPUT FORMATS

This appendix provides a description on how some of the input and output formats are used with SRH-2D.

B.1 SMS AND SRH Formats

SMS and SRH formats are used for output, and are to store the simulation results, final or intermediate, so that SMS, ArcGIS, or Excel may be used to view and process the results. Both formats are ASCII-based, spread-sheet type data which may also be imported into Excel for data manipulation. SMS format stores all variables at the mesh (element) centers but SRH format stores all variables at the mesh nodal points.

B.2 TECPLOT Format

TECPLOT format is used for output, and is to store the simulation results, final or intermediate, that may be imported into TECPLOT, a post-processing graphical software. Users are referred to the TECPLOT user’s manual for details about the TECPLOT program.

B.3 GENERIC Format

GENERIC format is an output format offered by SRH-2D as a way of obtaining the simulation results when a user does not have access to graphical packages that use the TECPLOT or SMS formats. With the GENERIC format, a user may convert the result file into other formats so that other readily available post-processing packages may be used. With the GENERIC format, an output file, casename_GNR.dat, is created.

The GENERIC format file is created with the following FORTRAN statement:

```
write(*,*) FILE-DESCRIPTION !one record of text
write(*,*) Nvar !number of dependent variables
write(*,*) Variable-List !list of variable names in the file
write(*,*) Nnode,Nelem !number of nodes & elements
DO Ivar=1,Nvar !loop over all variables
    Write(*,*) (Var(i,Ivar),i=1,Nnode)
```

ENDDO

DO I=1,Nelem  !loop over all elements
    Write(*,*) Nnd  !number of nodes for the element
    Write(*,*) (NodeID(j),J=1,Nnd)  !list of nodes of the element
ENDDO
APPENDIX C

DYNAMIC INPUT FILE (DIP)

Some of the frequently used parameters may be set up or modified during SRH-2D execution. This dynamic setup and change of solution parameters are achieved using the SRH-2D Dynamic InPut (DIP) file. The DIP file is a text file named casename_DIP.dat and it has the following format:

```
$DATA
  parameter assignment statement
$END
```

A sample copy of the _DIP file may be obtained from the tutorial cases that are available through the SRH-2D distribution package.

A number of parameter assignment statements may be listed and each statement has the following syntax:

```
parameter-name = parameter-value
```

Available parameters which may be changed using the DIP file are listed below:

**TOTAL_SIMULATION_TIME = tt**

`tt` is the total simulation time in hours.

**NITER = i**

`i` is the number of iterations within each time step. Note that `i=1` is automatically set up by SRH-2D for steady state simulation and `i=3` is automatically setup for truly unsteady time accurate simulation.

**IREST = l**

`l` equals 0 or 1 to specify how the casename_RST.dat is used for initial condition setup. `IREST=0` means that initial condition is setup using DRY or RST method; `IREST=1` is for restart or hot-start run which started from a previous run. The execution is restarted from casename_RST.dat.

**TIME_INTERVAL = ti**

`ti` is used for steady or unsteady simulation; it allows SRH-2D to write out intermediate results every `ti` hours. Output file will have the name of, e.g., casename_TECi.dat.

**DTNEW = r_time**

this is to change the flow simulation time step to `r_time`. 
**DAMP = r**
this allows a user to choose the amount of damping added to the 2\textsuperscript{nd}-order discretization scheme for the convection term. $0.3 < r < 1.0$ is recommended. The default value of 0.99 is used.

**RELAX_H = r**
specify relaxation of the continuity equation to $r$, where $r$ typically ranges from 0.001 to 0.5 with a smaller value for heavier relaxation. Typically, 0.1 should work for most problems.

**RELAX_UV = r**
specify relaxation of the momentum equations to $r$, where $r$ typically ranges from 1.0 to 100.0 with a higher value for heavier relaxation. Typically, 1.0 works for most applications.

**A_TURB = r**
This is to set the depth-averaged parabolic turbulent model coefficient. $r$ ranges from 0.3 to 1.0; default is 0.7.
APPENDIX D

Format of Time Series Function and General Function

Both time series function in the form of \((\text{time}, \text{var})\) and the general function in the form of \((\text{var1}, \text{var2})\) may be used by SRH-2D for specifying boundary conditions. Each function is input to SRH-2D through a data file. SRH-2D requires the use of a fixed format as discussed in this Appendix. Failure of storing the data in the format explained here will lead to errors in the modeling.

Time series function defines a data set as \(\text{var}=f(\text{time})\), where the \(\text{time}\) in HOUR and \(\text{var}\) is discharge or stage (water surface elevation) whose unit is to be specified when the function is used as boundary conditions. A time series is defined with a discrete set of data stored in a file. The data file is in ASCII format with two columns of data as follows:

- Comments
- Comments
- Comments
  - \(\text{time}(1)\) \(\text{var}(1)\)
  - \(\text{time}(2)\) \(\text{var}(2)\)
  - … …
  - \(\text{time}(n)\) \(\text{var}(n)\)

The first three rows are for comments about the dataset while the rest of the rows provide \((\text{time}, \text{var})\) data points (a total of \(n\) points).

A general function defines a data set as \(\text{var2}=f(\text{var1})\), where \(\text{var1}\) is the independent variable and \(\text{var2}\) is the dependent variable. The specific meaning of the two variables is specified by the first row (line) of the data file. Following is the available option:

**RATING_CURVE**

If RATING_CURVE is specified on the first row, \(\text{var1}\) is flow discharge and \(\text{var2}\) is stage (water surface elevation). This function is intended to be applied at an exit for an unsteady simulation.

A general function is defined with a discrete set of data stored in a file. The data file is in ASCII format with two columns of data as follows:

**RATING_CURVE**

Comments
Comments

\[ \begin{array}{cc}
    \text{var1}(1) & \text{var2}(1) \\
    \text{var1}(2) & \text{var2}(2) \\
    \ldots & \ldots \\
    \text{var1}(m) & \text{var2}(m)
\end{array} \]

The first row, RATING_CURVE, specifies the function type, and the next two rows are for comments about the dataset. Starting from the 4\textsuperscript{th} row, up to \( m \) pairs of data (\( \text{var1}, \text{var2} \)) are given.
APPENDIX E
COMMON ERRORS

Listed below are common mistakes made by users who may refer to this section for error checking or avoiding model failure.

1. Exterior mesh nodes are in the nodestrings for boundary conditions? Users may create nodestrings in SMS in which one or more nodal points are located inside the mesh instead of at the exterior boundary. Even if a single exterior node is left out or an interior node is mistakenly included in a nodestring boundary segment, SRH-2D will issue an error message complaining that the model failed in LCLFC index calculation. Suggestion: use Control key to select exterior nodestrings in SMS.

2. Get a “bad mesh” error message? If SRH-2D issues an error message complaining a bad mesh, a cell (element) ID is also given. Users should use SMS (or other software) to inspect that element. One of several nodes around this cell may be bad that a bad mesh cell is formed. Use the SMS mesh editor to correct the mesh. One may also want to use SMS tool to check the mesh.

3. Is 2DM file inspected? Due to potential bugs in different versions of SMS (we have not tested all possible versions and we do find problems with some versions), it is suggested to inspect the final 2DM file before running SRH-2D. Go to the bottom of a 2DM file using a text editor such as Notepad (after nodestring sections with card indicator “ND”). Check parameters within the 2DMBC section which is included between “BEG2DMBC” and “END2DMBC”. Manning’s coefficients are listed under “MAT” heading, global parameters are under “GP”, and boundary conditions are under “BCS”.

4. Difference in using “RST” option and IREST=1 option: Note the difference in using “RST” option to set up the initial conditions and IREST=1 option (setup in the _DIP.dat file) for restart or hot start run. “RST” option uses a RST file, as initial conditions, generated by another model run which has different flow parameters but the same mesh. Only the main dependent variables are used to set up the initial condition and the rest of the parameters such as boundary conditions are determined by the input file. IREST=1 option, on the other hand, is intended only for hot start run. It is used to continue a previously stopped run and the RST file is exactly the same problem.
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