Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

	issue of Red Bluff to Choose	
	between Rahe Red Bliff staying	2
	a pumps being but in	U
	Da 120 Da hours	
	CA how the Close of ongingons	
	and others made the choice for	
	MA.	
6		1.
(8)	Ul the majorety want the Rah	
	Lasure produced income,	/
	blautis.	
	-	

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name Jou SeeDin
Address 22423 adobe Rd.
Red Bluff, CA 910080
00'
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes X No
Being a teacher in a rural
community I hear from my
students the limited activities
available in our area to occupy
their summer hours, Teaching
summer school I frequently hear
comments about our families
going to the park for a bby party.
Swimming, and fleating down The
river. Firthermore the boat drags
bring income to the community, and
finally, we live on the river and
our property values will be aftertail
by the lass of the take. It is a
Teommunity recreation spot, and
The loss of the lake overwhelmingly will be detremental to the community.
The loss of the lake overwhelmingly (Continued) Will be detremental to the community.

Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name Denise Runnels
Address 14185 Deerwood Dr.
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
I am for my letting the lake on Two to the
I am for not letting the lake go Due to the amount of recreation it brings to the Community
White of recording it brings to the Corninumity
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name FRED LOWINDES
Address 320 KAGR AVE
RED BLUFF, CA 96080-2218
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
1 AM IN FAVOR OF LEAVING THE GATES
OPENFOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:
· ANRESTRICTED FISH PASSAGE IS VITAL TO THE
LIFE OF THE FISH AND TO THE LIFE OF THE
RIVER.
· WITH OPEN GATES THE FISH CAN SPAWN FARTHER
LIP THE RIVER FOR COOLER WATER AND FEWER
LUSSES TO PREDATION.
· THE SU-CALLED FISH LADDERS HAVE NOT SERVED TO
ALLOW SPAWNING FISH TO REACH BETTER AREAS
NORTH OF THE DIVERSION DAM.
· THE PURPOSE OF THE FISH PASSAGE
MPROVEMENT PROJECT 'IS FOR THE BENEFIT
OF THE FISH,
· THE VALUE OF THE FISH AS A NATURAL RESOURCE
IS FAR GREATER THAN THE SMALL BENEFIT
OF DESTROYING ALIVING RIVER
· GATES OPEN AUYEAR IS MY WISH, (Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing

September 25, 2002
Name L. C. Carlla
Address 9455 Law Son C.
Ejerber, Ch.
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
Mej Concerns are for our
elepooly needs. The lake
gives us many recreations
benefits along w/ phoviding
sull city w/ reducestation
Mythul leave out
late as it is nature
always takes carel of
itsel)
(Continued)

The public comment period ends November 5, 2002.

Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name San Corre
Address 375 La Bray Due
Red Bluff. CA 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
Since I have been watching (Suremer time +
week ends) my 2 grandsons, any 90215. They always
want to go to the Deruism Dam. Since bally Rochy
was 8 mo old & walking in wester time we have
taker the boep - They rem all one the place They
want to vise the museum order day, take break
to the fish port wall the trails & area around
the museum & Jash but not least water in the
in the river & throw sock in the review edge.
In the spring, wester you we walk the trails
beid & nature watch - all enlettering &
a good form of exercise.
The since and slowed in a beautiful
Thing for RB. the Slough that feed hito
the river is good for water recreation -
I sports Inente which bring \$ into RB.
I say to heel with the Silnear, the
economy is bad & we need the farm Continued)
Nope te bring revenue.
Submit comments at this meeting of send comments to: Art Bullock/Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority, P.O. Box 1025, Willows, CA 95988, Fax 530 934 2355, F-mail tematerman@aol.com

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name LAURENCE D'ALBERTI
Address 375 LABRAY AVENUE
RED BLUFF CA 96080-2265
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
OUR FIRST IMPRESSION OF LAKE RED
BLUFF IS THAT IT IS A UNDERUTILIZ
RESOURCE. WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE
A WORLD CLASS HOTEL RESORT ON
THE BEND OF THE RIVER, WITH
A MAN MADE BEACH, BOATING MARINA
CASINO CONCERT HAVE HEALTH CLUB
SWIMMING POOLS, MOVIE THEATRE,
NIBHT CLUBS RESTAURANTS JET-SKI
+ SMALL BOAT RENTALS CABANAS
etc. THIS WOULD RÉQUIRE MERTING
LAUGE RED BLUFF INTACT, EXTENDING
THE TIME THE GATES ARE BONN &
RAISING THE TEMPERATURE OF THE
RIVER.
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name Robert M. Stoufor
Address 230 Gurnsen Dr.
Address 230 Gurnsey Dr. Red Bliff CA 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
How much money has been spent to date on
the Nom first by-our - could summer first
How much money has been spent to Cate on the dam fish by-pass - canal pumps fish hadders, etc. and sharfu dams temperature
Contest device,
Circuit Willer
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report **Public Hearing September 25, 2002**

Name ARLO MADSEN
Address 47 A. RIO VISTA Lane
Red Bluff, CAL. 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
In new to this River City - In verry ingressed with my new frome town - Resident since my Retirement (Opril 1986) This is now muy Home.
With my new from town - Resident since
my Retirement (paril 1986) This is now
made Home a
If you take my lake you take my home swe
If you take my lake you take my home swe
7 home
Respectfully Arlo 4. Madsen
. 0
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name Vi Gilbert
Address 105 Kall Ave
Red Bluph CA 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes V No
Det politics enter in to
let politics enter in to
the condition of Red Blubs -
900000000000000000000000000000000000000
Thanking
·
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name CLANDE KIELSON
Address 35 Stucker Ct.
REO BLUFF, CA. 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
WHY NOT KEEP THE DANS OPPERATION AS IS,
WITH A NEW FISH LATTER AND PUMP
STATIONS IF THE FISH ARE A CONCERN
WHY NOT LIMIT FISHING LICENCES
WHO WILL RENBURSON RED BLUFF
FOR REVENEUS LOST OVER LABOR DAY
WEEKEND WHICH IS ABOUT \$1.5 MILLION.
WITH IS THOSE IT MILLION,
75
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name Larry Frash
Address PO Box 1017 20641 minch Rd
Red Bluff, CA 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
Removing the dam would be a Very Big
mistake, for one thing If the fish run
is ok when the gates are open, there is
absolutely no reason to remove it, loosing
the ability to control our sacromentoriver
will mean there will no longer be the hont
drags which is one of the few sources of income
to this town. we will also loose our
summer recreation, boating, floating down
the river on the 4th of July.
There are ways to build better ladder
Systems, & use them!
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name LOVIE BILOTO
Address ZIO AGUA VERDI DR RED BLUFF
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
I LIVE ON THE SAC RIVER
THE ON THE SHEET
JUST NORTH OF JOWN, I BOUGHT
JUST NORTH OF TOWN, I BOUGHT My HOME WITH THE RIVER IN MIND,
IF THE GATES ARE DRUPED MY VALUE
IS GOING TO GO DOWN, NOT TO MENTION
THE LOOKS OF & THE BANKS, NOT A PREITY
CITY TOOK OF THE BITTON, UOT THE PROPERTY
708H1.
THANK YOU.
Tow & Delates
(Continued)
,

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name LANI (YEORD (COUNTRYSION DOVI)
Address 100 SHERMAN PR.
Ren Bluer, CA 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
I AM IN SUPPORT FOR ALTERNATIVE IA. I NOT ONLY
OWN A BUSINESS WHICH DEPENDS ON TRAVELERS AND
TOURISTS BUT ENJOY THE SAME RECREATION BEING A
RESIDENT OF RED BLUFF. ACCESS TO OUR RIVER IS THE
MGST IMPORTANT REDSON I BYHUM MOVED TO RED BLUFF.
WITHOUT OUR RIVER, IT WILL BE A GREAT LOSS TO OUR
Community, FINANCIALLY AND RECREPTIONAL & JUST PURPLE OPEN PURCHASED A HOME ON THE RIVER AND ALSO BARDONNO
A BED AND BREAKFAST ON THE RIVER WHICH DEFINITERY
WILL DEPEND ON TOURISTS. WITHOUT OUR RIVER, WHAT WILL
ATTRACT THEM TO MY BUSINESS, TO ALL OF OUR BUSINESSES
WILL WE END UP LEAVING RED BLUKE, AND MOVING TO
A MORE PROSPEROUS CITY? MAYBE! WHAT ABOUT OUR
FARMERS? WHAT ABOUT OUR WATER? WHAT ABOUT
OUR PEOPLE? FISH ARE IMPORTANT, COOD OFFICE
COMPORCIOSE BEIT WE ARE TOO ! WE NEED TO
Live 1
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name DATE Ly For
Address 100 SHERMAN DR
RED BLUFF, CA. 9608U
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes X No
IN MY OPINION TO REMOVE LAKE RED BLUFF MOULD
BE A KNEE TRAVESTY. THE LAKE HAS BEEN ENJOYED
By my family SINCE I CAN REMEBER. OUR
CATLORED HAVE SPENT COUNTLESS HOURS ENjoyThe
WHATER SPURTS SUCH AS BOATING, WAKE BUARDENT
AND JET SKING, NOT TO MENTION JUST FLOATING
DOWN THE PRIVER REPAYING AFTER A HARD DAYS
voors.
Also ove Economy sprondly perons on
LARE PED BLUFF DUE TO TOURISM, AND OTHER
REVENUES SPORT IN SUMMON MONTHS
FARRANCE, HOW IMPORTANT IS IT FOR
FARMORS TO HAVE TRRIGATION WHIER? I MOUND
SAY VERY IMPORTANT.
THEREFORE I SUPPORT AITERNATIVE IA
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name HANK BOWEN
Address 180 S. MAIN St #49
Red Bluff CA 98080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes X No
Recommend Alternative 1A
SATISFIES STATED GOAL AND OBJECTIVES
1A MITIGATES FISH PASSAGE WITH IMPROVED
LADDER
1A Also provides need water supply for
- Carmers.
1A also provides much needed recreational opportunities
opportunities
1A also does not significantly cause enonomic harm to Red Bluff area
harm to Red Bluff area
We have significant exonomic investment in the diversion dam - lets improve it with
diversion dam - lets improve it with
a ternative 11 (Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name Billy and Jill Lyford
Address 570 Walton Dr
Led Bluff CA
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
My husbands family has lived in Led Bluff for
over 50 years. One of the aspects of this area that
over so years. One of the aspects of this area that has appealed to them is the river, both for
recreation as well as fishing. There has always
been a palance, why can't we keep the palance?
I have only lived it led bluff for 7 years.
Even though I am relatively new here I still
have a sence of price for my town as I
drive over the Sac liver when it is full and
becufiful. One seiling point for me and my move
here from Chico was and is the river. Our
family has grown up on the water. Our hobbies,
passions and sanity are tightly woven into
the river and all it provides for us.
We also have a concern for those with beautiful
river front properties. What hoppens to the property
Value of their homes if the river is no longer
filled to its capasity? There has to be scontinued)
hospy medium.

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name BRIAR HUMPHREY
Address 2495 CIMARRON DR.
RED BLUFF, CA 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
PLEASE EXPLAIN TO ME WHY IT IS ALRIGHT TO
HARVEST A LISTED SPECIES, VIA RECREATIONAL & COMMERCIAL
FISHING, BUT IT IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO LIMIT PART DUBLE
NAMBER FISH PASSAGE, T BELIEVE WE SHOULD IMPROVE
FISH PASSAGE WHENEVER POSSIBLE, BUT NOT AT THE
EXPENSE OF A COMMUNITY. I BELIEVE ALTERNATIVE
1 A WOULD ACCOMPLISH BOTH NEEDS, THE NEED FOR
FISH PASSAGE IMPROVEMENT & & KEEPING LAKE RED BLOFF
TOWN THE PROPERTY OF BEETING CARE THE PECAL
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name FIK Petersen
Address 12585 Black C+ RB
The state of the s
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
Thank you for taking time to read this.
My Children (846) learned to ski in Lake
Ad Bluff, we are bosy people. The emortinity
to out our boot in the water on the aired
The war of the
1 DB
without LXD, we would go boating far less
often we would to buy gos, food, Soda, Ice,
etc, for less often. Not only out of towners, but
our own ted But citizens would be forced to
spend their money elsewhere. I know In rambling.
1 ***
Personally, Lake Red Bluff is probably the
most important reason that we continue to live hore.
Without Lake Kel Pluff. We have little mason not
to move closer to Rodding.
TO THOSE CIOSE TO TRACTICA
(Continued)
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name to Celleat			
Address Rod Durch -			7.4
Please add my name to your mailing list.	Yes	No	
DO WON - More solmon -			
		100	
Miss stow - courted	to LA		
	, 0	•	
Don't porticularly core -	£ (00.	1600/ De	
	1.7	om.	<u></u>
TO YOU WINDIN	43100	· ·	
			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
			V
			(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name HENRY C HUBBARD
Name HENRY C HUBBARD Address 19500 BALLS Red BLUTTE BA 96080
(A Local FISHERMAN.)
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
TRY THE IMPROVED FISH LADDER AND
The state of the s
THEN MAKE YOUR MIND UP.
John Mar xun MIND UI.
IF IT WILL WORK YOU'll SAVE ALOT
,
OF ELECTRICA, NOT PUMPING.
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name SLOTT PUBPILLHT
Address 100 PTO STREET
P.O. BOX 190
PED BLUFF CA. 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
I PREFER ALTERNATIVE LA LAS DOES THE
pest of my family - 5 members TOTAL). We use
LAIR PED BLUFF EXTENSIVELY DUNTING THE Y MONTH
SUMMER PECPEATION PERIOD.
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name Ray Sall Address 180 Sale Lane Conthe lake!
Address 180 Sale Lane Conthe lake
RID BLUFF
CA., 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes X No (2 may be sen ulreany)
(2 may be un ulready)
I was disposinted that the
connuttee would not ausurer
questions directed to them
from the spinker. The audience
would have been interested in
there questions!
Meso, the to committee members
didnat, to my hnawledge,
entraduce themselves or say
where they were from tennine
from Zenama Caeinty? or even
northern California ?
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name William D. Janox & Mary L. Jones
Address 2/6/5 may for Dr
-17ed Bluff, Ca. 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes X No
We feel that Red Bluff Lake sleveld
be frest as long as possible. Sending
weater south west of the Scoromailo river
for the former below is need cheapo
Than having to pump it. If the Oake
wasn't kide boating would become almost
yero and it would Thurt the entire town
of Red Bluff and all the people there leave
homes on the river just because of the
homes on the river just becourtely lake We are definetly against any chang
in lowering The lake.
,
Sincerely Dill & Mary Jones
hill & Marce love
- The state of the
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name Gernon a. Banwarth
Name Gernon a. Banwarth Address 1508 Jackon II 4 Rad Blif Co. 96080
Rad Blok Co 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
The lake is why decided on Red Bluff
The lake is why decided on Red Bluff to live, without it would it wowin BB
·
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report Public Hearing September 25, 2002

Name RON JUDSON
Address 22445 BRENT Rd. RED BLUFF C. 96080
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes No
We need another 8-10 years of experient
with the 4 months gates in mode to better
months gates out expressed the gates are
OUT. Have the Flood years 1955, 1964, 1973
1983, 1986 etc been tactored in as well as the drought years, 1976 etc.
as the area of the second of t
(Continued)

Fish Passage Improvement Project

at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam

COMMENT SHEET

Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report
Public Hearing
September 25, 2002

Name Pat MKENZIE Address 2656i More Red Bluff, CA 96060-351/
Address 265 Gil More Roy Red Bluff, CA 96080-3511
Please add my name to your mailing list. Yes \ \ \ No
One of The only reason & decided to by a
One of The only reason = decided to by a house in RedBluff were I did was because
Of the Lake 1 Plus it we loose the Lake it
busts the ecomeny of Red Bluff. So Please
don't hope the Lake!!!
A CONDE
(Continued)

Art Bullock/Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority November 9, 2002 P O Box 1025 Willows, Ca 95988

Dear Mr. Bullock:

When I walk the streets of Red Bluff, I see what a depressed area it is, with buildings empty, the river very low, and now it seems that Red Bluff Lake will be empty too.

Red Bluff needs the river for the economic growth. There are jobs provided to people through activities on the river. How can that be discounted? Restaurants on the river don't have the same activity as when the river is full. People don't visit the park area with water not being up to the shore, the river is a great attraction. Other areas and establishments are not frequented as often either. Red Bluff Lake is a draw.

I think Red Bluff needs 'Red Bluff Lake'. There are ladders for the fish; the dam is only closed for those few months in the summer. As many other people have stated "this whole issue is about moving the water south." I hope you will be a partner, and a spokesperson to leave Red Bluff Lake alone.

The community of Red Bluff depends on Lake Red Bluff!

hely Ingeroll

Sincerely,

Shelby Ingersoll

130 Agua Verdi Drive Red Bluff, Ca 96080



California Integrated Waste Management Board

Linda Moulton-Patterson, Chair
1001 I Street • Sacramento, California 95814 • (916) 341-6000
Mailing Address: P. O. Box 4025, Sacramento, CA 95812-4025
www.ciwmb.ca.gov



Gray Davis Governor

Winston H. Hickox Secretary for Environmental Protection

December 6, 2002

Mr. Art Bullock Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority 5513 Highway 162 Willows, CA 95988



Clear 10/15/02 late 6

Subject: SCH No. 2002042075—Draft Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report for the Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam, Tehama County.

Dear Mr. Bullock:

The California Integrated Waste Management Board's (CIWMB or Board) staff has reviewed the environmental document (ED) cited above and offer the following project description, analysis, and our recommendations based on staff's understanding of the project. If the CIWMB project description varies substantially from the project as understood by the Lead Agency, Board staff requests immediate notification of any significant differences. We also request that any Project Description changes be incorporated into the ED prior to certification of the DEIS/DEIR and local approval of the project.

PROJECT DESCRIPTION

According to the DEIS/DEIR, the Fish Passage Improvement Project at the Red Bluff Diversion Dam is in response to the continued well-documented fish passage and agricultural water diversion reliability problems associated with the operation of the Red Bluff Diversion Dam (RBDD). The project would substantially improve the long-term ability to reliably pass anadromous fish and other species of concern, both upstream and downstream, past the RBDD. The project would also substantially improve the long-term ability to reliably and cost-effectively move sufficient water into the Tehama-Colusa Canal and Corning Canal systems to meet the needs of the water districts served by the Tehama Colusa Canal Authority.

Existing Pactiv Corporation Landfill

Page XIV of the DEIS/DEIR Introduction states "Pactiv Corporation (Pactiv) land occupies an 8.3-acre site approximately 1,400 feet upstream of RBDD. The Pactiv Landfill is used for the disposal of dried paper sludge generated at the onsite industrial wastewater treatment facility".

California Environmental Protection Agency

& Printed on Recycled Paper

SCH #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 2

The CIWMB has no records of this landfill being a permitted solid waste landfill in accordance with Public Resource Code (PRC) 44002 which states "The operation of a solid waste facility by any person, except as authorized pursuant to a solid waste facilities permit issued by the enforcement agency, is prohibited." The Project Description does not include any relevant technical information regarding the physical characteristics of the landfill nor cited investigations. As a responsible agency for the potential permitting and/or closure of the landfill, the CIWMB requests that the lead agency clarify if the existing Pactiv Landfill would be affected by the proposed project. Also, specifically describe how the existing Pactiv Landfill would be affected by the proposed project.

Proposed New On-Site Landfill

The proposed fish screen and pumping station described in the various alternatives are partially located on property referred to as the "mill site." According to Section 2.3.1 of the DEIS/DEIR, "Construction of the Mill Site Pump Station would require excavation of a large forebay. Approximately 750,000 CY of material would be excavated under the Gates-out Alternative. It is anticipated that a large portion of that material (approximately 580,000 CY) would be disposed of onsite. The remainder of excavated material would likely be hauled offsite to a disposal facility." Please refer to the Board staff comments below for specific questions regarding these aspects (i.e. onsite and offsite disposal of waste) of the proposed project.

Findings and Mitigation in the DEIS/DEIR

Potentially significant and unavoidable impacts in the areas of Fishery Resources, Water Resources, Biological Resources, Recreation, Land Use, Geology, Socioeconomic, Cultural Resources, Aesthetics, Air Quality, Traffic and Circulation, have been identified in the DEIS/DEIR. Mitigation measures have been proposed which the lead agency believes will reduce these impacts to a level of less than significant.

Those impacts that are found to be significant and unavoidable would require TCCA to prepare a Statement of Overriding Considerations. The impacts identified as potentially significant and unavoidable include Fishery Resources, Biological Resources, Recreation, Power, Aesthetics, and Land Use.

CIWMB ROLE AS A RESPONSIBLE AGENCY

The CIWMB regulates the permitting of solid waste facilities (landfills, transfer stations and composting operations) in California and would not normally comment on a fish passage improvement project. However, the DEIS/DEIR developed by the lead agency appears to describe a project where each of the four Alternatives includes a pump station to be located on an excavated portion of an existing landfill, making the Board a responsible agency for those activities related to the existing landfill, excavation of the existing landfill, re disposal of the solid waste, closure of the existing landfill, as well as potential development of a new on site landfill. The Board's comments and questions in this letter focus on the on landfill related portions of the proposed project, in order to assist the lead agency in identifying significant environmental issues, and to facilitate the evaluation process under the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) and the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

FROM: CIUMB

SCII #2002042075

Fish Passage Improvement Project
December 6, 2002

Page 3

The CIWMB operates in cooperation with local government to assure protection of the public health, safety and the environment from the potentially detrimental effects or improper solid waste management. The CIWMB concurs in the issuance, or revision of a Solid Waste Facilities Permit (SWFP) with Local Enforcement Agencies (LEAs) to assure that a solid waste facility operates in a manner consistent with all applicable laws and regulations.

CIWMB CEQA REVIEW

As a responsible agency under CEQA, CIWMB staff's review and comments on an ED are intended to assist the lead agency in developing an ED that will be as complete and adequate as possible for use by the lead agency and all responsible agencies. Board staff's comments are intended to help decision-makers 1) identify potential impacts from proposed projects; 2) determine whether any such impacts are significant; and 3) ascertain whether significant impacts can be mitigated to a level of insignificance in compliance with the CEQA statutes and guidelines.

When evaluating the adequacy of an ED for purposes of SWFP concurrence, Board staff must compare the design and operation of the facility as described in the proposed SWFP with the project as described and evaluated in the ED cited for CEQA compliance in the proposed SWFP.

In order for CIWMB staff to evaluate and recommend whether or not the ED is adequate for use in the CIWMB permitting process, the proposed project must be described in sufficient detail for staff to understand and evaluate the proposed project, the potential environmental impacts, proposed mitigation measures, and findings as presented by the lead agency.

When performing the initial review of a CEQA document such as a DEIR or Negative Declaration during the circulation process, the first analysis Board staff must make is to evaluate whether or not the proposed CEQA document clearly describes all phases of the project and assesses all potential primary and secondary impacts to the environment and/or public health and safety that could occur if the project is implemented.

When the proposed SWFP is received by the CIWMB along with the citation of evidence of CEQA compliance by the LEA, the second analysis performed by Board staff is to evaluate whether or not the CEQA evaluation in the cited ED supports the requested specifications, revisions, and/or conditions of the proposed SWFP. For instance, does the ED clearly describe and assess the potential air quality, water quality, geological impacts, traffic, noise, dust, vector and other health and safety impacts that can be associated with the proposed solid waste facility or changes in design and/or operation? When this type of information is included and addressed in the ED, the SWFP concurrence process is greatly facilitated.

After comparison of the cited CEQA document with the proposed SWFP, Board staff makes a recommendation to the CIWMB regarding the adequacy of the CEQA document for CIWMB SWFP concurrence purposes. The Board members of CIWMB make the final determination of the adequacy of the CEQA document for SWFP concurrence as well as whether or not to concur in issuance of the SWFP.

Dec. 06 2002 09:15PM P4

FROM : CIWMB SCII #2002042075

Fish Passage Improvement Project

December 6, 2002

Page 4

CIWMB STAFF COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS

DEIS/DEIR Not Circulated to CIWMB

If Board staff correctly understands the proposed project, then it appears that the Board will be a responsible agency for concurring on a solid waste facilities permit for the permitting, and/or partial or full closure of the existing, apparently un-permitted, possibly illegal Pactiv Landfill, as well as concurring on a solid waste facilities permit for a proposed new onsite Pactiv Landfill.

It appears that the lead agency is/was not aware of the Board's role as a responsible agency for the permitting of and closure of solid waste facilities (i.e. landfills, transfer stations, compost facilities, etc.). The Board did not receive a Notice of Preparation (NOP) for the DEIS/DEIR as required by section 15082 of the CEQA Guidelines. As such, the Board was not afforded the opportunity to comment on the document during the early development stages.

The CIWMB is available to consult with the lead agency to clarify our understanding of the project, the circulation process, adequacy of the document as prepared for the Board's use, and the next steps that the lead agency intends or needs to take.

Information to be added to the DEIS/DEIR Project Description

If the ED is intended to be used for the permitting and/or closure of solid waste facilities, the Board should be listed in Section 1.6 Required Permits and Approvals of the DEIS/DEIR and in a section that appears to be missing from the DEIS/DEIR. CEQA Guidelines section 15124(d)(1)(A) also requires a list of agencies that are expected to use the DEIS/DEIR in their decision-making. Please provide this information in the FEIS/FEIR.

Unclear/Inadequate Project Description

In order for CIWMB staff to evaluate and recommend whether the ED is adequate for use in the CIWMB permitting process, the proposed project must be described in sufficient detail for Board staff to understand and evaluate the proposed project, the potential environmental impacts, proposed mitigation measures, and findings as presented by the lead agency.

Please clarify if the lead agency intends to use the FEIS/FEIR to support the solid waste permitting and/or closure of the exiting un-permitted Pactiv Landfill or the solid waste permitting of a proposed new onsite Pactiv Landfill. CEQA requires that the document prepared by the lead agency include "a statement briefly describing the intended uses of the EIR" [CEQA Guidelines section 15124(d)].

If so, Board staff believes this document as written, does not contain a complete Project Description as required in the CEQA Guidelines section 15124. The project description needs to expanded to include sufficient detail in the following areas:

1. Location and boundaries of the existing Pactiv Landfill and the proposed onsite new Pactiv Landfill. To-scale map(s) should be included that clearly identify the location of the existing and proposed landfill boundaries. Maps should also include a north arrow and relevant topographic, physical features and structures:

SCII #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 5

2. The project description as contained within the DEIS/DEIR does not include a clear description of the project's technical, economic, and environmental characteristics and associated impacts considering the project's principal engineering proposals, and supporting public service facilities relative to the existing Pactiv Landfill and proposed onsite landfill in accordance with section 15124 of the CEQA Guidelines.

The ED does not fully describe the whole of the project in sufficient detail for the CIWMB's use in the permitting or closure of the existing landfill or permitting of the proposed new onsite landfill. If the document is certified with the current landfill descriptions, Board staff will recommend to the CIWMB that additional CEQA be required for any proposed solid waste facility permits related to this project.

If the draft DEIS/DEIR is intended to be used for the permitting and/or closure of the existing Pactiv Landfill or for the excavation of the existing Pactiv Landfill, or for the construction and development of a new onsite Pactiv Landfill, the DEIS/DEIR must be revised to detail the technical, economic, and environmental characteristics and associated impacts considering the project's principal engineering proposals, and supporting public service facilities for landfill design and operation in order to indicate the ability of the facility(ies) to meet State Minimum Standards for environmental protection (see CCR Title 14, Chapter 3, § 17200, et seq. and CCR Title 27, Division 2, Chapter 1, § 20005, et. seq.). The following internet link accesses checklists developed by Board staff as a guide to lead agencies in the preparation of EIRs for landfills, transfer stations, material recovery facilities, and composting facilities:

http://www.ciwmb.ca.gov/LEACentral/CEQA/disposal.htm

Each of the Board's checklist items relevant to the proposed project must be addressed in the ED and/or the lead agency incorporate by reference into the ED document(s) that contain project specific information. Board staff recommends that the new information and/or information incorporated by reference into the ED then be re-circulated through the State Clearinghouse (SCH) for public and agency review and comment.

Recirculation of the ED Required Under CEQA Guidelines, section 15088.5(a).

CEQA Guidelines section 15088.5(a) states "A lead agency is required to recirculate an EIR when significant new information is added to the EIR after public notice is given of the availability of the draft EIR for public review under Section 15087 but before certification. As used in this section, the term "information" can include changes in the project or environmental setting as well as additional data or other information. New information added to an EIR is not "significant" unless the EIR is changed in a way that deprives the public of a meaningful opportunity to comment upon a substantial adverse environmental effect of the project or a feasible way to mitigate or avoid such an effect (including a feasible project alternative) that the project's proponents have declined to implement. "Significant new information" requiring recirculation include, for example, a disclosure showing that:

- (1) A new significant environmental impact would result from the project or from a new mitigation measure proposed to be implemented.
- (2) A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless

(2) A substantial increase in the severity of an environmental impact would result unless mitigation measures are adopted that reduce the impact to a level of insignificance."

Page 5

SCH #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 6

- (3) A feasible project alternative or mitigation measure considerably different from others previously analyzed would clearly lessen the significant environmental impacts of the project, but the project's proponents decline to adopt it.
- (4) The draft EIR was so fundamentally and basically inadequate and conclusory in nature that meaningful public review and comment were precluded."

Board staff believe that number (1), (2) and (4) above are reasons for the lead agency to prepare additions to the DEIS/DEIR related to the landfill aspects of the proposed project and recirculate these additions/revisions to the public and government agencies.

The ED as circulated does not contain a description or assessment of potentially significant environmental impacts from the waste proposed for removal from the Pactiv Landfill. Information that needs to be described and impacts assessed include:

- Characterization of waste
- Total quantity of waste to be excavated
- Total excavated waste to be re-disposed of on site versus quantity to be disposed of off site
- Impacts to the permitted capacities of the proposed off site disposal facilities
- Ability of off site disposal facilities to legally receive wastes by type or classification, based on the waste characterization
- Storage of waste material on-site prior to re-disposal in the proposed new on-site landfill
- Final distribution of land ownership for the preferred project alternative as it relates to the
 environmental liabilities for the waste including existing waste, re-disposed of waste and
 any newly generated Pactiv waste

Board staff recommends the preparation and recirculation of a revised Project Description in accordance with CEQA Guidelines, section 15088.5 to include a description and analysis of potentially significant impacts from the existing landfill and the excavation, transport, and redisposal of waste, both on-site and off-site, as well as construction of a new landfill for each of the alternatives identified in the DEIS/DEIR.

It is our understanding from reading the ED, discussions with the Regional Water Quality Control Board, and a phone conference between Board staff and Mike Urkov of CH2M-Hill, that several technical investigation reports exist for the existing landfill and are available for review. Additionally, it was indicated in the ED that the Regional Water Quality Control Board has previously issued Waste Discharge Requirements. Please provide the following documents, and any other relevant technical and/or regulatory documents, as well as incorporate them by reference into the recirculated portions of ED:

(1) Pg XX: California [Central Valley] Regional Water Quality Control Board. 1990. Waste Discharge Requirements for Pactiv Company of California, Class III Landfill, Tehama, California. Order No. 91-064. September 12.

Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 7

- (2) Pg 6-2: California [Central Valley] Regional Water Quality Control Board. 2000. Notice of Violation from RWQCB to Pactiv Corporation re: "Pactiv Paper Sludge Landfill, One Diamond Avenue, Red Bluff, Tehama County." April 24.
- (3) Pg 6-5: Pactiv Corporation. 2001. Work plan for Supplemental Site Characterization, Pactiv Paper Sludge Landfill, Red Bluff, California. February 6.
- (4) Pg 6-8: URS Corporation. 2000. Groundwater Monitoring Data Report, Pactiv Paper Sludge Landfill. March.

Permitting of Existing Pactiv Landfill and Proposed New On-Site Landfill

The CIWMB has no record of the existing landfill being a permitted solid waste landfill or of a new solid waste facilities permit application being received by the Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) for a new landfill in accordance with Public Resource Code (PRC) 44002 which states "The operation of a solid waste facility by any person, except as authorized pursuant to a solid waste facilities permit issued by the enforcement agency, is prohibited." The Project Description does not include any relevant technical information regarding the physical characteristics of the landfill nor cited investigations. As a responsible agency for the potential permitting and/or closure of the landfill, the CIWMB requests that the lead agency clarify the technical as well as the potentially significant environmental impacts of the proposed project to the existing Pactiv Landfill.

Consultation with Local Enforcement Agency (LEA)

Permitting requirements for solid waste facilities are a determination made by the Local Enforcement Agency (LEA) for a given region. The LEA should be consulted to discuss solid waste regulations for landfills in California as well as the requirements for SWFPs for all of the proposed project's landfill related activities. In addition, it is recommended that the lead agency consult with the LEA to address the potential waste types and quantities of waste that are present on site and the possible environmental impacts affecting the permit limits for each landfill. The LEA contact for Tehama County is:

Allan Fleming
Department of Environmental Health
Courthouse Room 36
633 Washington St
Red Bluff, CA 96080

Christine Karl is the Board permitting staff for Tehama County and is available to assist both the LEA and lead agency address project specific issues if assistance is requested. Christine's phone number is (916) 341-6405.

Closure of the Existing Landfill

Section 3.7 of the DEIS/DEIR states that Pactiv has completed a corrective action plan in response to the clevated levels of the constituents detected in site wells in October 2000. The corrective action plan indicated that Pactiv intends to close the landfill, possibly by capping the

SCH #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 8

landfill with a geosynthetic clay liner or designating a containment zone. As outlined in the February 2001 Work Plan, further site characterization is being performed in preparation for site closure. Please provide Board staff with a copy of the corrective action plan.

A preliminary and final closure plan may be required to be submitted to the CIWMB's Closure, Remediation, and Technical Assistance Branch. Whether one or both of these plans will be required is dependent upon the proposed project and the alternative selected, which at this time are not clearly described in the DEIS/DEIR. If partial or full closure is anticipated for the existing landfill, Michael Wochnick of the CIWMB's Remediation, Closure, & Technical Services Branch should be contacted at (916) 341-6318. He will be able to answer technical questions for closure plans, including waste removal, cover material standards, slope stability, seismic issues, post-closure land uses, and other related matters. All questions related to active landfill status should be directed to Christine Karl at the phone number previously identified above or her Supervisor, Sue O'Leary at (916) 341-6334.

Land Use Compatibility

The DEIR/DEIS should identify the proposed project site's surrounding land use. The DEIR/DEIS should be specific regarding the distance to the nearest sensitive receptor(s) and should also indicate site and adjacent property ownership. The surrounding land use must be designated as compatible with the proposed/current land uses at the project sites. The local government, in whose jurisdiction the facilities will be located, must make a finding that the facility is consistent with the General Plan and is identified in the most recent County Integrated/Solid Waste Management Plan [PRC, Section 50001].

Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan

Please address how the proposed changes in the facility's operation at the Pactiv Landfill will affect the Countywide Integrated Waste Management Plan for Tehama County.

Hazardous Waste

According to the comment letter dated September 26, 2002, from Mey Wong, P.E. with the California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, a site investigation conducted by CH2MHill found layers of burn ash mixed with paper pulp waste that may contain hazardous materials. Please clarify if hazardous wastes have been discovered on-site, which wastes have been found, and if the lead agency and/or landowner have notified the Department of Toxic Substances Control or any other regulatory agency. Please provide proof of notification and any technical reports relevant to this issue.

Offsite Disposal of Excavated Waste

Please describe in the recirculated ED the proposed final disposal location of all waste to be excavated and disposed of offsite. The ED should analyze for impacts related to offsite disposal of waste. For example, the impacts to the permitted daily capacities (tonnage or cubic yards) of proposed disposal facilities should be considered to understand if the proposed facility has the capability or capacity to accept the waste without violating the terms or conditions of their SWFP. For example, re-disposal of the waste offsite, at either the Tehama County/Red Bluff

FROM : CIWMB

Dec. 06 2002 09:18PM

SCH #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 9

Landfill or another facility, could potentially create a potentially significant environmental impact to the facilities as the facilities may not be permitted to accept and/or have the capability to handle the quantity of waste, or the type of waste, or be able to handle the condition of the waste (i.e. liquid versus solid, anaerobic, etc.).

Cumulative Impacts

It is important that the ED identify potentially significant cumulative impacts resulting from the proposed project (i.e. the existing Pactiv Landfill and the proposed Pactiv Landfill) and any combined projects within the project vicinity as well as those incremental impacts resulting from the proposed project's implementation. For example, cumulative traffic impacts may need to be discussed relative to the construction of the new Pactiv Landfill as well as construction relative to the excavation of the existing Pactiv Landfill and other construction related activities associated with the project or adjacent projects, etc.

Traffic and Related Transportation System Impacts

SWFPs are written for five year intervals thus, traffic volumes (separate and total daily vehicle counts) for construction activities and the project's operational vehicle throughput should be projected for at least the first five years of the project with a projection of the estimated growth rate over the life of the project.

Traffic volumes for construction activities and the project's operational vehicle throughput may not be specifically projected until a specific proposal for a new landfill is proposed and evaluated. However, the existing highway infrastructure should be assessed and evaluated to determine if the system could capably handle an increase in truck traffic and subsequent vehicular impacts from the siting and construction of the proposed new or the excavation of the existing Pactiv Landfill. A traffic study may be necessary to determine whether the existing and planned infrastructure can handle the projected vehicular movement, and whether improvements may be necessary to accommodate increased traffic; including the repair and maintenance on existing roads, additional lighting, turn lanes, and pedestrian walk-ways; as well as cumulative impacts on the circulation within the local vicinity (i.e. ingress and egress).

Air Quality

Local and regional impacts on air quality from direct and indirect source emissions both for the landfill facility construction, excavation, and operations as well as equipment and vehicles accessing the facility should be analyzed in detail, including the potential impact on local and regional air quality management plans. If the facility is located in a "non-attainment" air basin, cumulative impacts affecting the projected attainment dates may be significant. Mitigation measures, which will be employed to address impacts for the facility, should be incorporated into the ED with a description of the "attainment" plan for the air basin(s) quality. The local Air Pollution Control District should be contacted regarding air pollution permits, which may be required to ensure compliance with ambient air quality standards.

Odors from the excavation of existing paper waste/sludge, as well as the drying of paper waste/sludge, may create potentially significant odor problems should it be a proposed part of the project to dry sludge prior to landfilling. Dust particulate generation and control should also be

SCH #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 10

thoroughly described in the ED. The distance to the nearest residential and/or commercial receptors downwind should be identified and analyzed for in the ED.

Surface Drainage

The ED should include a drainage plan, which identifies the proposed new Pactiv Landfill's proposed operating design for surface drainage/runoff and collection as well as proposed drainage controls during the excavation of the existing Pactiv Landfill. The plan should identify surface runoff, creeks, rivers and/or diversion channels in areas adjacent to the project area, and any associated impacts. Indicate the location and permeability of any proposed diversion berm(s), which redirect flow away from/around the proposed facility and any drainage basins to keep drainage on-site. Will the proposed facilities be able to handle a 100-year, 24-hour storm event? Board staff recommends that the Regional Water Quality Control Board (RWQCB) be contacted to determine if a Report of Waste Discharge (ROWD) or National Pollution Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permits are required for the CLF.

Sensitive Receptors

Please provide information concerning the location, direction and distance to sensitive receptors, including the number of residents so ERS staff can evaluate the project's potential environmental impacts relative to noise, aesthetics, and glare on these receptors.

Noise

Noise impacts from construction and operations of the new Pactiv Landfill as well as excavation and transportation of waste from the existing Pactiv Landfill should be compared to county noise ordinance requirements in order to determine if noise standards are being exceeded. A noise study may be necessary if local receptors are impacted by equipment operations during daytime or nighttime hours, and should be included in the ED. Appropriate noise-attenuating mitigation measures, which can be implemented to reduce noise levels, should be incorporated into the ED. Short term and cumulative impacts should be assessed as well.

Landfill Geology and Hydrogeology

Proposed physical changes for landfill development require site preparation which would involve the excavation and grading of the soil and rock in order to construct a landfill base liner. The permanent alteration of the topographic profile due to landfill development may be considered a potentially significant impact that cannot be mitigated to a less than significant level. The ED should include a site assessment and feasibility survey that includes any soil [and rock] borings to determine the types of landfill waste management units that might be feasibly constructed, and to what depth they might be considered. Unique geological features and valuable groundwater resources should be identified both on and adjacent to the proposed project area. The depth to the groundwater aquifer should be identified as well as the groundwater quality as a drinking or agricultural source. Any perched groundwater discovered during site borings should be disclosed. The amount of clay soil material that can be expected to be excavated during landfill development as well as the clay's general hydraulic conductivity could be very important issues in the development of a landfill and should be disclosed in the ED.

FROM : CIWMB FAX NO. : 9163416389 Dec. 06 2002 09:20PM P10

SCII #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 11

Earthquake Faulting and Seismic Stress

Identify in the ED any known earthquake faults on and/or in the vicinity of the proposed project and the frequency of seismic activity as well as a range of most probable earthquake (MPE) magnitudes and maximum ground acceleration (MGA). Please include a map of historic epicenters within a radius of ten miles of the proposed project.

Please include a discussion of the proposed landfill excavation and side slope structural integrity under seismic duress and possible module/lift design development scenarios.

Statement of Overriding Considerations

Please provide Board staff with a copy of any Statement(s) of Overriding Consideration(s) (SOC) for environmental impacts that are found unmitigatable in the Final EIS/EIR as Board staff will need the SOC(s) for Board concurrence on SWFPs for either the existing Pactiv Landfill or for a new on site solid waste facility.

Mitigation Reporting or Monitoring Program (MRMP)

As required by Public Resources Code (PRC) Section 21081.6, the lead agency should submit a MRMP at the time of local certification of the FEIS/FEIR. This should identify the environmental impacts associated with the proposed project, identify mitigation measures to reduce impacts to a less than significant level, identify agencies responsible for ensuring the implementation of the proposed mitigations, and specify a monitoring/tracking mechanism. PRC Section 21080 (c)(2) requires that mitigation measures "...avoid the effects or mitigate the effects to the point where clearly no significant effect on the environment would occur." The MRMP is also required to be made a condition of project approval. Recent changes to this Section 21081.6(b) also requires that "A public agency shall provide the measures to mitigate or avoid significant effects on the environment are fully enforceable through permit conditions, agreements, or other measures."

The MRMP should also indicate that agencies designated to enforce mitigation measures in the DEIS/DEIR have reviewed the MRMP and agreed that they have the authority and means to accomplish the designated enforcement responsibilities.

SUMMARY

Board staff hopes that this comment letter will be useful to the lead agency in carrying out their responsibilities in the CEQA/NEPA process. Board staff requests that the lead agency add the Board to its list of responsible agencies and mailing list. In addition, please provide copies of the following documents:

- A hard copy of the DEIS/DEIR as the document was not circulated to the Board for review and comment
- Any subsequent environmental documents for this project, including any newly developed and re circulated sections of the DEIS\DEIR in accordance with section 15088.5 of the CEQA Guidelines
- The FEIS/FEIR

FROM : CIWMB

FAX NO. : 9163416389

Dec. 06 2002 09:21PM P11

SCII#2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 12

- Copies of public notices
- Revised and final mitigation measures and MRMP and
- Notices of Determination and any Statement of Overriding Consideration prepared for this project.

If the document is to be certified and the project approved during a public hearing, staff requests ten days prior notice of the hearing. If the document is to be certified and the project approved without a public hearing, staff requests ten days prior notification of the date of the adoption and project approval by the decision-making body.

Staff thanks the lead agency for the opportunity to review and comment on this proposed project. If you have any questions on our comments, please contact either Steven Hooper at 916-341-6730 (email - shooper@ciwmb.ca.gov) or Sue O'Leary at (916) 341-6334 (e-mail - soleary@ciwmb.ca.gov).

Sincerely,

Sue O'Leary for

Steven Hooper/Teri Wion
Permitting and Inspection Branch
Permitting and Enforcement Division
California Integrated Waste Management Board

cc:

Allan Fleming, LEA
Department of Environmental Health
Courthouse Room 36
633 Washington St
Red Bluff, CA 96080

Alan Abbs, Solid Waste Director Tehama County / City of Red Bluff Landfill Management Agency P.O. Box 8549 Red Bluff, CA 96080

Mike Urkov, Assistant Project Manager CH2Mhill 2525 Airpark Drive Redding, CA 96001 SCH #2002042075 Fish Passage Improvement Project December 6, 2002 Page 13

Christine Karl/Suc O'Leary
Permitting and Inspection Branch, Region 3
Permitting and Enforcement Division
California Integrated Waste Management Board

Michael Wochnick Remediation, Closure, & Technical Services Branch Permitting and Enforcement Division California Integrated Waste Management Board

Michael Bledsoe Staff Counsel Legal Office California Integrated Waste Management Board

USE CONFLICTS / SACRAMENTO RIVER BASIN

Editorial: Lake Red Bluff should be saved in water shuffle

Redding Record Searchlight - 11/26/02

The debate raging over the fate of Lake Red Bluff embodies a classic clash of competing interests. Fish, farmers and just everyday residents are vying for one precious commodity——water.

The Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority is collecting comments through Saturday on proposals intended to provide more water for fish and crops. An extreme alternative would dry up Lake Red Bluff, effectively leaving it as a permanent gravel bar, and evicting the Nitro Nationals Drag Boat Festival in the process. We believe the answer lies in sharing what water there is —— using the most efficient ways possible —— thereby preserving the seasonal lake and the recreation it provides.

The Red Bluff Diversion Dam downstream from Red Bluff is an obvious barrier to the migration of salmon, steelhead and sturgeon. Sea-going fish need to get upstream to spawn and then head back down river to reach the ocean. For most of the year, the fish can swim back and forth when the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation raises the dam's gates. But from mid-May to mid-September, the bureau closes the gates so water can be diverted into irrigation canals for thirsty crops. Restricting the flow also raises the elevation of the Sacramento River, which forms the 6-milelong Lake Red Bluff.

Migrating fish have three fish ladders available, but they're inadequate during certain times. The water that flows down the Tehama-Colusa and Corning canals irrigates a variety of crops ——from almonds to alfalfa —— and farmers could use more water.

Lake Red Bluff shouldn't be a casualty in this tug-of-war over water. The lake provides opportunities for swimming, water skiing or a spot to sit and enjoy the outdoors. It's a beautiful setting for picnics, children's birthday parties, band concerts and car shows. Many families have purchased memorial benches along the shore as remembrances to loved ones. The lake serves as the backdrop for Fourth of July celebrations and the popular drag boat festival on Memorial Day.

The Red Bluff community would suffer economically if the lake dried up completely. Revenue from sale taxes and hotel taxes would fall if tourism declined, and homeowners near the lake's shore would see property values drop. In all, the loss could amount to \$4.2 million a year.

We favor Alternative 1A in the environmental report prepared for the Bureau of Reclamation and the Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority. Under this plan, the diversion dam's gates would remain closed four months of the year allowing Lake Red Bluff to fill, the fish ladders would be expanded and improved, and a pumping facility would send more water to farmers. This option would accomplish the goal of helping fish, providing state-of-the-art fish ladders were built and fish screens at the pumping station were properly designed.

The public's comments will be included in the final environmental document. A decision, due in the spring, would require the approval of the Bureau of Reclamation. We hope common sense will prevail. Fish and farmers have needs, but let's not lose sight of the fact that residents have real needs too. #



I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Marian Staffeller Date 9/22/01



I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE

AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Karen Jola 9/23/02

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Halandalalalalalan Maasidalalan lihih lallal

I AM ADAMANTLY IN PAVOR OF SETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM SEP

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 1802

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES

TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Signed Sox 9/23/02

Art Bullock
Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority

P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

58+1025



I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND
REASON.

Jon Joulant 9-23-02 Signed Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

thindalahalahalahan Himmidalahalah di julia

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FOOR OFM
RETAINING
LAKE RED BLUFF FROM: SEP
MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER IS 22

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND
REASON.

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

16+10Z5

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM SEP

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15002

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.

Yairs Signed

9-23-02 Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Hdushhdulukhdudlllamhddaddahldallal

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM SEP

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 150L

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES

TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT

REASON.

(U) lene Helols 9/23/02


Date


12975 Arbor Lane

Red Bluff, CH.


CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND


96020







للبانيانا بايليانا بالمليان والماسانا المانيان المانيان المانيان المانيان المانيان المانيان المانيان










etiors











I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR O SEP RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM < 102 **MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15** I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON. Art Bullock **Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority** P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Halandaladadadadadan dillamadaladadadadadad



I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM **MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15** I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON. **Art Bullock** Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 **Willows, CA 95988** 25 HelioolidadadadadaaadHlaaaalabdalaalabdalaaHad



I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT

CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

270


I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM 23 SE


MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 16

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.

Signed





I AM ADAMANTLY RETAIN LAKE RED BLU

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER T I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES

TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Χi

USE ZIP CO

ALWAYS

Art Bullock **Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority** P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.


gred


P.O. BOX 823

Reo BLUFF. CA.

teres !







I AM ADAMANTLY IN LAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF ROMES SEP

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.

Mal gifing 9/20/0 2

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

<u> Սեհանինի վահիսի այՄՍ առինին անների ա</u>յի

23 S F

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT


AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.


Richard Jane 9-20-07
Signed Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority

P.O. Box 1025
Willows, CA 95988

MiliodalidadadadaaaMlaaadalidadadadadadadad





I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF ROM3 SEP

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Hand Commonly 9-13-09
Signed Pater
Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Անտիհինաննիսի ավիրանինի անհենիի

21:32


I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF PM RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM 23 SE


MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 16


I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT


CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.


9.21.02


























I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF M RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM 1 SEF

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Kare Stylen 9-18-02 Signot Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

<u> BBF1025</u>

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Signed 9-19-02
Date



I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF ARETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15 5 32

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.

Judy Cramford Holas
Signed Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Bon Hri Ben Gipson
Date



I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

> 19/19/02 Signed Bate

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Անտեմենումների անկաների հենակին

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF O
RETAINING
LAKE RED BLUIF FROM
MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 1802


I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Kolaukard 9/21/02 Signed Date


Art Bullock
Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority
P.O. Box 1025

Willows, CA 95988

<u>HambalahalahahadHhambalahadahahadad</u>





UshaddahadaladaalIIImaddahadalaladal





Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988





I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM: 100

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

9-21-02

Date

Art Bullock **Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority** P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Date

Art Bullock **Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority** P.O. Box 1025

Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Cilcula State Q-21-03
Signed Date

2001

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAYOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Row Fritz 9-21.
Date

Art Bullock
Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority
P.O. Box 1025
Willows, CA 95988


MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15


I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.


weela MAR 9/20/2


Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR **Q** RETAINING 20 LAKE RED BLUFF FROM MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15 I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON. Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988 البالييا بالباسليليان واللاستان الباسليليان الباسليليان









I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF
RETAINING
LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15


I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND
REASON.


NSAN R. Price 9:20:02
Signed Date


Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING 20 LAKE RED BLUFF FROM 2007 MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15 I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON. OD Art Bullock **Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority** P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

أوالبادا فأرابيا وأوارا المترابا والمارا والمارات








Art Bullock


<u>Halaadadadaadadaadddaaaddda</u>daadadddaddad


P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority

#19







I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND
REASON.

May Surfaction Mayor

#i**d**28

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

<u>Halaalalalalalalaa</u>HH...alalalalalalalala

I AM ADAMANTLY IN EXVOROR

RETAINING

LAKE RED BLUFAFROM SEP

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15 002

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT

AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Marshau Jakou 9/20/20

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

<u> Սեհուհիհանդինականի անհենանների անհեն և Մ</u>

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM COMMAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15


I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND


REASON.


and Honghton 9-21-92
Signed Date


Art Bullock
Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority
P.O. Box 1025
Willows, CA 95988


<u> Ավայեներերերեր Միստներեր են հենվու</u>














MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Art Bullock **Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority** P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

II.danlalalalalalalan Alllan dalalalalalalalalalal

I AM ADAMANTLY LAKE RED BLUFF FROM P

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

algune 9/18/02

Art Bullock

Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025

Willows, CA 95988

Helicaldelded gelded med Hanneldeld land delded lad

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING 0 SEP LAKE RED BLUFF FROM PNN? **MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15** I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON. Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Halandalalaladadanahilikanahilalalandalahiladlad

I AM ADAMANTLY IN HAVOR-OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF PROMO SEP MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15 2002

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.

act June 9-30.
Signed Date

ChAD FAmbrough

1880T

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING
LAKE RED BLUFF FROM 27 SEP
MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

PATT Britlain

Art Bullock
Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority
P.O. Box 1025
Willows CA 05088

Willows, CA 95988

Udaddddaladalahadllaaddddaladdddlad

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLURF FROM MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES

TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT

CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PU AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Mary Rolling 9-27-02 Signed Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

5

հետևերեսերերի անկիստեւերեր են հետև

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES
TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND


REASON.


D


Art Bullock
Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority
P.O. Box 1025


Willows, CA 95988

25 *Ibhaddalalalaladadi*kaaddbha*bbladal*a









MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FÁVOR ÖF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM - --MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15 I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE VAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT

CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

Haladdaladaladdaladdaladallaaalllaandladd

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON. USA 23

Jamos Whiza 9/26/02 Signed Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

*

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM


MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

Signed

REASON.

Tenous 9-23-02



MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

A study shouldbe initiated to use present by pass temperove labelders



MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

(indy Brown)

9/25/07 Date



Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFF FROM

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 15

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Signed Signed Date



I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF ORETAINING
LAKE RED BLUEF FROM SEP

MAY 15 - SEPTEMBER 1802

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES

TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE
AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT
CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT
AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PU AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND REASON.

Nancy Lamberson 9-23-02 Signed Date

Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988

I AM ADAMANTLY IN FAVOR OF RETAINING LAKE RED BLUFFFROM SEP

I FEEL SEVERAL GOOD ALTERNATIVES TO LOSING LAKE RED BLUFF ARE AVAILIBLE. I FURTHER BELIEVE THAT CERTAIN AGENDAS HAVE BEEN PUT AHEAD OF GOOD SCIENCE AND

REASON.


<u>02</u>


إيراليرا ليليا والبارا والمالية ويتنا اللاريج المالي المالية المالية


 $\nabla \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \nabla \cdot \cdot$


Art Bullock Tehama - Colusa Canal Authority P.O. Box 1025 Willows, CA 95988


i 125














	re 1a endorsed by the Red Bluff City Council in its resolution serve in the best interest of all parties involved. You may accept Signature Paid Advertisement made possible by: Gaumer's Jewelry Raging Fork Bar & Grill Red Bluff Round-Up Association Walker Printing A&J Events
ndopted on May 7, 2002. I believe this alternative will serve and count this notice as my vote for alternative 1a. Dick L. van Roggen	endorsed by the Red Bluff City Council in its resolution re in the best interest of all parties involved. You may accept
Name (Please Print) Sept 18, 2002 Date PO Box 5321 Address Carning, CA 96021	Signature Paid Advertisement made possible by: Gaumer's Jewelry Raging Fork Bar & Grill Red Bluff Round-Up Association Walker Printing

A&J Events