407 Murps

Response to the DRAFT Maidu Bike Park Project CEQA/NEPA Released on June 2, 2017

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

- THE COMMUNITY PREVIOUSLY AND PUBLICLY EXPRESSED THEY DO NOT WANT A BIKE PARK AT MAIDU DRIVE 4) Due diligence has not been carried out in canvassing and taking into account the opinions of the community with respect to the location of the bike park. As verified by a standing room only meeting hosted by ARD at the Canyon View Community Center on March 27, 2014, neighbors expressed that they do not want the bike park located at Maidu drive, nor do hikers, runners, seniors, or equestrians. This clearly suggests locating the bike park at Regional or Shockley would be the best compromise to support our entire community. There is only one school in the Maidu Rd area so why not put the park near where more of our kids live in north Auburn? All of the youth that live in north Auburn would benefit more from these optional locations.
- COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT 5) The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.
- **HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES** Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day. Name: KD K Shilwas M Address: LSO Ri Verview or	Signature:
Additional Comments;	
	5 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airbome, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

1	ignature: Kaster Clus release
Address: 1 65 Go INCDADIEL DR Additional Comments;	
Determine a post morked before July 2, 2017 to:	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS 7)

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,	gnature: Duby Wapples
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the bern in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site In a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, / // Name:	Signature:
Address: 436 Riverviely Or	
Additional Comments;	

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant chuming of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

1/2./24	2 0
Respectfully on this day,	$\Omega + I \cdot I$
Name: NATALIZ FARRELL	Signature: A alas Tansell
Address: 3 D = RIVE/2121ED	
. 7 /	$\overline{}$ λ λ λ λ λ λ λ
Additional Comments; W. Lang al 30	Objected Whom who is
Additional Comments: We have also	ise Tox Yearnin & white
dos Resserven Un	1 contains
Determination of the second party of the secon	Email your response by July 2, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an Irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maldu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT 5)

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant chuming of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

SUMMARY

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area, Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues The state of production are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA. garage defeated to the second second

nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, tre	
Respectfully on this day, <u>OS/21/17</u> Name: Manager J. Del Acostrolo Si Address: <u>ISS RIVER VIIZU Ap. AUBUS.</u>	gnature: Their J. Al Cegistine
Additional Comments;	·
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother

Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Ploneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT 5)

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant chuming of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6-26-17 Name: Carold E. Del Acostino Si Address: 165 River View Dr Aub	gnature. Served Old Cart			
Address: 165 River View Dr Auburn CA 95603				
Additional Comments;				
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;			

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant chuming of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

CHRERANDY

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.				
Respectfully on this day, June 26, 2017 Name: Enc Wursh ower Signature: Address: 145 Ruge Vew Pr				
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;				
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) KMuscott@auburnrec.com				

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, June 26: 2017 Name: Tehylo Terrer 6 Address: 145 Kirer View C	Signature:	12 hrylo	Coppa
Address: 14-5 Kirver V1'ew. D	(i)	<u> </u>	
Additional Comments;			
Delege de la	5. 5. 5. 5. 1. s		00474-

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant chuming of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

Respectfully on this day.

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Name: Senda Gonza es Signature: // Address: 146 Riversiew Dr., Auburn 195605

Additional Comments; to year the grammu school

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6/26/1 Name: 1945a. Signature: Signature:	
Address: 313 Riverview of Signature.	_
Additional Comments; I will submit add' 1 statewarts, First concern	
ir 1018c, also canalivoped	_

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the perm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link tence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,	1+011 a	Signature:		
Address: 205 A	verviet s	rive Also	on year	95603
Additional Comments;	uho 15 gar	ing 10 polis		

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

Auburn, CA 95603

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, <u>lol2lol17</u> Name: <u>KrvSten Gawarat</u> Signa Address: <u>205 Riverulew Onver Auburn</u> , cA	ature: 4 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 - 6 -
Additional Comments; \ QW Very Concerned Obout politing issue because the small section of Center clown past PCWA up almost to Rivery Datrolled by Rubuin police or anyone else	Lew/maidu Drive from the Community
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to activity Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; Takes place. KMuscott@auburnrec.com

25

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

l/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

Princes

4) THE COMMUNITY PREVIOUSLY AND PUBLICLY EXPRESSED THEY DO NOT WANT A BIKE PARK AT MAIDU DRIVE Due diligence has not been carried out in canvassing and taking into account the opinions of the community with respect to the location of the bike park. As verified by a standing room only meeting hosted by ARD at the Canyon View Community Center on March 27, 2014, neighbors expressed that they do not want the bike park located at Maidu drive, nor do hikers, runners, seniors, or equestrians. This clearly suggests locating the bike park at Regional or Shockley would be the best compromise to support our entire community. There is only one school in the Maidu Rd area so why not put the park near where more of our kids live in north Auburn? All of the youth that live in north Auburn would benefit more from these optional locations.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

Auburn, CA 95603

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, U/26/17 Name: Cloria Simmon Si Address: 150 Riverview Belve	ignature: Doni Smonom
Address: 150 RIVECU. IN BRIVE	
Additional Comments;	
	5 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 0 - 00474
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive

Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,	6/25/17	Λ , Ω	A 110 P	
Name: DAVID	HALBR-DOK	Signature: Naved	Hallrook	
Address: 401	MAIDU DR	AUBURN ON 9560	13	
Additional Comments;	I am ila	and commed to	The like	
Park plansof for Imails of				
	a go			
	•			

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

30

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, been Same: Anne Sulffouse S Address: 411 Maido Dnive	ignature: Anna Jucolan Hous
Additional Comments; Too much traffic of dust, too much noise, uncertain	for quiet neighborhood, to much
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

37

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6/28/2017 Name: Depute K Alexander Signature: Dean K Wayanh Address: 355 Riverview Or	
Additional Comments; This has been a quiet neighborhood. I do not want all Hat	
Poturn your response nest marked before July 3, 2017 to: Email your response by July 3, 2017 to	

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

24

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, <u>Jun 25,17</u> Name: <u>Roland T Alexander</u> Si Address: <u>355 Riverview Dr. Auburr</u>	gnature: Palend 7 allefandly					
Address: 355 Riverview Dr. Auburn CA 95603						
Additional Comments;						
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to					

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed_dirt bike_park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

3

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, June 25 20 17 Name: Linka Broug. Si Address: 40 Maide. Aubum BA 95	anature: Linda low Brown
Address: 40 Maide Aubreum BA 95	gnature: Linda Lou Brown
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

Maidu Bike Park Project
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)
123 Recreation Drive
Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant chuming of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, Name: Michelle Verdier Fontes Address: 401 Qivenu Da And	signature: Michelle Mols Fort
Address: <u>AOI</u> Riverview Dr. Aud	Ma 45603
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;
Additional Comments; Tryckic, PolyD M	word held, 3 fray (SUPONISED)
	,
	gnature:
Respectfully on this day. 6/25/17	
recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, the	asured area.

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

espectfully on this day, 6/25/2017 ame: DINAh G. Alexander Gibbs Signature: Idress: 407 Maidu Droe Auburu CA		espectfully on this day, 9/25/	2017 VAN elec (2: blassignature:	West (
iditional Comments:	iditional Comments;	ddress: 407 Maida	Drue Aubur	IN CA
uditional Continents,	·	dditional Comments;		

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

respectfully on this day, The Solar Hame: James G. Mieto	Signature: Janus & Wheet
ddress: 350 RIVERYEW M.	
dditional Comments;	_

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603 Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between ail involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, <u>6-25-17</u> Name: <u>62-64</u> NAME: <u>400</u> NOTE NOTE NOTE NOTE NOTE NOTE NOTE NOTE	Signature: A D - X
Address: HOD RIVERVIEW	Dr
Additional Comments;	
5	The Harman and has belong 0047 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed blke park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a blke park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	ignature. Manage Affinance.
Address: 200 pinersies Dr. Ambaza, S Additional Comments;	4 (4756)3
Determine the property of before July 2, 2017 to:	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maldu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance falls to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6-25-79 Name: 10 Mars S Accessor Si	ignature: John Andres
Name: Je Marie S. Angles Con Si Address: 359 for excursion for Authority	, Oh MARCH
Additional Comments;	
Potura your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to:	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603 Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, aftering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park Is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day. 10/26/17 Name: Chevy Byyava Si Address: 341 Pine (10/4) August A	gnature: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Address: 341 Kirelview, Auburn, A	<u> </u>
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respec	tfully on this day Marcic 341 Ru	6-26	5-17			NHM .	
Name:	Mark	BRYA	12	s	Signature:		
Address	s: 341 Ri	vervie	N DR.	, AUBUR	W, CA	95603	
						DONBO SINCE TI	45
LAG	BOARD	MEET	NG/HEA	frecht-			

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 676-17 Name: Frick GI Address: 3/2 Rivyvsik	gnature: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park Is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES 6)

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS 7)

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6/26/17 Name: Kreten Badertscher Signaturn Address: 316 Rivernew Dr. Auburn	gnature Spanner Spanne
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, b-28-17 Name: Marsha von Dassaneck Si Address: 324 Riverview DR. Andrew 9566	gnature: Mack Cuan Desernel
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

espectfully on this day, 6/25/2017 ame: DINAh G. Alexander Gibbs Signature: Idress: 407 Maidu Droe Auburu CA		espectfully on this day, 9/25/	2017 VAN elec (2: blassignature:	West (
Iditional Comments:	iditional Comments;	ddress: 407 Maida	Drue Aubur	IN CA
dollional Comments,	·	dditional Comments;		

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

respectfully on this day, The Solar Hame: James G. Mieto	Signature: Janus & Wheet
ddress: 350 RIVERYEW M.	
dditional Comments;	_

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603 Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between ail involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6-25-17 Name: 61EN NINGATE Address: 400 RIVERVIEW D	Signature: L. D. J. S.
Address: 400 RIVERVIEW L	02-
Additional Comments;	
Deturn your reasonable post marked before July 2, 2017 to	y Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed blke park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a blke park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O	gnature Grafac Affinders
Address: 245 five exists Disc. Ary Seas. S	a (1756)
Determine the recommendate marked before July 2, 2017 to:	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maldu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance falls to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6-25-79 Name: 10 Mars S Accessor Si	ignature: John Andres N
Name: JA Marie S. Marchen Si Address: 359 Anagure W. D. A. Guller	, OA MARCE
Additional Comments;	
Potura your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to:	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603 Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, aftering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park Is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day. 10/26/17 Name: Chevy Byyava Si Address: 341 Pine (10/4) August A	gnature: 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
Address: 341 Kirelview, Auburn, A	0
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respect	fully on this day.	6-26-17	Signal Si		NH FM	
Name:	MARKE F	SRYANT	Sig	gnature:		
Address	: 341 RN	SKYIEW DE	2. AUBURA	i, ca i	35603	
			•		DONBO SINCE THE	
LAST	- BOARD	MEGTANO 1	HISARCOK!			
	,	- (

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

56

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 676-17 Name: Frick GI Address: 3/2 Rivyvsik	gnature: 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at; KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park Is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES 6)

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS 7)

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6/26/17 Name: Kreten Badertscher Signaturn Address: 316 Rivernew Dr. Auburn	gnature Spanner Spanne
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track, shady, and scenic Pioneer Express Trail that would be lost.

60

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, b-28-17 Name: Marsha von Dassaneck Si Address: 324 Riverview DR. Andrew 9566	gnature: Mack Cuan Desernel
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT 5)

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, ali-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) **HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES**

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6-27-17 Name: 1ANE E HAPROFF Si Address: 470 RIVERVIEW DR	ignature: Jani Eldaproff
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

The other proposed trail mitigation is utilizing an existing road (closed to vehicular traffic) that winds down overlooking the China bar area. This road is already a designated multi-use trail that everyone can use so it is not anything new that is being provided for trail loss mitigation. Providing a dirt shoulder along a road is in no way similar to the quiet section of single track,

 $\gamma \mathscr{C}$

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly chuming the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day. TIME 27, 2017	Signature:	20
Name: Address: 494 XIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII	AUGURU) A	5603
Additional Comments;		

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6-27-17 Name: (UM) Fuwlwa Si Address: 485 KIVEWIEW DR.	gnature: WO Delwer
Address: 485 KIVEWI EW DR.	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, Name: Si	gnature:
Address: 405 BINERVIEW DRIVE	J. Duffiles Co
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to:	Fmail your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed blke park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The blke park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the blke park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt blke park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the bern in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hlkers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a blke park and inhale the dust that a blke park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the blke park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne blkes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the blke park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by blke tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, Name: Allan Bliss Si Address: HYS R. VEVIZW Dr	ignature: Allan J Blys An Durn, Cq 95633
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 27 June 17 Name: CARDE A. Taylor Address: 406 Riverview DR. Auburn	Signature: Larl H. Farfor
Additional Comments;	
Deturn your reapones post marked before July 2, 2017 to:	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the bern in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,Şi	gnature: Well Dug
Address: 4350 RTPRUTEW DR, Aux	SUCK LE
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

Page 1 of 2

Response to the DRAFT Maidu Bike Park Project CEQA/NEPA Released on June 2, 2017

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the bern in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, $\frac{U}{20/7}$ Name: $\frac{Ann}{5} = \frac{1}{140} = \frac{1}{120} = \frac{1}{120$	-
Additional Comments;	_
Scaping terraine 49/40 Maintaine 15 an 1:55 W	<u>-</u>
Carrol 1's distrybund - 18+5 CF hikers - bike	-
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Email your response by July 3, 2017 to	' /
Maidu Bike Park Project Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;	
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive KMuscott@auburnrec.com	c .
123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603 LUSI LLY SFLMY ROADS	4

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trall that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly chuming the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soll molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area

Respectfully on this day, 6/25/7	Signature:	
Name: 342 FUELV, 26 0/2. Address: ALLING 95607		
Additional Comments;		

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 4-276-2017 Name: July J. Wood Si Address: 311 Riverview Dr.	gnature: Jayer J. Wood
Additional Comments;	<i>y</i>
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017
Maidu Bike Park Project
Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)
123 Recreation Drive
Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.\

Respectfully on this day, JWL-26, 2017 Name:Signature:	Men	/ acshin	2
Address: 347 Kiverview Drve, Huburn	, CA 956 A	3	
Additional Comments; I am 100% opposed to	This proj-	ect moving	forwar
for the reasons mentioned above.			

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the bern in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,	gnature:
Address: 333 Revenued	The Same
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD) 123 Recreation Drive Auburn, CA 95603

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day, 6127/17 Name: Jennite munony Signatures: 402 Rive rile Dove Aburn	gnature:
Address: 402 Riverview Drive Arburn	- CA- 95603
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trall that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the bern in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly after the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly chuming the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance fails to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,Si	gnature: Kathy Edmynobor
Name: Si Address: 304 SNijRidie DL	
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to
Maidu Bike Park Project	Kahl Muscott, District Administrator at;

Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)

KMuscott@auburnrec.com

I/We are among the community members, neighbors, and trail users of the area in which the proposed bike park location resides on Maidu drive in Auburn, California. I/We would like to share some of our concerns with this location choice and concurrently express our support for the bike park project and the ARD board with respect to locating the bike park at another location, such as one of the large acreages of ARD owned property located at Regional Park or Shockley Rd, that is not on the American River canyon rim, does not displace passive recreation, and does not disturb our beloved trails.

I/We strongly dispute a Mitigated Negative Declaration and support a "No Action" alternative to the draft CEQA/NEPA Maidu Bike Park Project.

1) SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF AN IRREPLACEABLE VIEWSCAPE AND SCENIC VISTAS

There is widespread consensus that our American River Canyon is a beautiful, unique local treasure; an irreplaceable natural asset. The proposed Maidu Rd bike park location is historically and currently used as a quiet trail passage along the American River Canyon rim through an extremely beautiful area of mixed grasslands and oak woodlands with a stunning view of both the canyon and high peaks of the Sierras beyond. The American River can be seen meandering it's way towards Oregon Bar at the bottom of the canyon. Building a bike park at this location would permanently change this irreplaceable view, the natural experience that is currently enjoyed here, and the entire feel of this quiet area. The entire foreground of the viewscape would be altered with shrubs and trees removed and replaced with large mounds (over 8 feet tall per plan) of dirt formed into multiple dirt obstacles. Clearly this project would have a substantially adverse affect on scenic value and degrade the existing quality and ambiance of the site and surrounding. Views of the American River and Sierras from the proposed Pioneer Express Trail reroute at the bottom of the jump track would be through a chain link fence with the paved road in the foreground below the berm and not the same as the expansive views seen from the current location up near the irrigation canal path. Snap a photo of the River Canyon and Sierras and compare it to photos of bare dirt bike parks and the significant aesthetic loss is easily evident. The draft CEQA/NEPA does not adequately acknowledge this significant environmental impact and there is no suitable mitigation for it's loss.

2) CHANGE IN USE FROM A PASSIVE RECREATION AREA

The bike park is a complete "change of use" for the area. The current and historical use is a passive recreation area where trail users are drawn here to enjoy the quietness, wildlife, and beautiful scenery. Their experience would be completely altered with bikes moving fast, bikes going airborne, and bare dirt tracks, dirt mounds, and bike skills obstacles such as berms, rollers, pumps, a strider track, and jumps throughout the area replacing the natural serene feel. Other consequences that will alter the quiet, natural area, include damaging plants, removing trees and rock outcroppings, and endangering wildlife. In fact, there will be very little wildlife that will remain as this type of park is not hospitable to the native fauna. What effect will the low level security lighting near the bike park have on area wildlife including potential habitat for nocturnal species such as the Threatened Townsend's Big Eared Bat? These are significant impacts due to the conflict with the current use as a natural area and the change in quality of experience over a large footprint. This loss cannot be mitigated due to the inherent character of a bike park which is more akin to industrial development.

Does the bike park also comply with current by-laws, local ordinances, and written agreements with and between all involved parties and municipalities? For example, is a bike park in line with the CA State Parks mission, "protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources"? Is grading and excavating an area of this size, altering the natural topography, bringing in truckloads of outside soil, creating an environment inhospitable to wildlife, removing shrubs and grasses and many oak trees in line with our CA State Parks mission? It puts bikes on the historical Pioneer Express Trail that runs through the bike park area which is a designated State Parks passive recreational hiker and equestrian only designated trail. There is also clear and obvious conflict of interest created by putting several recreational groups in the same area with opposing goals. A bike park is not compatible within this part of the CA State Parks Gold Fields district.

3) NO COMPARABLE MITIGATION FOR NATIONALLY DESIGNATED HISTORICAL TRAIL LOSS

The current proposed bike park bifurcates the existing nationally designated historical Pioneer Express Trail that has been at that location for decades. Moving this historical trail arbitrarily is a significant and avoidable loss. The bike park planning committee has proposed to move the trail to the lower side of the bike park along a bank that drops off onto a paved road for the purpose of mitigation. The rerouted trail view would be of exposed dirt bike park features on one side and obstructed views of the American River canyon through a newly installed chain link fence with the paved road below the berm in the foreground. Additionally, many runners, hikers, and others do not want to recreate in the vicinity of a bike park and inhale the dust that a bike park would generate. This reroute proposal is not equivalent (the trail would still be adjacent to the bike park noise, dust, poor aesthetics, etc.) and is not safe (adding extreme safety issues forcing horse riders along a drop-off or sandwiched between a proposed chain link fence and the jump track with jumps over 8 foot high and airborne bikes on the hill above them) so does not provide for any measure of reasonable mitigation. Furthermore, this section of trail is a major connecter trail between FLSRA and ASRA and should remain as such. Mitigation proposals that significantly alter the current use, disassemble major trail connections, and compromise the safety of other persons should not be considered.

5) COMMUNITY WAS NOT GIVEN THE FULL SCOPE OF THE PROJECT

The CEQA/NEPA project description includes an expansive combination of 9 acres of obstacles with over 1.21 acres of disturbed land area including a strider track, directional flow trail, all-mountain trail, naturalized technical trail, jump track and return trail, skills loop, connector trails, and a pump track. The full project scope was not presented to the public until the release of the CEQA/NEPA and should have been communicated to the proximal neighbors and current area trail users so they could have had time to fairly evaluate it.

6) HEATH AND SAFETY ISSUES

Health concerns from airborne dust and particulate matter are characteristic of bike parks from spinning bike tires constantly churning the soil. Runners and hikers would be subjected to inhaling airborne particulates when they passed through the area on the trail re-route or on the irrigation canal path above. Many people are allergic to soil molds and the elderly are also more sensitive to dust particles.

There is a concern about asbestos because asbestos was found on the bike park site in a soil sample. The constant churning of soil by bike tires and inefficient dust control could result in a major health hazard.

The adjacent trail system in the American River Canyon is experiencing trail safety issues caused by illegal trail poaching and speeding bicycles (a deadly combination) on single track trails that are not designed for multi-use. Reports on accidents are well documented. Until these problems are under control it makes sense to not unnecessarily add more potential issues.

With an estimated additional 278 vehicle trips per day on weekends when other area trail use is at it's highest, this presents huge safety issues. The current trail users in the area not only cross Maidu Rd in two places but often use Maidu Rd to go between the canal path or the Pioneer Express Trail and the ASRA trails below. Horse trailers also park along the road because their parking lot was paved over and a replacement parking area has not been provided as of yet. Currently this is a very low traffic area which clearly makes any additional traffic a legitimate and significant safety concern.

7) MANAGEMENT CONCERNS

Most of the Management for the bike park is reportedly to be done by volunteers. How will standards, rules, and laws be enforced during times of lean volunteer availability or change in the available volunteer base? Neither the ARD board staff nor the bike park volunteers are equipped or trained to deal with potentially confrontational situations arising from enforcing rules. Concerns with loud music and profanity are valid as they disturb the natural environment, dampen the trail use experience, and will disturb neighbors that live on the outskirts of the area. Policing and supervision is ineffective in remote areas as response times are delayed. This clearly supports a more centrally located bike park. Who is liable with concern to all safety, environmental, noise disturbances, and all other potential issues and how can the public hold them accountable? What if maintenance falls to get done sufficiently? Who will pay for the ongoing maintenance and unplanned expenses? These issues are not adequately covered in the CEQA/NEPA.

SUMMARY

I/We feel that multiple user groups should not be displaced for one special interest group that can be accommodated elsewhere. I/We feel that development should not be expanded onto the edge of a beautiful river canyon when mother nature does not have a voice. We are her voice and we don't want a bike park diminishing the quality of the passive recreational use and natural surroundings of this beautiful, treasured area.

Respectfully on this day,	ignature: Swall Land
Address: 498 Riverview Dr.	<u> </u>
Additional Comments;	
Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to;	Email your response by July 3, 2017 to

Return your response post marked before July 3, 2017 to; Maidu Bike Park Project Auburn Area Recreation and Park District (ARD)