

33.10.41 Shasta County Coordination Committee

SCCC



PUBLIC COMMENT CARD

Name: Gennie Seely Organization: Shasta County Coordination Committee
Address: 1929 Cabello St Shasta Lake, CA 96019
Email: gennieseely@hotmail.com

Written Comment:

- SCCC-1 *The public needs to access to the comments submitted submitted. How do we know the final compilation of comments? I do not trust the government to give us the facts. We need to be assured legally that we will be getting the true assessments submitted. We in the north state are not fairly represented. Our voice is given little recognition. This issue directly affects our communities economically and culturally. Raising the dam will affect us adversely. I see few benefits. It's all for the benefit of Southern Calif. They need to stop building dams in deserts!!*
- SCCC-2

Tear here →

Responses to Comments from Shasta County Coordination Committee

SCCC-1: The Final EIS will include all the comments submitted on the DEIS. Please refer to Master Comment Response NEPA-1, "Sufficiency of EIS."

SCCC-2: Please refer to Master Comment Response GEN-5, "Some People Support Dam Raise and Others Oppose Dam Raise."

33.10.42 Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

10/19/13

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail » Public Comment on SLWRI Draft EIS on behalf of Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association



SCSHA

Public Comment on SLWRI Draft EIS on behalf of Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

Desiree La Maggiore

Mon, Sep 30, 2013 at 3:29

<desiree.lamaggiore@gmail.com>

PM

To: bor-mpr-slwri@usbr.gov

Cc: "Rezeau, Nathan L -FS" <nrezeau@fs.fed.us>, kchow@usbr.gov, Gene & Gail Barrett <genorafts@yahoo.com>, Jim Toney <jet0@scintechassociates.com>, "Linda M. Toney" <lmt0@scintech4i.com>, Bob & Linda McCrea <rmccrea@westernemulsions.com>, SaltCreekSummerHomes@yahoo.com

Bureau of Reclamation

Planning Division

2800 Cottage Way, MP - 700

Sacramento, CA 95825-1893

Attn: Ms. Katrina Chow, Project Manager, Bureau of Reclamation, SLWRI

CC: Mr. Nathan Rezeau, Deputy District Ranger, Shasta-Trinity National Forest

Subject: Response to the SLWRI Draft EIS

To whom it may concern,

SCSHA-1

We are a tract association of 47 recreational residences located on Lower Salt Creek Road, Lakehead, California. Our tract is part of the larger recreational residence program administered by the United States Forest Service.

The program allows private citizens, via special use permits, the opportunity to own a single-family cabin in designated areas on National Forest lands. As individuals, we own the cabins, sometimes referred to as "summer homes," but not the land. We pay an annual permit fee to the Forest Service for the use of the land. The cabins are private and taxable property.

We are commenting on the Draft EIS for several reasons:

SCSHA-2

1. Establishing the eligibility of our tract to comment on future draft decisions related to the SLWRI Project - By commenting on the Draft EIS, it is our understanding we are establishing the

10/18/13
SCSHA-2
CONTD.

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail - Public Comment on SLWRI Draft EIS on behalf of Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association
eligibility of our tract association and members to comment/object to the Forest Service's draft decisions relating to this project (to be made available later in the process).

SCSHA-3

2. Lack of clarity on how recreational residence cabins will specifically be impacted - the SLWRI Draft EIS lacks clarity around how and when Recreation Residence Tract Cabin Owners will be notified of specific impacts to their individual private property (the cabin structures).

SCSHA-4

a. Tract association representatives attended public meetings held in February 2012 and reviewed the Preliminary Draft EIS report. At that time, there were no listed impacts to the Salt Creek Recreational Residence Tract. In late June/early July, cabin owners received a packet of information from the U.S. Department of Interior (DOI) containing a cover letter and a CD of the Draft EIS report. Additionally, there was information regarding public commenting process for USFS attached to the DOI cover letter. Nowhere in the cover letter was there an indication that cabin owners were receiving this packet of information because the update of the Draft EIS now contained specific impact to the Salt Creek Recreation Residence Tract. This is the first effort of any public outreach to our recreational residence tract in the last decade of SLWRI project investigation, even though tract owners have repeatedly asked for updates and if there would be any impact to our cabins. *Why was it not made clear in the DOI cover letter that recipients were receiving this update to documentation made available at community meetings in February 2012 because the June 2013 update now contained "potential impact" specific to their private property?*

SCSHA-5

b. In the June 2013 release of the Draft EIS, impacts to our tract (Salt Creek Recreation Residence Tract) are noted in Comprehensive Plans (CP) 2-5; characterized as "At least one cabin affected, possibly others also affected"(1) . No further detail is provided.

SCSHA-6

c. In the Real Estate Appendix, Table 1. Range of Impacted Cabins on U.S. Forest Service Lands[2], the table outlines Water Surface Elevations for 3 scenarios: 1,082 feet, 1,088 feet, and 1,093 feet. Under Background and Approach (page 1, line 24) these water surface elevations are positioned as including a buffer area that corresponds with the "Full pool" water surface elevations for CPs 1 through 5, which are 1,075 feet, 1,081.5 feet, and 1,87.5 feet respectively. Do these buffered numbers also reflect the "selected freeboard" referenced under Acquisition Criteria (page 2, line 9)? Additionally, in public meetings, SLWRI representatives have given 1,090 feet as an elevation number for property owners to use, but no datum specified.

SCSHA-7

d. All elevations throughout the SLWRI Draft EIS have been given in the North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) and the site elevation tool on the SLWRI site (<http://www.usbr.gov/mp/slwri/elevation/index.html>) is given in NAVD, however, most affordable consumer handheld GPS units work in horizontal datum sets (e.g. NAD83 or WGS84) and do not offer the NAVD vertical datum as a setting. This makes the SLWRI web-based site tool confusing to use for the average property owner. It would be useful to private property owners

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

10/18/13

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail - Public Comment on SLWRI Draft EIS on behalf of Salt Creek Summer Homesite Association

SCSHA-7
CONTD.

↑ who "may be affected" if a conversion tool /option were available in widely available format to the average impacted party.

SCSHA-8

How and when will cabin owners and tracts be notified of the specific impacts on their private property? At the SLWRI Public Workshop held on July 16, in Redding, CA, when queried on this issue, Ms. Mary Paasch recommended we make the above request for a land survey through this process. Additionally, we contacted with Mr. Nathan Rezeau, deputy district ranger, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, who concurred with Ms. Paasch's recommendation and added, "if the BOR completed additional surveys, the Forest Service would work with the BOR to determine which recreation residences may be impacted."

The cabins are private property and as such, we respectfully request the owners should be afforded the opportunity, if they consent, to have a "ground survey for structures on parcels potentially impacted because of inundation ..." performed as outlined in Appendix – Real Estate, page 7 Draft – June 2013, lines 4-9. It is unclear why this offer was not made in 2012 when the rest of the Lakehead private property owners of structures potentially impacted we invited to have these surveys completed. Additionally, can SLWRI provide a site elevation tool on the site that provides data in a format readily available to the public?

SCSHA-9

3. **Lack of clarity on overall project costs** – It's unclear what the total forecasted cost for each comprehensive plan is once other Federal, State, and Local agency expenses forecasts are factored in. For example, in the case of the recreational residence program, an estimated value of cabins is provided (Real Estate Appendix, page 5, line 14); however, the report goes on to state that the "Costs for relocation or demolition of the cabins are not included in this analysis of the SLWRI real estate costs." If this is correct, where is the \$4.8 to 5.6 million USFS is responsible for, per the terms of the recreation residence special use permits, accounted for in the overall return on investment for CPs 1-5? Another question is how has public safety and related expenses been factored into this report.

SCSHA-10

Reducing/relocating marinas while increasing the area of the lake commensurate with a full pool creates a longer distance between public services (e.g., availability of marine gas). A larger pool equates to more square footage for the Sheriff and USFS to patrol.

SCSHA-11

How are these types of related impacts being addressed in both the planning and forecasted costs? How can a true return on investment for each proposal be considered when only the construction and construction-related costs are used?

SCSHA-12

4. **Impact on Lakehead Community** – This area relies heavily on revenues associated with recreation on the lake. Seasonality is hard enough to survive for a recreation-dependent community of this size. Aside from relocating some businesses, it seems none of the comprehensive plans put forth an adequate level of compensation and/or support to assist business owners in "weathering" through any loss of business during the dam construction. Throughout this process and, hopefully into potential

10/19/13

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail - Public Comment on SLWRI Draft EIS on behalf of Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

SCSHA-12
CONTD.

implementation, the SLWRI team and project can either approach the community of Lakehead as a casualty for the greater good or take the approach of engaging a community, helping it weather the storm and leaving it a better place than when the project started.

SCSHA-13

As the lead agency for this project, can the Bureau of Reclamation include a goal of leaving communities not only intact at the completion of project implementation, but in a stronger position to successfully maintain and/or increase recreation on Lake Shasta? We recognize this is a complex project and the planning and, if approved, implementation will span multiple mandated processes and decades. Many of our comments are a result of lack of communications with impacted and potentially impacted community members and other public agencies. We'd suggest a task force be established and include representation from community members (business owners and private citizens) and other public agencies (e.g., USFS, CalFire, Shasta County, etc.) to ensure that a thriving Lakehead community be an outcome of the proposed SLWRI project.

SCSHA-14

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. Our tract association looks forward to the response portion of the public comment period process. Additionally, we would like to be notified and included in future Lakehead community meetings with the Bureau of Reclamation and in the pending USFS process for formulating the draft decisions resulting from the SLWRI Draft EIS.

Sincerely,

Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

Post: PO Box 993023, Redding, CA 96099 and c/o Desiree LaGrone- La Maggiore - 299 S. 16th Street, San Jose CA 95112

Gene Barrett

Association President and Cabin Owner

Post: 2259 Spring Lake Drive, Martinez, CA 94553

Email: genorrafts@yahoo.com

Jim Toney & Linda Toney

Association Vice President & Treasurer and Cabin Owners

Post: 5530 Scenic Avenue, Livermore, CA 94551

Email: jet0@scintech4i.com and lml0@scintech4i.com

Desiree LaGrone - La Maggiore

Association Secretary and Cabin Owner

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation Environmental Impact Statement

10/18/13 DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail - Public Comment on SLWRI Draft EIS on behalf of Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

Post: 299 S. 16th Street, San Jose, CA 95112

Email: desiree.lamaggiore@gmail.com

Robert McCrea


Association Officer and Cabin Owner

Post: 18905 Lower Salt Creek Road, Lakehead, CA 96051

Email: rmccrea@westernemulsions.com

[1] Table 18-6. Effects of CP2 on Developed Recreation Facilities at Shasta Lake, page 18-51; Table 18-8. Effects of CP3 on Developed Recreation Facilities at Shasta Lake, page 18-63

[2] Table 1. Range of Impacted Cabins on U.S. Forest Service Lands, page 5 Draft – June 2013, Appendix Real Estate, line 14

 Bureau of Reclamation_SCHOA_SLWRIDraftEISPublicComment093013.pdf
112K

Bureau of Reclamation
Planning Division
2800 Cottage Way, MP – 700
Sacramento, CA 95825-1893

Attn: Ms. Katrina Chow, Project Manager, Bureau of Reclamation, SLWRI

CC: Mr. Nathan Rezeau, Deputy District Ranger, Shasta-Trinity National Forest

Subject: Response to the SLWRI Draft EIS

To whom it may concern,

We are a tract association of 47 recreational residences located on Lower Salt Creek Road, Lakehead, California. Our tract is part of the larger recreational residence program administered by the United States Forest Service.

The program allows private citizens, via special use permits, the opportunity to own a single-family cabin in designated areas on National Forest lands. As individuals, we own the cabins, sometimes referred to as "summer homes," but not the land. We pay an annual permit fee to the Forest Service for the use of the land. The cabins are private and taxable property.

We are commenting on the Draft EIS for several reasons:

1. **Establishing the eligibility of our tract to comment on future draft decisions related to the SLWRI Project** - By commenting on the Draft EIS, it is our understanding we are establishing the eligibility of our tract association and members to comment/object to the Forest Service's draft decisions relating to this project (to be made available later in the process).
2. **Lack of clarity on how recreational residence cabins will specifically be impacted** - the SLWRI Draft EIS lacks clarity around how and when Recreation Residence Tract Cabin Owners will be notified of specific impacts to their individual private property (the cabin structures).
 - a. Tract association representatives attended public meetings held in February 2012 and reviewed the Preliminary Draft EIS report. At that time, there were no listed impacts to the Salt Creek Recreational Residence Tract. In late June/early July, cabin owners received a packet of information from the U.S. Department of Interior (DOI) containing a cover letter and a CD of the Draft EIS report. Additionally, there was information regarding public commenting process for USFS attached to the DOI cover letter. Nowhere in the cover letter was there an indication that cabin owners were receiving this packet of information because the update of the Draft EIS now contained specific impact to the Salt Creek Recreation Residence Tract. This is the first effort of any public outreach to our recreational residence tract in the last decade of SLWRI project investigation, even though tract owners have repeatedly asked for updates and if there would be any impact to our cabins. *Why was it not made clear in the DOI cover letter that recipients were receiving this update to documentation made available at community meetings in February 2012 because the June 2013 update now contained "potential impact" specific to their private property?*
 - b. In the June 2013 release of the Draft EIS, impacts to our tract (Salt Creek Recreation Residence Tract) are noted in Comprehensive Plans (CP) 2-5; characterized as "At least one cabin affected, possibly others also affected"¹. No further detail is provided.
 - c. In the Real Estate Appendix, Table 1. Range of Impacted Cabins on U.S. Forest Service Lands², the table outlines Water Surface Elevations for 3 scenarios: 1,082 feet, 1,088 feet, and 1,093 feet. Under Background and Approach

¹ Table 18-6. Effects of CP2 on Developed Recreation Facilities at Shasta Lake, page 18-51; Table 18-8. Effects of CP3 on Developed Recreation Facilities at Shasta Lake, page 18-63

² Table 1. Range of Impacted Cabins on U.S. Forest Service Lands, page 5 Draft – June 2013, Appendix Real Estate, line 14

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation Environmental Impact Statement

(page 1, line 24) these water surface elevations are positioned as including a buffer area that corresponds with the "Full pool" water surface elevations for CPs 1 through 5, which are 1,075 feet, 1,081.5 feet, and 1,87.5 feet respectively. Do these buffered numbers also reflect the "selected freeboard" referenced under Acquisition Criteria (page 2, line 9)? Additionally, in public meetings, SLWRI representatives have given 1,090 feet as an elevation number for property owners to use, but no datum specified.

- d. All elevations throughout the SLWRI Draft EIS have been given in the North American Vertical Datum (NAVD) and the site elevation tool on the SLWRI site (<http://www.usbr.gov/mp/slwri/elevation/index.html>) is given in NAVD, however, most affordable consumer handheld GPS units work in horizontal datum sets (e.g. NAD83 or WGS84) and do not offer the NAVD vertical datum as a setting. This makes the SLWRI web-based site tool confusing to use for the average property owner. It would be useful to private property owners who "may be affected" if a conversion tool /option were available in widely available format to the average impacted party.

How and when will cabin owners and tracts be notified of the specific impacts on their private property? At the SLWRI Public Workshop held on July 16, in Redding, CA, when queried on this issue, Ms. Mary Paasch recommended we make the above request for a land survey through this process. Additionally, we contacted with Mr. Nathan Rezeau, deputy district ranger, Shasta-Trinity National Forest, who concurred with Ms. Paasch's recommendation and added, "If the BOR completed additional surveys, the Forest Service would work with the BOR to determine which recreation residences may be impacted."

The cabins are private property and as such, we respectfully request the owners should be afforded the opportunity, if they consent, to have a "... ground survey for structures on parcels potentially impacted because of inundation ..." performed as outlined in Appendix – Real Estate, page 7 Draft – June 2013, lines 4-9. It is unclear why this offer was not made in 2012 when the rest of the Lakehead private property owners of structures potentially impacted we invited to have these surveys completed. Additionally, can SLWRI provide a site elevation tool on the site that provides data in a format readily available to the public?

3. **Lack of clarity on overall project costs** – It's unclear what the total forecasted cost for each comprehensive plan is once other Federal, State, and Local agency expenses forecasts are factored in. For example, in the case of the recreational residence program, an estimated value of cabins is provided (Real Estate Appendix, page 5, line 14); however, the report goes on to state that the "Costs for relocation or demolition of the cabins are not included in this analysis of the SLWRI real estate costs." If this is correct, where is the \$4.8 to 5.6 million USFS is responsible for, per the terms of the recreation residence special use permits, accounted for in the overall return on investment for CPs 1-5? Another question is how has public safety and related expenses been factored into this report. Reducing/relocating marinas while increasing the area of the lake commensurate with a full pool creates a longer distance between public services (e.g., availability of marine gas). A larger pool equates to more square footage for the Sheriff and USFS to patrol.

How are these types of related impacts being addressed in both the planning and forecasted costs? How can a true return on investment for each proposal be considered when only the construction and construction-related costs are used?

4. **Impact on Lakehead Community** – This area relies heavily on revenues associated with recreation on the lake. Seasonality is hard enough to survive for a recreation-dependent community of this size. Aside from relocating some businesses, it seems none of the comprehensive plans put forth an adequate level of compensation and/or support to assist business owners in "weathering" through any loss of business during the dam construction. Throughout this process and, hopefully into potential implementation, the SLWRI team and project can either approach the community of Lakehead as a casualty for the greater good or take the approach of engaging a community, helping it weather the storm and leaving it a better place than when the project started.

As the lead agency for this project, can the Bureau of Reclamation include a goal of leaving communities not only intact at the completion of project implementation, but in a stronger position to successfully maintain and/or increase recreation on Lake Shasta? We recognize this is a complex project and the planning and, if approved, implementation will span multiple mandated processes and decades. Many of our comments are a result of lack of communications with impacted and potentially impacted community members and other public agencies. We'd suggest a task force be established and include representation from community members (business owners and private citizens) and other public agencies (e.g., USFS, CalFire, Shasta County, etc.) to ensure that a thriving Lakehead community be an outcome of the proposed SLWRI project.

Thank you for your consideration of these comments. Our tract association looks forward to the response portion of the public comment period process. Additionally, we would like to be notified and included in future Lakehead community meetings with the Bureau of Reclamation and in the pending USFS process for formulating the draft decisions resulting from the SLWRI Draft EIS.

Sincerely,

Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

Post: PO Box 993023, Redding, CA 96099 and c/o Desiree LaGrone- La Maggiore - 299 S. 16th Street, San Jose CA 95112

Gene Barrett

Association President and Cabin Owner

Post: 2259 Spring Lake Drive, Martinez, CA 94553

Email: genocrafts@yahoo.com

Desiree LaGrone - La Maggiore

Association Secretary and Cabin Owner

Post: 299 S. 16th Street, San Jose, CA 95112

Email: desiree.lamaggiore@gmail.com

Jim Toney & Linda Toney

Association Vice President & Treasurer and Cabin Owners

Post: 5530 Scenic Avenue, Livermore, CA 94551

Email: jt0@scintech4j.com and lmt0@scintech4j.com

Robert McCrea

Association Officer and Cabin Owner

Post: 18905 Lower Salt Creek Road, Lakehead, CA 96051

Email: rmccrea@westernemulsions.com

Responses to Comments from Salt Creek Summer Homesites Association

SCSHA-1: Please refer to Master Comment Response GEN-1, "Comment Included as Part of the Record."

SCSHA-2: Please refer to Master Comment Response FSCABINS-5, “Comment and Objection Process for Draft USFS Decisions.”

SCSHA-3: Please refer to Master Comment Response FSCABINS-1, “USFS Recreational Residence Tract Cabins in Preliminary Draft EIS and Draft EIS.”

SCSHA-4: As part the June 25, 2013, release of the DEIS for the Project, Reclamation included a cover letter and addendum from the USFS titled “Comment and Objection Process for Draft Forest Service Decisions.” This attachment described the USFS’s requirements for a predecisional comment and objection process, and supports USFS actions identified in the DEIS Chapter 1, “Introduction,” Section 1.5.3, “USFS Use of EIS,” beginning on Page 1-28. The purpose of Reclamation cover letter was to notify all project stakeholders of the DEIS availability, not segment and notify any specific stakeholder or stakeholder group. The USFS addendum was incorporated to broadly inform Recreational Residential Tract Cabin owners and other interested parties of processes unique to USFS as they relate to the DEIS, and were identified and determined through planning activities subsequent to the November 2011 release of the Preliminary Draft EIS.

Please refer to Master Comment Response FSCABINS-1, “USFS Recreational Residence Tract Cabins in Preliminary Draft EIS and Draft EIS.”

SCSHA-5: Please refer to Master Comment Response FSCABINS-8, “Inundation Zone/Reservoir Buffer.”

SCSHA-6: Two vertical datum are commonly used in Reclamation projects North Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NGVD 29) and North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88). Table 2.2 Vertical Datum Comparison located in the DEIS Appendices Engineering Summary Appendix Chapter 2 can help clarify any confusion on the topic of datum. The table lists the top of the full pool as 1,078.2 feet, 1,084.2 feet, and 1090.2 feet NAVD 88 for the 6.5 foot, 12.5 foot, 18.5 foot raise respectively. These values do not include a buffer. Table 1 that you referred to in the Real Estate Appendix does include the 3 foot buffer. This comment will be included as part of the record and made available to decision makers before a final decision on the proposed project.

SCSHA-7: Please refer to Master Comment Response GEN-1, “Comment Included as Part of the Record.”

SCSHA-8: During the public comment period, several comments were received regarding the ground surveys that were performed in 2012 for

privately owned structures that were potentially affected by the project. Commenters expressed their desire to have this same opportunity afforded to recreation structures located around Lake Shasta that may be affected.

As described in the DEIS appendices Real Estate Appendix (Page 7), the surveys were only performed on 170 parcels for willing owners. Comments received included requests to extrapolate surveys from completed parcels to adjoining and/or nearby parcels, to conduct additional ground surveys to structures on private property and land leased by permit issued by the USFS, and to provide clarity to why USFS permit holders were not included in the original surveys. Reclamation performed the surveys to reevaluated and compare sensitivities of partial and full acquisitions to the estimated real estate impacts included in the Real Estate Appendix. This sensitivity analysis served to determine if the real estate impacts applied for the purposes of the DEIS are consistent among all structures. Survey results show that original determinations were generally within ± 5 percent.

Please refer to Master Comment Response REC-2, “Ground Surveys for Recreation Facilities,” and Master Comment Response FSCABINS-9, “Structure Surveys for USFS Cabins.”

SCSHA-9: Please refer to Master Comment Response COSTEST-1, “Development of Cost Estimates.”

SCSHA-10: Please refer to Master Comment Response COSTEST-1, “Development of Cost Estimates,” and Master Comment Response REC-3, “Effects to Tourism at Shasta Lake.”

SCSHA-11: Please refer to Master Comment Response COSTEST-1, “Development of Cost Estimates.”

SCSHA-12: Please refer to Master Comment Response SOCIOECON-1, “Socioeconomic Effects to Shasta Lake Vicinity,” and Master Comment Response REC-4, “Relocation of Recreation Facilities.”

SCSHA-13: As stated in the DEIS Chapter 2, “Alternatives,” Section 2.1.2, “Project Objectives,” primary and secondary objectives were formulated with direction from CALFED Programmatic ROD and federal statutes and guidance. Primary objectives are considered to have equal priority, and each is pursued to the maximum extent without adversely affecting the other. Secondary objectives are considered to the extent possible in pursuit of the primary objectives. Authorization for the SLWRI as described in the DEIS Executive Summary Section S.2, “Study Authorization,” includes looking at enlarging Shasta Dam for water storage, ecosystem restoration, water supply reliability, water

quality, and increasing the cold water pool to maintain Sacramento River temperatures. Recreation is not a goal as outlined in the CALFED Programmatic ROD nor was it a purpose of establishing the CVP, and therefore cannot be considered a primary objective of the SLWRI. Please refer also to Master Comment Response SOCIOECON-1, “Socioeconomic Effects to Shasta Lake Vicinity.”

SCSHA-14: Thank you for your comment on the DEIS for the SLWRI, we appreciate your time in responding to the document. The contact information for your organization was added to the mailing list for public notices related to the SLWRI.

33.10.43 Shasta Lake Business Owners Association

SLBOA

SHASTA LAKE BUSINESS OWNERS ASSOCIATION

20359 SHASTA CAVERNS ROAD, LAKEHEAD, CA 96051



OCT 23 2013

K. Duncan
23 Oct 13
K. Chow

September 26, 2013

Katrina Chow, Project Manager
Bureau of Reclamation
2800 Cottage Way, MP-720
Sacramento, CA 95825-1893

Katrina Chow,

SLBOA-1 I am writing you in regards to concerns about the Shasta Lake Water Resource Investigation (SLWRI), or more commonly known as the raising of Shasta Dam. There are several main concerns that pertain to recreation and the businesses that support it on and near the lake.

As of July 1st the Draft Environmental Impact Study for this project has been released and already the BOR has public comment periods and workshops scheduled. It is the alarming expedience of this project that causes my concern to grow. Growing to a point that many are believing that the decision is already made and that business is not regarded as important, nor recreation.

SLBOA-2 Although recreation is mentioned numerous times in the feasibility reports, there is an absence of any legislation thus making recreation a primary purpose of the lake. Recreation should be a primary purpose of the SLWRI yet subordinate to all other primary purposes, including but not limited to, flood control, water storage, hydroelectric power and environmental issues. Currently recreation is simply being recognized only as a secondary planning objective. This is not effective enough. [The businesses owners and landowners of the local area will be adversely affected by

SLBOA-3 current laws, regulations and management practices.

SLBOA-4 Although the Bureau of Reclamation is the lead agency, the Forest Service is the entity that will be controlling business and recreation on the lake. On August 23rd, the Forest Service had its first meeting with the permit holders on Shasta Lake regarding the SLWRI (See attached letter). This invitation, which some permit holders did not receive, indicated that this was an "optional" meeting that the Forest Service was conducting. This letter had given the permit holders only 10 days' notice, during one of the busiest months of the year for this industry. Set aside that the

SLBOA-5 business owners had very little or no time to read the 20,000 plus page document, which is also extremely hard to navigate, to find out how recreation and business would be affected.


SLBOA-6 In that meeting, a map (Plate 39, Recreation Site Status) located under the Engineering Summary Appendix, Plates Part 3) shows a number of marinas that will not be relocated and will be considered as abandoned. Two of the marinas had no inclination that this was the intent. No representatives from the Forest Service had contacted them about this decision to have their sites abandoned. How can the lake support more recreation with less facilities? During the peak of the recreation season, the existing marinas are already pushed to their limits. As it states in the DEIS (Chapter 18, page 35)

SLBOA-7 "As described in Section 2.3.8 in Chapter 2, "Alternatives," affected recreation facilities would be relocated as part of the construction activities for all action alternatives. This 2013-09-26

CANNED

2013-09-26
1230437

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

SLBOA-7 CONTD	<p><i>could include relocation of affected portions of facilities within existing use areas, in adjacent undeveloped areas, or at new sites in the general vicinity of the lake. Because of the possible consolidation of facilities, the total number of facilities of specific types may be reduced. However, all affected recreation capacity would be replaced. Replacement facilities would be of equivalent overall capacity and quality to affected facilities and would provide comparable shoreline access, where applicable. With the relocation of affected facilities, this impact would be less than significant. Mitigation for this impact is not needed, and thus not proposed."</i></p>
	<p>The quality destination of Shasta Lake is dependent on the variety of services of the different marinas. Consolidation is not a feasible option to a continued success of an industry relying on customer satisfaction and dependability.</p>
SLBOA-8	<p>When questions were asked by the permit holders at this meeting we were given two responses, "That would be a good point to bring up during this comment period," and "The Bureau of Reclamation is the lead agency." These are not answers, they are deferrals to answers in which we need to make an informed decision.</p>
SLBOA-9	<p>Another main concern is that these businesses, abandoned or relocated, will not be made whole after the enlargement of the lake. Although the DEIS does cover payment for land and certain assets, it does not state specifically of any inventory to be purchased. Which if sold will only be a fraction of what the cost may be. The most ominous statement was produced during the July 16th work shop in Redding by a contractor of the BOR who said, "There is no current legislation to compensate for any lost business due to this project." The businesses that remain would struggle to maintain their business while relocating as well as regaining business once they reopen, that is if they survive the suspension of any income. The several businesses that are to be abandoned will see decades of hard work come to an abrupt end with absolutely no compensation. These instances are a missing piece, and will ensure that the businesses will not be fully compensated for what they will be deprived of.</p>
SLBOA-10	<p>This economic impact will not affect just those who work on Shasta Lake, but will have a ripple effect that will intrude into the surrounding cities and counties. Shasta County experiences the economic downturn after every low level lake summer. What will happen when marinas are actually closed for several months, or longer than a year?</p>
	<p>What is proposed through the recent DEIS, will devastate the economy that thrives from Shasta Lake.</p>
SLBOA-11	<p>Although the DEIS is lengthy, it is ambiguous. The questions that I have posed in this letter are of major concern and should have been answered through the DEIS. It is the lack of clarity and transparency of governing agencies that have many concerned. With that being stated, the Shasta Lake Business Owner's Association will remain in opposition of this project until these concerns are acknowledged.</p>
	<p>Respectfully,  Matthew W. Doyle President Shasta Lake Business Owners Association</p>

CC: Governor Edmund G Brown
Congressman Doug LaMalfa
Senator Jim Nielsen
Senator Dianne Feinstein
Assemblyman Brian Dahle
Shasta County Board of Supervisors
Patrick Minturn, Shasta County Public Works
Brian Person, Area Manager/Bureau of Reclamation
Redding City Council
Redding Chamber of Commerce
City of Shasta Lake City Council
City of Shasta Lake Chamber of Commerce
Shasta Cascade Wonderland Association
Redding Convention and Visitors Bureau
Harold Jones, Sugarloaf Resorts
David Grey, Tsasdi Resorts
Rich Howe, Jones Valley Resorts
Ross Marshall, Lakeshore Inn & RV

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement



United States
Department of Agriculture

Forest Service

Shasta-Trinity
National Recreation Area

Shasta Lake Unit

14225 Holiday Road
Redding, CA 96003
(530) 275-1587
(530) 242-5526 – TDD
<http://www.fs.usda.gov/stnf>

File Code: 2720
Date: August 13, 2013

Matt Doyle
Lake Shasta Caverns
P.O. Box 801
O'Brien, CA 96070

Dear Matt,

The Bureau of Reclamation (BOR) released the Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation (SLWRI) Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for public review and comment on June 28, 2013. I am inviting you to an information sharing meeting regarding the DEIS that the Forest Service is hosting on Friday, August 23, 2013, from 10:00 A.M. to noon at the Shasta Lake Ranger Station. This is an optional meeting and attendance is limited to permit holders with facilities on the water. Other permit holders may contact the Forest Service regarding their land-based facilities.

The goal of this meeting is to share information about the Forest Service's role in the project, answer any questions about the analysis, and discuss the comment process. Your ideas and perspective about how the proposed changes could be accomplished (should the project be authorized by Congress) while still meeting the Forest Service's objective of maintaining recreational capacity on the lake are very important to the Forest Service and I hope this meeting will facilitate your participation in the process.

I encourage you to read the sections of the DEIS pertaining to the proposed recreation infrastructure changes prior to this meeting. Much of this information can be found in Chapter 1- Introduction, Chapter 2- Alternatives, Chapter 17- Land Use and Planning, Chapter 18- Recreation, Chapter 22- Public Services and the Engineering Appendix (Plate 39).

As a reminder, BOR's 90-day review and comment period will end on September 26, 2013. Your comments must be submitted to Karina Chow, Project Manager, Bureau of Reclamation, Planning Division, 2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1893, called to 916-978-5067 (TTY 916-978-5608), or emailed to BOR-MPR-SLWRI@usbr.gov to be included in the project record.

I hope that you are available and interested in attending the meeting on August 23rd. My staff and I value our relationship with you and hope that we can mutually promote a strategy that is successful for your business and positive for our visitors to the Shasta-Trinity National Forest.

If you have any questions about the meeting please contact Nathan Rezeau, Deputy District Ranger at (503) 242-5560 or nrezeau@fs.fed.us. Thank you.

Sincerely,

 KRISTY COTTINI
District Ranger



Caring for the Land and Serving People

Printed on Recycled Paper



Responses to Comments from Shasta Lake Business Owners Association

SLBOA-1: Thank you for your comments on the DEIS for the SLWRI, we appreciate your time in responding to the document. In accordance with NEPA review requirements, the DEIS was circulated for public and agency review and comment for a 90-day period, from July 1, 2013 to

September 30, 2013, after the EPA published the notice of availability in the Federal Register. The 90-day review period was twice the required 45 day review period. Written comments from the public, reviewing agencies, and stakeholders were accepted during the public comment period. Similar to the approach to public scoping, public hearings were held in various locations statewide to solicit and receive public input on the DEIS. These hearings were held during the public comment period so that any comments received at the hearings were addressed in the Final EIS. All written comments received on the DEIS, and all verbal comments received during the public meetings and by September 30, 2013 are fully considered and addressed. The DEIS was available on-line through the Reclamation website, as well as available at 6 local public libraries, and Reclamation's office in Sacramento and Washington, D.C. The next steps for the SLWRI can be found in the DEIS Executive Summary S.10, "Public Involvement and Next Steps."

SLBOA-2: As stated in the DEIS Chapter 2, "Alternatives," Section 2.1.2, "Project Objectives," primary and secondary objectives were formulated with direction from CALFED Programmatic ROD and federal statutes and guidance. Primary objectives are considered to have equal priority, and each is pursued to the maximum extent without adversely affecting the other. Secondary objectives are considered to the extent possible in pursuit of the primary objectives. Authorization for the SLWRI as described in the DEIS Executive Summary Section S.2, "Study Authorization," includes looking at enlarging Shasta Dam for water storage, ecosystem restoration, water supply reliability, water quality, and increasing the cold water pool to maintain Sacramento River temperatures. Recreation is not a goal as outlined in the CALFED Programmatic ROD or other federal statutes nor was it a purpose of establishing the CVP, and therefore cannot be considered a primary objective of the SLWRI.

SLBOA-3: Please refer to Master Comment Response SOCIOECON-1, "Socioeconomic Effects to Shasta Lake Vicinity."

SLBOA-4: Comment noted.

SLBOA-5: In accordance with NEPA review requirements, the DEIS was circulated for public and agency review and comment for a 90-day period, from July 1, 2013 to September 30, 2013, after the EPA published the notice of availability in the Federal Register. Written comments from the public, reviewing agencies, and stakeholders were accepted during the public comment period.

SLBOA-6: Please refer to Master Comment Response REC-1, "Effects to Recreation at Shasta Lake."

SLBOA-7: Please refer to Master Comment Response REC-1, “Effects to Recreation at Shasta Lake.”

SLBOA-8: Comment noted.

SLBOA-9: Please refer to Master Comment Response PLAR-1, “Effects to Private Residences and Businesses.”

SLBOA-10: Please refer to Master Comment Response SOCIOECON-1, “Socioeconomic Effects to Shasta Lake Vicinity.”

SLBOA-11: Please refer to Master Comment Response GEN-5, “Some People Support Dam Raise and Others Oppose Dam Raise.”

33.10.44 Sacred Land Film Project

10/16/13

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail - Shasta Dam Raise - Public Comments

SLFP



Shasta Dam Raise - Public Comments

Toby McLeod <tm@sacredland.org> Mon, Sep 30, 2013 at 4:47 PM
To: BOR-MPR-SLWRI@usbr.gov, "Chow, Katrina C" <KChow@usbr.gov>

Katrina Chow, Project Manager, US Bureau of Reclamation, Planning Division,
2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1893 - See more at:
<http://www.sacredland.org/please-comment-on-shasta-dam-raise-deis/#sthash.ebNlxy6n.dpuf>

Katrina Chow, Project Manager, US Bureau of Reclamation, Planning Division,
2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1893 - See more at:
<http://www.sacredland.org/please-comment-on-shasta-dam-raise-deis/#sthash.ebNlxy6n.dpuf>

Katrina Chow

Project Manager

U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Planning Division

2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1893

Katrina Chow, Project Manager, US Bureau of Reclamation, Planning Division,
2800 Cottage Way, Sacramento, CA 95825-1893 - See more at:
<http://www.sacredland.org/please-comment-on-shasta-dam-raise-deis/#sthash.ebNlxy6n.dpuf>

Dear Ms. Chow,
SLFP-1

Please accept 1,903 signatures in opposition to the proposal to raise the height of Shasta Dam and please add this document to the DEIR comment section. We will mail a copy of this petition as well. The petition was posted online on the CREDO Mobilize site at:
<http://www.credomobilize.com/petitions/stop-the-raise-of-shasta-dam-support-the-winnemem-wintu>

Thank you,
Christopher McLeod

<https://mail.google.com/mail/u/0/?ui=2/ik=c2ba851c183&view=pt&search=inbox&ik=141744e50799eb3>

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

10/18/13

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR Mail - Shasta Dam Raise - Public Comments

Toby McLeod
Sacred Land Film Project
David Brower Center
2150 Alston Way, Suite 440
Berkeley, CA 94704

tel: 510-859-9190
<http://www.sacredland.org>

Connect with us online:



 **Shasta Dam Raise - Public Comment Petition.pdf**
228K

<https://mail.google.com/mail/b/3131u07ui=2&ik=c2ba651c16&view=pt&search=inbox&th=1417144e5079e8b3>

2/2

To: Bureau of Reclamation

SLFP-2

Abandon the proposal to raise the height of the Shasta Dam by 18.5 feet, and prevent cultural harm to the Winnemem Wintu's sacred lands and ecological damage to the McCloud and other rivers of northern California.

Signed by 1,903 people:

Name	Postcode	Address
Christopher McLeod	94708	980 Grizzly Peak Blvd
Helene Sisk	96003	7480 Dry Creek Rd, Redding, CA
Barbara A gill	96002	4343 Agnes May
Alex Hughes	94933	PO Box 805
Danita Herrera	97401	1489 Cal Young Rd, Eugene, Or
Richard Torres	95758	6801 Kilconnell Drive
caitlin mezgersieg	97520	ashland
Misa Joo	97405	2327 Jefferson Street
Chloe Say	97601	1215 Adams st
Nancy Willis	94662	PO Box 99684, Emeryville, CA
David Martinez	96096	Po Box 219 Whitmore Ca
Laura Ferrando	44124	lyndhurst, ohio
Lisa Guide	94608	1025 56th St
Teresa S	95826	Sacramento
Rebecca Guzman	95835	2124 Catherwood Way
adriana martinez	90201	5519 watcher street
Leslie F	96001	Redding
kristen brandt	97403	250 N Brooklyn Ave
gail lightsinn	45231	9377 jericho dr.
Natasha Joseph	97477	496 1/2 West D Street
Donna Crispin	97401	780 Waverly St.
Ken Neubeck	97405	4915 W. Hillside Drive
Stefanie Messina	06612	170 bibbins rd easton ct
Jeanne France	96096	PO Box 219
Noah Schlager	94920-2602	116 Barn Road
Christine Hood	95928	1850 Humboldt Rd #68
Kathleen Kimberling	95670	2208 Wood Cliff Way

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Dianne Brennan	94110	1020 Florida Street
Robert Hughes	94571	147 N. 4th St
Rafael Rolon	95207	6112 glenbrook ln
Ric Rudgers	95662	5484 plantain circle
Joanna Holmes	97031	4145 Dee Hwy
James Goetsch	33711	5201 41st Street South
Ara Johnson	95018	859 Brookside Way
David Bartz	94020	La Honda, CA
Tess H	97322	Albany Or
Susan Alexander	94114	319 Hill St.
Crystal Cameron	88007	Las Cruces
Bonnie Fontana	94521	5173 Sutherland Dr
Florence Unger	95267	PO Box 7864
Judy Blaisdell	81122	1013 CR 525
peggy carberry	01603	156 apricot st
Steve Lawler	94505	5315 willow lake ct
Leslie Story	95242	16 River Bend Dr., Lodi, CA
Eden Shlomi	33711	4200 54th ave south
Stina Va	95205-2649	3245 Belvedere Ave.
kathleen stark	95642	14 smalley ave
Debra Gaylord	12154	PO Box 314
Nordyn Anderson	94509	1219 C Street. Antioch Ca
Natalie Beaver	95641	P.o. Box 258
Michael Frost	94070	2223 Carmelita Drive, San Carlos, CA
Dawn Dyer	86001	2478 Katchina Tr.
Colleen Fay	95948	1746 Kofford Road, Gridley
Maria Lucia Pacheco	20005	1409 15th st nw #18
lucy pacheco	20008	2640 garfield st
Claire Cummings	94903	2000 Bayhills Drive
Susan Wyckoff	12866	10 Knollwood Drive
Allison Toomey	95521	670 9th St. Apt A
Frances Kieschnick	94301	1467 Hamilton Avenue, Palo Alto

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
Ellen Grab	12866	183 Louden Rd
betsy fields	81433	1867 Greene st.
Andrea Cwynar	94117-1323	1660 grove street
Geoff Thompson	81328	POB 486, Mancos, CO
Will Doolittle	97405	po box 5265
Donna Zick	95822	1126 Sherburn Avenue, Sacramento CA
Annita Lucchesi	95524	4771 Jacoby Creek Rd
Raven Stevens	96067	724 Butte Ave, Mt. Shasta, CA
Whitney Youngman	66044	1740 Ohio St #27, Lawrence, KS
Reid YALOM	94960	713 SIR FRANCIS DRAKE BLVD, SAN ANSELMO
Lucy Geever- Conroy	95112	520 S 12th St
Anna Marie Stenberg	95437	254 Wall St
Sarada Tangirala	94605	2480 82nd Avenue
Erik Roper	95817	2940 39th Street Sacramento
Krista Eiber	95410	p.o. box 366
Laura Pearson	95819	231 San Miguel Way
Stephanie Velednitsky	94024	1273 Carmel Terrace
Kerin Gould PhD	95626	Artesia Rd
Marie Isenberg	63011	1239 De Noailles Dr
Britt Magadini	97520	518 Maple Way
Ilana Maletz	86341	PO Box 21300
Buck Ellingson	95825	518 pine garden lane apt h
David Wright	95819	Sacramento, CA
Greta Montagne	95524	2506 jacoby creek road
jennifer Schellack	95819	86 43rd Street
Marilyn Kirby	95841	5415 College Oak Drive
Jessica Abbé	94708	980 Grizzly Peak Blvd
Mike Hudson	94702	1204 Cedar
Robert Leigh	94577	2228 Buena Vista Ave, San Leandro, CA
Carol Courtney	95519	1650 blackhawk lane #79

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Jenny Gonyer	99362	280 Boyer Ave
Mollie Brown	94122	1341 20th ave
William Herrold	95736	21060 Pineridge Ln:
Elizabeth Haapanen	95460	Box 77 Mendocino
Elisabeth Middleton	95618	1320 Nutmeg Ln., Davis, CA
Lorraine Kerwood	97405	2575 Friendly St
Kathleen Hansen	96067	514 Mill ST
jeannemarie coulter	95437	31251 hwy 20
Seabrook Leaf	96011	P.O. Box 161
Jennifer Lupton-Wood	96067	906 woodland park dr mt Shasta, Ca
Leila Sadeghi	95630	240 Natoma Station Dr.
Kile Ozier	94114	2261 market street, #404-a
Mary Drew	97071	1596 Thompson Road
India Bowers	94110	3425 23rd Street #24
John Bachellor	95126	1038 liebelt
Ellen Albright	94505	1130 discovery bay BI
mf schroyer	94110	1499 potrero
Donna Fairchild	95624	9478 Ranch Park Way, Elk Grove, CA
rene alvarez	94608	2340 Powell st
C G	94110	2425 24th st.
Angela Berry	94549	3739 highland rd
david brendel	11201	287 henry street
gabriela rasberry	95207	2737 birch ave
Joan Hansen	95690	14019 Islandview Way
Kayla Carpenter	95546	P.O. Box 878 Hoopa CA
Julie Larson	94577	958 Helen Avenue, San Leandro CA
Belinda Ramirez	91101	327 E Del Mar Blvd Apt 5
Jeff Mallory	93920	45955 Pfeiffer Ridge Road
Cara Lee-Shuff	94109	1855 Pacific Ave. #103 San Francisco, CA
Molly Brown	96067	722 Meadow Ave
Riikka Poulsen	00/00	Tullirinne 2 i

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
Tomasita Medál	94122	P.O. Box 22551 San Francisco
Mia Brown	95546	hwy 96 #160
Jenny Lor	97405	651 E 32nd Avenue
Scott Petty	95621	6987 Brayton Ave
Bonnie Johnson	96025	449 MicanSt., Dunsmuir, CA
Roxanne Moger	95817	2340 42nd St., Sacramento, CA
Ryan neily	81007	441 W. Lookout dr. Pueblo West
Elena Gardella	94702	1256 Russell St.
steve messina	11375	110-45 queens blvd #910 forest hills, ny
Karen Rogers	96067	po Box
Diane Pizza	94949	224 montego key Novato ca
Suzanne Nathans	94901	424 Woodland Ave
Ariel Gimble	87048	1432 Camino Hermosa
Gary Hughes	95521	145 G St., Suite A
Darci D'Anna	93924	34 Paso Hondo
Thomas Cahill	94559	1439 E Street, Napa, CA
Connor Yiamkis	96087	2125 Shasta CA
Barbara Pannullo	11772	15 Sharon Drive, Patchogue NY
Diane Tenerelli- June	07086	588 Gregory Avenue, Weehawken, NJ
Shannon Brawley	02875	201A Shannock Village Road
Jacqueline Castillo	87455	PO Box 7914
Alex Fidelibus	07302	280 Marin Blvd, Apt 21E
Mark Lakeman	97202	8512 SE 8th, Portland, OR
Janet Cavallo	19018	1276 Providence Rd
Scott Mendelson	27705	922 Hale St, Durham NC
Barbi j Leach	95546	Po box361 Hoopa,cal
chris skyhawk	95410	PO Box 127
Giuseppe Laneve	94901	557 east Francisco blvd
Lisa Lopez	95833	301 West El Camino #3
Joseph Pettit	52246	441 Hawkeye Drive, Iowa City, IA
Catherine Cadden	27516	1601 Eco Drive
Lani Phillips	96097	551 N Main Street

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Bruce Greene	97214	1906 SE Elliott Ave.
Heidi Bourne	95518	P. O. box 4313
Elaine Hudson	95621	7641 poplar ave
Kristin Allen	96094	4942 Lake Shastina Dr
Cairn Rodrigues	95691	1616 Portsmouth St
cerridwen bunten	96067	smith st
Mari Shanta	96025	6418 Dunsmuir Av
SHAWNA BROWN	94571-1619	520 main st
Kathryn Jessup	96067	1234 Nixon
Carol Bloom	95444-9306	2705 S. Brush St.
steven tucker	95519	1289 azalea ave
Wenda Vander Werf	95692	PO Box 154
Joshua Chambers	96011	PO Box 33
David Donnerfield	94960	113 Madrone Ave. San Anselmo, CA.
nathan Shwartz	98027	405 W Minster Ave
Luan Marks	49120	122 Silsbee Street
ELSIE JOHNSON	96089	shasta lake ca
Barry LeBeau	02909	84 Marshall St.,Apt#1A
Morgan Stuart	12008	19 1st. Street, Alplaus, NY
Debie Rasmussen	95966	Oroville
Marlies Jansen	59757	Bosschekampstraat 71
Joan Kleban	97402	966 Jackson
Lydia Scott	97405	30764 Koinonia rd.
Matt Denner	50310	2819 Holcomb Ave
Erin Rowe	95521	1984 Leslie Ct
Kimberly Landis	43119	5463 Bentonhurst Ct.
Matthew Bueno	96003	13839 Creek Trail
Ted Sison	94597	31 San Luis court
michelle blackburn	90042	5672 1/2 york blvd
john cole meeker	94572	708 Gravenstein hwy N
Jim Brown	96067	722 Meadow Ave.
Amy Bumpus	43082	6316 Charmar dr
Katherine Falk	94611	62 Entrada Avenue

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
Amy Parscal	96011	P.O.Box 225
Fred Joyce	95402	PO Box 15227, Santa Rosa, CA
Pauline Girvin	95470	P.O. Box 73 Redwood Valley, CA
amber hoadley	94956	box 605
Leslie McCoy	94619	4261 35th Ave
Alicia Siu	95616	406 Scripps Dr, Davis, CA
Jane Hamby	96094	PO Box 651 Weed, CA
Justine Devoe	96002	1100 Echo Road
Lucy Elphick	95627	25944 Craig street
Tyler Gibson	97520	Hwy 66, Ashland, or
Ramon Montano	92105	4161 37st apt#8 San Diego California
Christina West	95454	Bix 1663
Kara Brinkman	97402	1300 Quaker St
George Cammarota	95129	4646 Corrida Circle, San Jose, CA
JOHN BRENNAN	96094	3715 Dale Creek Rd,
Nicole Woodruff	02809	23 Dolly Drive, Bristol, R.I.
Harmony Lambert	96087	PO box 403
Jennifer Wilks-Christian	96067	502 Berry Street
Vanity Willette	85637	12 Pinto Trail
Martha Perkins	91107	1443 Edgecliff Ln
Margret Wrennstad	41666	Borgaregatan 14
willie mitchell	07198	13 bunholvil
mary villa	94115	1040 Divisadero
resa sawyer	87712	box 59 buena vista nm
Tanja Lehmann	81245	Kaspar-Kerll-Str. 19
Jerry Gilreath	30223	1105 Lake Avenue
Dan Kegebein	98582	P.O.Box448
Gisela Pook	78467	Bismarcksteig 10
harry bishop	95213	po box 32022
Summer Szymanski	95690	PO Box 852
Ann Roach	73127	4600 NW 11TH ST
terri vandehey	97048	68370 Meisner Road

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Ban the Dam - Unethical Raising and Dam No More	60001	*
Therese Coupez	94110	2843 Harrison St, San Francisco CA, USA
Angela Rex	95563	Po BOX 501
david nathans	43119	5451 bentonhurst court
Mariam Jones	97405	1971 garfield st
Christine Frisco RN	94301	649 University Avenue
Carol Luther	94960	21 Oak Ave.
Pat Shirley	87529	## Mirlo Dr
Brenda Andresen	97330	1705 NW Taylor St
belinda gould	33815	520 mathew rd.,lakeland,fl.
Darlene Lee	97633	135 N Elm Street, P.O.Box 532
MARY ODOM	39466	1941CHARDSON OZONA RD
george koch	95051-5604	2808 rebeiro
Kouslaa Kessler- Mata	93953	2807 Forest Lodge Road
Larry Rhodes	60041	26041 Marshall Avenue
fred rinne	94112	642 cayuga ave san francisco ca
Nancy W Gin	94109	923 Eddy St #107, San Francisco, CA
susan fanter	62024	502 Harper Court East Alton,IL.
Ashley Hall	95959	15169 Lewis Rd.
Claire Coupez	98070	P.O. Box 2176
Nicole Letscher- Bartholomay	96067	4115 Hummingbird Way
springwater ocoee	47112	1341 hillview dr,corydon,in
Peter Tennigkeit	95472	216 florence ave
Byron Roberts	95207	5234 Grouse Run Dr
Daniela Koromzay	94930	17 Redwood Rd, Fairfax, CA
laura beebe	95570	Po box 2057
roberta wagner	08051	731 Garrison Ct
deanna arnall	65256	7505 w stidham rd
Rose Madrone	95560	box 193
Allan Reaves	96067	General Delivery

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
kristen witkowski	11789	8 stewart rd
Elora Young	30276	437 McIntosh trail
Frank Putnam	97209	Portland, OR
kellie st. james	95519	1817 holly dr
Allie Coleman	96067	627 Everitt Memorial Hwy
Melinda Perlman	96037	Box117
Nichole Albright	97404	1201 Maxwell Road
E. Rodriguez	10963	Mountain RD
Marilee Bittner-Fawcett	98524	1541 E Treasure Is Dr
Deneen Peckinpah	97520	569 Clay St.
Holly Ducharme	34113	5697 Rattlesnake hammock rd Apt.C101
Thomas Lester	74434	PO Box 264 Ft. Gibson,Ok.
Robert Shearer	95521	Diamond Drive
Gaylord Hughes	95549	1980 Greenwood Hgts. Dr.
Samantha Langley	95503	3328 G Eureka, CA
Cynthia Russell	96067	1612 Holiday Lane Mount Shasta Ca
Rebecca Manion	95501	1336 A Street
Karen Hill	32667	PO Box 445
Christina Ahlstrand	94618	5816 Ocean View Drive
lynn duncan	47012	28734 maune rd
Stacie Meredith	95687	1084 Ruby dr
Clifford Delmar Leach Jr.	95316	4601 Swanson Rd.
John Brennan	97212	822 NE Hancock ST
Lisa Rowe	95960	26798 Wampum Way
Lynda Fullerton	98584	Shelton, WA
Ann Altstatt	95060	203 Cedar Street
Mark Motyka	96039	3334 Indian Creek Road
ginger cloud	97405	2930 Charnelton
Jennifer Parrish	95125	593 Dorothy Ave
maureen roche	95558	petrolia
Lynn Jenkinson	48198	2910 Stommel Rd

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Ildiko Cziglenyi	95570	712 8th Ave.
Kris Farquhar-Naeyaert	95677	6055 Placer West Dr. Rockin, CA
Lloyd Hauskins	95560	POB 665
Sebastian Vido	94547	133 Manzanita place
julie l. solarski	95821	3545 Edison ave #3 Sacramento ca
lynne nourse	94931	p.o. box 7643
Bob Williams	76272	2271 W FM 922
caroline downie	96025	306 riverwood lane, dunsmuir, ca
Melanie Clement	96003	705 Country Oak Dr.
Lucy Rodriguez	27514	145 Erwin rd
Rosemary Clement	96003	705 Country Oak Dr.
Jaya Clement	96003	705 Country Oak Dr.
Jim Lockhart	97266	4528 se 99th
Angela Parrinello	94118	318 12th Ave
susan wesley	86004	2024 n 2nd st
Rogene Reynolds	95206	4444 W. Undine Road
Lorraine Hersey	97801	4223 SW Broadlane Ave
Frank Riehemann	82467	Hauptstraße 48, Garmisch-Partenkirchen, Germany
Michelle Steinberg	94609	693 33rd Street
Cameron Baxter	94118	2325 Cabrillo
Michael Kavanaugh	95545	P.O.box 104
debra daniel	19335	35 kennedy drive
Tara Russo	87507	3740 Academy rd. St. D
Mitch Collins	18914	219 Cambridge Place
Rosemarie D'Ostilio	96067	206 E Hinckely St Mt. Shasta, CA
Karen Ratzlaff	95404	645 Carr Ave.
Kristy McCurry	95926	1315 Palm Avenue
James Baker	36804	2225 Lee Road 117
Donna Boyd	96067	314 Sheldon Ave
Kathaleen Reed	95812	PO Box 2144
Sara Pawulak	95519	1400 Underwood rd
Anthony Leach Sr	95603	141 Boardman Street

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
Allan Gehman	96001-0933	540 South Street #58
Jeanine Ertl	95589	11000 Briceland Road
Leslie Craig	95503	4701 Crane Street Eureka CA
Jennifer Ayo	95521	2575, alliance rd 13-c
Paul Eggers	95942	PO Box 445, Forest Ranch, CA
L Shaw	96007	millville
Gordon Anderson	95521	1560 Peninsula Arcata, CA
Robert Billstrom	95521	988 9th st, Arcata, CA
Sean Sampanes	96092	1013 Layton rd
J P	95521	355 Granite Ave
Joanna Welch	95501	2925 Lowell
Paul Cavanaugh	95971	345 Main Ranch Rd
Daniel Dempsey	95503	5087 Meyers Ave.
Sara Trechter	95926	736 Oaklawn Chico, CA
mason mckibben	95519	742 gross st
Courtney Scott	97232	2106 NE Flanders, Portland, OR
Joaquin estrada	95521	145 12th st
Adela Myers	95956	PO BOX 261
Julianna Elias	96080	16145 Red Bank Road
David Hurst	95926	1311 Fairway Alley
Darcia Slape	96002	20020 falcon drive redding, ca
Lisa Butterfield	95501	2440 Wood Street
karyn parker	83686	2903 laurel way
Bob Atwood	96003	248 Boulder Cr Dr #8
timothy may	96022	22366 river view dr, cottonwood, ca
Ron Kuhnel	95501	1604 G ST
Penny Garrett	96003	851 Mission De Oro Drive
Mary Able	96056	535-000 Little Valley Rd.
Juniper Hobson	95928	4722 Cable Bridge
Robert McCombs	95518	PO Box 4175
Michael Terry	96007	PO BOX 1019
Peggy Loe	95954	13516 Tufts Court
Steven Wadas	96067	416 N. Washington Drive

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
shari brown	95966	68 Long Bar Ct.
Jessica Black	93265	40831 Balch Park Rd
Mary Thomas	96094	5018 Solus Place
Elaine Kane	95540	1751 Home Ave
michele stainback	96926	1628 spruce avenue
Orle Jackson	96080	19873 Hwy 36 W, Red Bluff CA
Karen Anderson	96058	P. O. Box 373, Macdoel, CA
Jeanne Ertl	95926	1552 Citrus Avenue
Jane Merkel	95503	833 Everding Street
April Wagner	96093	box 1336
Sue Lindgard	96050	P.O. Box 57
raymond eliggi	96080	1155 jefferson st.
Claire Robbins	95501	2542 Hubbard Lane, Apt B
Judith Benbrook	95490	2745 Coyote Road
Shilo Quetchenbach	95521	1351 H st #5
Lyssette Rodriguez	95521	335 Laurel dr.
Forrest Lamb	96025	5404 Shasta Avenjue
Ron Smith	95928	5332 Finnicum Rd
Lyn Walters	95956	P.O. Box 157
Juliet LaFleur	95928	955 Madison St
David Page	96003	4282 Baywood dr redding ca
Bayla Greenspoon	96067	724 Butte Ave, Mt. Shasta
Audrey Kapitan	95409	4 Quixote Court
Davin Peterson	95501	2846 Lowell Street, Eureka CA
Whitney Allen	96002	19731 Valley Lane
Jeff Gemutliche	96003	4470 Swallow Tail Ct.
Marilyn Shepherd	95570	PO Box 715
William Peace	95969	5228 Squire Ln Paradise Ca
Daniel Steward	95973	4 Elverta Circle, Chico CA
Virginia Jaquez	95947	P.O. Box 172
diana Nielsen	94525	419 vallejo st
Gura Lashlee	95519	2580 Central Av. #38
Lorenzo Durham	95969	1417 Andrea Ln, Paradise, CA

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
Virginia Felter	95519	550 Hunts Drive
Noel Phares	95969	1374 McCullough Dr
David Tamori	95966	111 Putman Dr
Terri Mattson	96086-0513	PO Box 513
Gerda Lydon	95973	2948 San Verbena Way
Carol Mone	95570	Box 223
Mark McCandlish	96002-0511	2205 Hilltop Dr. #158, Redding, CA
Samala Ray	95501	217 D st #310 Eureka Ca
Patricia Purcell	95969	5436 Clark Rd #44
Marietta Sheffield	96001	3705 Riverview Drive, Redding,
James Kirks	95973	11 Hemming Lane
carolyn galindo	95502-0488	p.o. box 488, eureka CA
Alan Sund	95926	1675 Manzanita Ave #82
Jody Bond	48864	Jody Bond
Carolyn Doty	96002	662 Estate St
Phil Reser	95926	1301 Sheridan Ave. #27, Chico, CA
Suzanne Simpson	95518	POB 309 Arcata, CA
Judy Haggard	95519	1237 Gross St.
Nat Childs	95553	PO Box 511
Karen Raskin	95549	970 greenwood heights drive kneeland, ca
Brien Brennan	96080	7200 South Fork Drive
Darrah Hopper	96020	PO Box 186
GeneAnna McMillan	95926	2040 Vallombrosa Ave.
matthew mckibben	95926	2311 holly ave
Mirislav Liska	95519	1240 Ian In
Lynette C	92128	1526 Esperanza Way
Ricky Pisanu	95602	5275 Morningside Ave, Auburn Ca
DANIEL MCELHERAN	96093	200 BUTTONS RD
Margaret Grossman	95521	2778 Buttermilk Lane
Marilyn Sanborn	96069-9506	27445 Lookout Mountain Lane, Oak Run, CA
Sandra Lee Childs	95553	Miranda, CA

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Terril McHardy	95916	50 Simpson Ranch Rd
Wilma Dibelka	96094	5238 High Meadow, Weed, CA
Terry Ferguson	96137	304 Delwood Street
Holly Barnard	95490	P.O. Box 565
David Lee	95928	983 E 7TH ST
larry glass	95552	PO Box F
Kathleen Kelcey	95519	1090 Murray Rd space 45
jacek ernestowicz	78-100	walki mlodych
Luana Mauer	97426	84820 Cloverdale Road
Deborah Kvaka	95454	POB 1324, Laytonville, Ca
George Wilton	95965	1326 Grand Ave.
harriette searle	95983	5518 fir fork
Lynn Miller	95954	6277 Brevard Circle, Magalia, CA
Cynthia Husten	96001	2106 Butte Street
Robert White	95521	2750 Terrace Ave
Debbie Harrison	95519	2423 Bolier Ave.
Craig Olson	96003-3539	800 CHRISTINE AVE
Rick Boutin	96080	1364 Walbridge Street
Carol Lawrence	95519-3448	1090 Murray Rd #66
laurey morris	95501	1417nigellane
Mark Bailey	95549	7636 Kneeland Road
Jessie Ayani	96067	1431 Pine Grove Drive
Jon Behnke	95454	P.O. Box 631
Katherine Maxey	95503	6828 eggert rd
Ronald Goff	95954	6312 Shelton Ct.
Clarence Hagmeier	95558	POB 9
Anne Nicksic	95540	1104 Stewart Street
Elaine Nichols	59301	405 MISSISSIPPI AVE
Sandra John	95928	1420 Half Dome Way, Chico
Angela Gerard	47401	3259 East Will Sowders Road
Gale Swearinger	95939	3600-09 Phils Way
Susan Coffi	96137-1223	P.o. 1223
Rosa Rashall	95589	PO box 153

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
George Dibelka	96094	5238 High Meadow Drive
Joan Martien	95521	1029 11th street
john crandell	95519	401 wagle lane
Timothy Hafner	95503	3800 Mitchell Rd
Michael Tonetti	95973	470 Chestnut Rose Ln
Virginia De Vries	95490	4260 Blackhawk Drive, Willits CA
Sherrie Gadreault	96002	2650 Bunker St. #1
Frank Letton	95589	POB 294
clare fisher	95926	477 e sacramento ave
cynthia olen	95501	2214 Fairfield St., Apt. 3
Sandra Bacon	95503-7608	4343 Walnut Dr
Wendy Crist	96025	5914 Mountain Ave
Ashalyn Ashalyn	96067	416B Alder St, Mt. Shasta, CA
pat pearson	96027	4320 shell gulch
John Scott	95965	4370 Tao Way, Butte Valley, CA
Alan Sanborn	95521	1491 H St
Sally Cooper	96067	304 S Mt Shadta Blvd
Larry Bailey	96099	P. O. Box 992480, Redding, CA
Tandra Froehlich	96022	3465 Brush St Cottonwood CA
Sandy Mitchell	96067	1020 Kingston Rd., Apt. 7B
Karen Mayer	95503	4552 Mitchell Rd. Eureka
Kathleen Faith	95928	2188 Honey Run Rd
Makere Aroha Chapman	98460	Whitecliffs, New Zealand
mike Evans	96007	2777 flagstone ct
Carrie Smith	95928	1660 Humboldt
Sandra O'Neill	95928	1232 B Oakdale St
donna espoito	95528	box 288
Shereen Smith	95542	11815 Alderpoint Rd.
Marcia Fiamengo	95691	1969 Linden Road
Melissa Birch	95502	PO Box 6770
James Robinson	95560	pobox2382
Casey O'Neill	95546	p o box 20

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Deirdre Santaniello	95490	26590 Daphne Way
Shirley Fannin	95973	2601 Nord Ave.
JENNY ORCHID	95560	PO BOX 302
Valerie Fannin	95973	2601 Nord Ave.
Rick Underhill	30513	678 Ash Loop Road, Blue Ridge, GA
N Courtemanche	95536	1335 Rose Av.
Philip Lee	96059	31695 Forward Rd Manton CA
Cheryl Gravitt	30303	321 Lee Rd.
Dana Wullenwaber	96001	2442 California Street
David Grau	95926	773 Sierra View Way Chico CA
Jorge Arguello	96003	1550 Barbara Rd., Redding, CA
Terry Crary	96019	3304 Shasta Dam Blvd
Joyce Ballard	39567	922 Quail Meadow Drive
Barbara Small	95514	29191 Alderpoint Road,Blocksburg,California
Andra Stringer	95540	1668 Justice Ct
Delbert O'Neill	95546	po box 20
Mary Benson	95973-0729	701 E Lassen Ave 116
Jennifer Marx	96014	424 Sugar Creek Road
Gary Pelton	96002	2040 Hilltop Drive Redding CA
Lydia Plaster	95965	22 Bob Way
Piers Strailey	95971	P O Box 3012
Phillip Winkels	95454	46641 woodman cyn rd
Mikal Baker	95521	986 C St
Mary Stone	96064	11800 Hart Rd.
kimberly smalley	95502	po box 146 eureka ca
Mary Davis	27712	5301 Falkirk Drive
Cary Frazee	95503	499 Redmond Road
Kay Schaser	95501	2701 Erie Street, Eureka, Ca
Al Pantalone	96003	2173 Hope Ln.
Dr. Robert Bowman	95926	1220 Glenn Haven Dr
Karen Delangelo	95540	821 14th Street
victoria schanzle	95553	408 Thomas Rd

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
William Skupowski	95966	105 Pinedale Ave
Ralph Privette	96088	30567 Thumper Dr
Betty Rowland	96013	20420 Poplar St
linda robinson	63114	9410 ridge overland mo.
christopher kirkland	60098	2563 Linden
Laura Rhoades	95476	Sonoma creek
sharon porter	95969	4827 Round Valley Ranch Rd.
Janice Stout	96055	24826 Taft St
Julie Haynes	96091	HC 1 Box 613
Sarah Morris	95926	518 W. 6th Ave.
Michael Celayeta	96039	P.O. Box 425 Happy Camp, Ca
Richard Hand	96035	7815 State Hwy 99 W
Kyle Drennen	96067	035 Davis Place Road
hugh liles	95519	2595 kelly
Jill Gardner	96067	POBox 473
Penny Schafer	96067	825 Aiello Road
Loren Madsen	95454	PO Box 1824, Laytonville
Karen Scarborough	96003	3546 Old Lantern Drive
carol rogan	96093	po box 1126
Ariel Graham	95521	1959 Ernest Way
J SpottedEagle	87413	80 Rd. 4992
Sandy Sweitzer	95521	2066 Mustang
Claudia Weber	95926	22 Williamsburg Lane, suite G Chico ca
Lloyd Downs	95954	14766 Pine Cone Way
Carolyn Grill	28411	1004 Potomac Dr., Wilmington, NC
Susan Cliff	96067	PO Box 1332, Mount Shasta, CA
Yvonne Redd	96130	479-395 Tako Nee St
Taylor Branson	95949	11810 Iodestar dr grass valley ca
JuLeah Willson	98052	15920 NE 101st court
Peggy McGuire	96035	2351 Stone Ave. Gerber, CA
Donna Clark	96130	708 Plumas St., Susanville, CA
Sylvia De Rooy	95503	210 Pomeroy Hollow

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Frank Wilkens	96002	4050 Aspen Springs Ct
Beth Shipley	95521	1579 13th St., Arcata, CA
christine schlumpf	96003	19900 sunbeam circle
william malinowski	95589	1261 toth rd.
ROBERTA REPASZ	48822	P.O. Box 53,Eagle, Mi
Peter Norris	95490	27660 Poppy Drive
leo schlumpf	96003	19900 sunbeam circle
Justin Zakoren	95503	3220 Pine St.
Mickey Fernandez	95490	1448 Daphne Drive, Willits, CA
Coleen Marks	95555	PO Box 295
robin keehn	95926	273 e 3rd ave
Ja Miller	95973	146 Sleepy Valley road
Nancy Olson	96067	Mount Shasta
toni casto	95965	471 grand ave
Ken Miller	95519	1658 Ocean Drive
George Bates	96052	321 Clark Creek rd
Frank Toriello	96064	6635 Willow Creek Road, Montague, CA
Julie Cook	95490	28300 Skyview Rd
George Thorward	96039	4919 Indian Creek Rd
Jennifer Ferrini	95926	1890 Hooker Oak ave
karinajoy McAbee	95490	1517 casteel dr
Brian Humble	96003	1396 Minder Dr.
Monica Coyne	95560	p.o.box 1178
kendra guimaraes	95540	1955 scenic dr fortuna ca
Tom Patton	95928	11 Skymountain Circle
Chad Oliver	96067	705 Caroline ave
Cheryl Corcoran	96003	1290 Deodar Way
Joni Stellar	95965	2965 Madre De Oro PI
Vivian Garcia	78231	2935 Green Run Lane
Martha Walden	95524	po box 325, Bayside
William Cortez	96091	111 N. Lakeview Dr. Trinity Center
Gina Lindow	97540	113 N 3rd st. #2

Chapter 33
Public Comments and Responses

Name	Postcode	Address
windwolf woods	97477	73 v street
Sue Mendez	95954	6475 Loyola Ct
Jerry Peavy	95926	2111 Algonkin Ave. Chico Ca.
shelley o'neil	96092	po box 259, vina, ca
michael mclaughlin	95967	po box 1232 paradise ca
Karin Anderson	96041	P.O Box 1183 Highway 3
joyce tierney	19904	8 freedom pl.
melinda willey	96067	517 Shasta Way, Mount Shasta, Ca.
kathleen McCovey	96039	PO Box 53 Happy Camp, Ca.
Gene Latimer	97214-4848	1704 SE 22nd Ave
Mark Vargas	96003	11912 Best Ln.
Steven Westbrook	95926	1321 palm ave
Agleska Cohen-Rencountre	97438	39701 Little Fall Creek Road
Allan Stellar	95965	2965 Madre de oro place
David Menefee	96041	PO Box 1183
Ada Ball	97457	P.O. Box 1916
DAWN FAZENDE	96067	POB 443
Serena Seidner	96067	3724 Summit Dr.
Tammy Robertson	96067	1339 Stellar
Glen Yonemura	95632	620-Third St.
TOM BRANSON	95949	11810 Lodestar Drive
Anita Brady	96003	12076 Fawn Dr.
Sean Payne	95501	601 W. Wabash Ave unit B
Susan Whitney	95570-0793	P.O. Box 793
Susie Foot	95519	1873 Cliff Ave
Jennifer Krause	96067	1934 Deetz road
Terri bradley	96002	1244 Heavenly Oak Ln # 1
Christina volanos	83642	132 w. broadway ave meridian id
ted lindsay	95501	2141 Tydd St #223
jerry batcheler	95965	703 Oro Dam Blvd W #205
Don Swall	95501	1140 E. St. Eureka
viola long	95546	p.o. box 1096

Shasta Lake Water Resources Investigation
Environmental Impact Statement

Name	Postcode	Address
Dylan Fuentes	92627	1124 Victoria St
Bonnie Daut	98030	10914 SE 240th Pl D202, Kent, WA
Mark Trechter	20152	25483 Feltre Terrace
Bruce McKinley	96094	8936 Blue Jay Lane, Weed, CA
Ruth Lown	96021	6401 Santa Clara rd.
Joan Barrymore	96088	PO Box 227
Suzanne Guerra	95503	4771 West Wing Lane
T Beaulieu	96003	12171 Cinder
William Huber	96046	P. O. Box 1
Liam Humble	95405	2627 Lago Oaks Dr.
ann Souter	95519	1101 Silverado Ave
Wendy Harden	95542	P.O. Box 446
Troika Saint Germain	96067	PO Box 733
Carol Hanrahan	97470	812 Shadow Ranch, Roseburg
Mark Goodwin	95969	6217 Forgotten Way, Paradise, CA
pascal hudon	95959	10580 rimrock ln
Christine Martin	95973	13 Discovery Way
Jenna yonemura	95660	3710 Bainbridge drive
Jean Nels	96067	240 Smith Street
Lisa McEntire	73401	3120 Carter
Vincent Kessinger	96001	1735 Wisconsin Avenue
Tom Handman	96035	7371 McClure Ave
Jill Kane	96001	3620 ALTURA AVE, REDDING CA 96001
Marzanna Pietrowska	95524	3420 Old Arcata Rd Bayside Ca
Lawrence Williams	95570	P.O. Box 793, Trinidad, CA
Gregory Byers	95490	15000 Hearst Road
Sylvia Cardella	95547	4570 Bluff Top
Jacintha Stanley	86033	PO Box 1906
Donna Bringenberg	96067	POB 669
Sunny Hawk	95521	2255 Alliance Road, Apt.26
Jean Cannon	96073	PQ Box 426