

RECLAMATION

Managing Water in the West

Finding of No Significant Impact

Arvin-Edison Water Storage District Water Conservation & Efficiency Project

FONSI 18-01-MP

Recommended by:



Douglas Kleinsmith
Natural Resource Specialist
Mid-Pacific Regional Office

Date: 1/8/18


Concurred by:



David White
Water Conservation Specialist
Mid-Pacific Regional Office

Date: 1/08/18

Concurred by:



Heather Casillas
Chief, Program Management Branch
Mid-Pacific Regional Office

Date: 1/10/18

Approved by:



Richard Woodley
Regional Resources Manager
Mid-Pacific Regional Office

Date: 1/10/18

1 Background

The Bureau of Reclamation awarded Arvin-Edison Water Storage District (District) an Agricultural Water Conservation and Efficiency Grant for \$1,000,000 of a total project cost of \$2,594,277. Reclamation provides cost-share funding on a competitive basis for projects that seek to conserve and use water more efficiently, increase the use of renewable energy and protect endangered species or facilitate water markets. The funds will be used to implement three water conservation projects: The Pilot or Sycamore In-lieu Project, the Sycamore Check Improvement Project, and the Natural Resources Conservation Services Promotion Project. Together, these projects will maximize the use of surface water while decreasing groundwater extractions, conserve water by improved water management, and increase energy efficiency from reduced reliance on pumped groundwater and implementation of on-farm conservation practices.

2 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action

2.1 No Action

Under No Action, Reclamation would not award an Agricultural Water Conservation and Efficiency Grant to partially fund the District for constructing and operating the Pilot or Sycamore In-lieu Project, the Sycamore Check Improvement Project, and the Natural Resources Conservation Services Promotion Project.

2.2 Proposed Action

Under the Proposed Action, Reclamation would award an Agricultural Water Conservation and Efficiency Grant to the District for constructing and operating the Pilot or Sycamore In-lieu Project, the Sycamore Check Improvement Project, and the Natural Resources Conservation Services Promotion Project.

3 Findings

Based on the attached environmental assessment (EA), Reclamation finds that the Proposed Action is not a major Federal action that will significantly affect the quality of the human environment, and preparation of an Environmental Impact Statement is not necessary. The EA describes the existing environmental resources in the vicinity of the Proposed Action, and evaluates the effects of the No Action and Proposed Action alternatives on the resources near the Pilot or Sycamore In-lieu Project, the Sycamore Check Improvement Project, and the Natural Resources Conservation Services Promotion Project. This EA was prepared in accordance with National Environmental Policy Act, the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR

1500-1508), and Department of the Interior regulations (43 CFR Part 46). Effects on environmental resources were examined and found to be absent or minor. The EA was available for public review from December 21, 2017, to January 5, 2018. No comments were received on the EA. That analysis is provided in the attached EA, and the analysis in the EA is hereby incorporated by reference.

Following are the reasons why the impacts of the proposed action are not significant:

1. The proposed action will not significantly affect public health or safety (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(2)).
2. The proposed action will not significantly impact natural resources and unique geographical characteristics such as historic or cultural resources; parks, recreation, and refuge lands; wilderness areas; wild or scenic rivers; national natural landmarks; sole or principal drinking water aquifers; prime farmlands; wetlands (Executive Order (EO) 11990); flood plains (EO 11988); national monuments; migratory birds; and other ecologically significant or critical areas (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(3) and 43 CFR 46.215(b)).
3. The proposed action will not have possible effects on the human environment that are highly uncertain or involve unique or unknown risks (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(5)).
4. The proposed action will neither establish a precedent for future actions with significant effects nor represent a decision in principle about a future consideration (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(6)).
5. There is no potential for the effects to be considered highly controversial (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(4)).
6. The proposed action will not have significant cumulative impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)).
7. The proposed action will not adversely affect any districts, sites, highways, structures, or objects listed in or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(8)). Pursuant to 54 USC § 306108, commonly known as Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act, and its implementing regulations at 36 CFR Part 800, Reclamation determined that no historic properties would be affected and therefore, the proposed action will result in no significant impacts to cultural resources.
8. The proposed action will not affect listed or proposed threatened or endangered species (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(9)).
9. The proposed action will not violate Federal, state, tribal or local law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(10)).
10. The proposed action will not affect any Indian Trust Assets (512 DM 2, Policy Memorandum dated December 15, 1993).
11. Implementing the proposed action will not disproportionately affect minorities or low-

income populations and communities (EO 12898).

12. The proposed action will not limit access to, and ceremonial use of, Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners or significantly adversely affect the physical integrity of such sacred sites (EO 13007 and 512 DM 3).