

**Chapter 10****1 Terrestrial Biological Resources****2 10.1 Introduction**

3 This chapter describes terrestrial biological resources in the Study Area; and  
4 potential changes that could occur as a result of implementing the alternatives  
5 evaluated in this Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). Implementation of the  
6 alternatives could affect terrestrial biological resources through potential changes  
7 in operation of the Central Valley Project (CVP) and State Water Project (SWP)  
8 and ecosystem restoration.

**9 10.2 Regulatory Environment and Compliance  
10 Requirements**

11 Potential actions that could be implemented under the alternatives evaluated in  
12 this EIS could affect terrestrial biological resources in areas: along the shorelines  
13 and in the waters of reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water supplies, along  
14 rivers and waterways (including bypasses) impacted by changes in the operations  
15 of CVP or SWP reservoirs, within agricultural areas served by CVP and SWP  
16 water supplies, and modified to provide wetland habitat. Actions located on  
17 public agency lands; or implemented, funded, or approved by Federal and state  
18 agencies would need to be compliant with appropriate Federal and state agency  
19 policies and regulations, as summarized in Chapter 4, Approach to  
20 Environmental Analyses.

**21 10.3 Affected Environment**

22 This section describes terrestrial biological resources that could potentially be  
23 affected by implementing the alternatives considered in this EIS. Changes in  
24 terrestrial biological resources due to changes in CVP and SWP operations may  
25 occur in the Trinity River, Central Valley, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast,  
26 and Southern California regions.

27 Terrestrial biological resources occur throughout the Study Area. However, the  
28 analysis in this EIS is focused on terrestrial biological resources that could be  
29 directly or indirectly affected by the implementation of the alternatives analyzed  
30 in this EIS. The areas that could be affected are related to specific areas: 1) along  
31 the shorelines of reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water supplies, 2) along  
32 rivers downstream of CVP or SWP reservoirs, 3) areas with wetland habitat  
33 restoration in the Yolo Bypass and Suisun Marsh, 4) wildlife refuges that receive  
34 CVP water supplies, 5) riparian corridors within the Delta, and 6) within  
35 agricultural acreage that is irrigated with CVP and SWP water supplies.

1 Therefore, the following description of the affected environment is limited to  
2 these areas.

3 **10.3.1 Overview of Species with Special Status**

4 Species with special status are defined as species that are legally protected or  
5 otherwise considered sensitive by Federal, state, or local resource agencies,  
6 including:

- 7 • Species listed by the Federal government as threatened or endangered,
- 8 • Species listed by the State of California as threatened, endangered, or rare  
9 (rare status is for plants only),
- 10 • Species that are formally proposed for Federal listing or are candidates for  
11 Federal listing as threatened or endangered,
- 12 • Species that are candidates for State listing as threatened or endangered,
- 13 • Species that meet the definitions of rare, threatened, or endangered under  
14 California Environmental Quality Act,
- 15 • Species identified by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) as Birds of  
16 Conservation Concern,
- 17 • Species considered sensitive by the U.S. Bureau of Land Management (BLM)  
18 or U.S. Forest Service (USFS),
- 19 • Species identified by California Department of Fish and Wildlife (CDFW) as  
20 species of special concern, species designated by California statute as fully  
21 protected (e.g., California Fish and Game Code, sections 3511 [birds],  
22 4700 [mammals], and 5050 [reptiles and amphibians] and 5515 [fish]) or bird  
23 species on the CDFW Watch List, and
- 24 • Species, subspecies, and varieties of plants considered by CDFW and  
25 California Native Plant Society (CNPS) to be rare, threatened, or endangered  
26 in California. The CNPS Inventory of Rare and Endangered Plants of  
27 California assigns California Rare Plant Ranks (CRPR) categories for plant  
28 species of concern. Only plant species in CRPR categories 1 and 2 are  
29 considered special status plant species in this document:
  - 30 – CRPR 1A—Plants presumed to be extinct in California.
  - 31 – CRPR 1B—Plants that are rare, threatened, or endangered in California  
32 and elsewhere.
  - 33 – CRPR 2—Plants that are rare, threatened, or endangered in California but  
34 more common elsewhere.

35 A listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur  
36 in portions of the Study Area and are affected by the long-term coordinated  
37 operation of the CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A. Relevant  
38 documents used to assemble these resource lists include the list of Federal  
39 endangered and threatened species that occur in or may be affected by projects in

1 the counties within the Study Area generated on-line from the USFWS  
2 Sacramento Fish and Wildlife Office.

3 To supplement the U.S. Fish and Wildlife lists, the California Natural Diversity  
4 Database (CNDDDB) was queried (DFG 2012) for regions where recent  
5 documentation was lacking. This included the Stanislaus River corridor between  
6 New Melones Dam and the San Joaquin River confluence, and the Trinity River  
7 Region, including Trinity Lake, Lewiston Reservoir, Whiskeytown Lake, and  
8 Clear Creek between Carr Powerhouse and the Sacramento River confluence.

### 9 **10.3.1.1 Critical Habitat**

10 Critical habitat refers to areas designated by the USFWS for the conservation of  
11 species listed as threatened or endangered under the Endangered Species Act of  
12 1973, as amended through the 108<sup>th</sup> Congress (ESA). When a species is proposed  
13 for listing under the ESA, the USFWS considers whether there are certain areas  
14 essential to the conservation of the species. Critical habitat is defined in  
15 Section 3, Provision 5 of the ESA as follows.

16 *(5)(A) The term “critical habitat” for a threatened or endangered species*  
17 *means -*

18 *(i) the specific areas within the geographical area occupied by*  
19 *a species at the time it is listed in accordance with the Act, on*  
20 *which are found those physical or biological features (I)*  
21 *essential to the conservation of the species, and (II) which may*  
22 *require special management considerations or protection; and*

23 *(ii) specific areas outside the geographical area occupied by a*  
24 *species at the time it is listed in accordance with the provisions*  
25 *of section 4 of this Act, upon a determination by the Secretary*  
26 *that such areas are essential for the conservation of the*  
27 *species.*

28 Any Federal action (permit, license, or funding) in critical habitat requires that  
29 Federal agency to consult with the USFWS where the action has potential to  
30 adversely modify the habitat for terrestrial species.

31 The federally listed wildlife and plant species considered in this EIS that have  
32 designated critical habitat areas that could be affected by modification of CVP  
33 and SWP operations are presented in Table 10.1 below. There are occurrences of  
34 critical habitat of other species not included in Table 10.1 or other locations of  
35 critical habitat of the species listed in Table 10.1 which are not included below  
36 because those occurrences are not located within the CVP or SWP service areas  
37 or in areas that could be affected by modification of CVP and SWP operations,  
38 such as lands located at high elevations within national forests where CVP and  
39 SWP water is not delivered.

1  
2**Table 10.1 Terrestrial Species with Designated Critical Habitat in Portions of the Study Area that Could Be Affected by Changes in CVP and SWP Operations**

<b>Species</b>	<b>Regions<sup>a</sup></b>	<b>Counties</b>
Least Bell's Vireo	Central Coast and Southern California	Riverside, San Bernardino, San Diego, Santa Barbara, Ventura
Buena Vista Lake Shrew	Central Valley	Kern
Fresno Kangaroo Rat	Central Valley	Fresno
California Tiger Salamander	Central Valley	Alameda, Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, Solano, Stanislaus, Tulare, Yolo
California Red-legged Frog	Central Valley, San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, Southern California	Alameda, Butte, Contra Costa, El Dorado, Kern, Kings, Los Angeles, Merced, Nevada, Placer, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, Santa Clara, Solano, Stanislaus, Ventura, Yuba
Alameda Whipsnake	Central Valley and San Francisco Bay Area	Alameda, San Joaquin, Santa Clara
Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle	Central Valley	Sacramento
Conservancy Fairy Shrimp	Central Valley	Butte, Merced, Solano, Stanislaus, Tehama, Ventura
Longhorn Fairy Shrimp	Central Valley	Alameda, Contra Costa, Merced
Vernal Pool Fairy Shrimp	Central Valley and San Francisco Bay Area	Alameda, Butte, Contra Costa, Fresno, Glenn, Madera, Merced, Placer, Sacramento, San Benito, San Joaquin, Santa Barbara, Shasta, Solano, Stanislaus, Tehama, Tulare, Ventura, Yuba
Vernal Pool Tadpole Shrimp	Central Valley	Alameda, Colusa, Kings, Madera, Merced, Sacramento, Shasta, Solano, Stanislaus, Tehama, Tulare, Yolo, Yuba
Butte County Meadowfoam	Central Valley	Butte, Tehama
Colusa Grass	Central Valley	Merced, Stanislaus, Yolo
Hairy Orcutt Grass	Central Valley	Butte, Fresno, Madera, Merced, Stanislaus, Tehama
San Joaquin Hairy Orcutt Grass	Central Valley	Fresno, Madera, Merced, Tulare
Slender Orcutt Grass	Central Valley	Plumas, Sacramento, Shasta, Tehama

Species	Regions <sup>a</sup>	Counties
Sacramento Orcutt Grass	Central Valley	Sacramento
Solano Grass	Central Valley	Yolo
Contra Costa Goldfields	Central Valley	Solano
Contra Costa Wallflower	Central Valley and San Francisco Bay Area	Contra Costa, Sacramento
Fleshy Owl’s-Clover	Central Valley	Madera, Merced, Sacramento, San Joaquin, Stanislaus
Greene’s Tuctoria	Central Valley	Madera, Merced, Shasta, Stanislaus, Tehama
Hoover’s Spurge	Central Valley	Butte, Merced, Tehama, Tulare
Keck’s Checker-Mallow	Central Valley	Fresno
Soft Bird’s-Beak	Central Valley and San Francisco Bay Area	Contra Costa, Solano
Suisun Thistle	Central Valley	Solano

1 Source: USFWS 2014a - 2014aj

2 Note:

3 a. Only includes critical habitat within lands served by CVP or SWP water or in areas that  
 4 could be affected by modification of CVP and SWP operations. Therefore, does not  
 5 include lands where CVP and SWP water is not delivered or not affected by CVP and  
 6 SWP operations.

7 **10.3.2 Trinity River Region**

8 The Trinity River Region includes the area along the Trinity River from Trinity  
 9 Lake to the confluence with the Klamath River; and along the lower Klamath  
 10 River from the confluence with the Trinity River to the Pacific Ocean. The  
 11 Trinity River Region includes Trinity Lake, Lewiston Reservoir, the Trinity River  
 12 between Lewiston Reservoir and the confluence with the Klamath River, and  
 13 along the lower Klamath River.

14 The Trinity River includes the mainstem, North Fork Trinity River, South Fork  
 15 Trinity River, New River, and numerous smaller streams (NCRWQCB et al.  
 16 2009; USFWS et al. 1999). The mainstem of the Trinity River flows 170 miles to  
 17 the west from the headwaters to the confluence with the Klamath River. As  
 18 described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies, the CVP  
 19 Trinity Lake and Lewiston Reservoir are located upstream of the confluences of  
 20 the Trinity River and the North Fork, South Fork, and New River. Flows on the  
 21 North Fork, South Fork, and New River are not affected by CVP facilities. The  
 22 Trinity River flows approximately 112 miles from Lewiston Reservoir to the  
 23 Klamath River through Trinity and Humboldt counties and the Hoopa Indian  
 24 Reservation within Trinity and Humboldt counties. The Trinity River is the  
 25 largest tributary to the Klamath River (DOI and DFG 2012).

1 The lower Klamath River flows 43.5 miles from the confluence with the Trinity  
2 River to the Pacific Ocean (USFWS et al. 1999). Downstream of the Trinity  
3 River confluence, the Klamath River flows through Humboldt and Del Norte  
4 counties and through the Hoopa Indian Reservation, Yurok Indian Reservation,  
5 and Resighini Indian Reservation within Humboldt and Del Norte counties (DOI  
6 and DFG 2012). There are no dams located in the Klamath River watershed  
7 downstream of the confluence with the Trinity River. The Klamath River estuary  
8 extends from approximately 5 miles upstream of the Pacific Ocean. This area is  
9 generally under tidal effects and salt water can occur up to 4 miles from the  
10 coastline during high tides in summer and fall when Klamath River flows are low.

11 As described in subsection 10.3.2, Overview of Species with Special Status, a  
12 listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur in  
13 portions of the Study Area affected by the long-term coordinated operation of the  
14 CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

#### 15 **10.3.2.1 Trinity Lake and Lewiston Reservoir**

16 The dominant vegetation community in the Trinity River watershed upstream of  
17 Trinity Lake and Lewiston Reservoir includes mixed conifer, with ponderosa  
18 pine, sugar pine, and Douglas-fir as the dominant species. Some south-facing  
19 slopes are dominated by oak and brush. Mixed hardwood communities occur at  
20 lower elevations, and include species such as madrone, big-leaf maple, and a  
21 variety of oaks. The shrub community at lower elevations includes a number of  
22 chaparral species such as manzanita, bitterbrush, and deerbrush. South-facing  
23 slopes around Trinity Lake contain shrub fields that provide winter range for the  
24 Weaverville deer herd (USFS 2005; STNF 2014)

25 Along the margins of Trinity Lake and Lewiston Reservoir, vegetation is  
26 consistent with species associated with a reservoir environment and standing  
27 water, including floating species, rooted aquatic species, and emergent wetland  
28 species. Emergent wetland and riparian vegetation is constrained by fluctuating  
29 water levels and steep banks (NCRWQCB et al. 2009; USFWS et al. 1999).

30 The reservoirs attract resting and foraging waterfowl and other species that favor  
31 standing or slow moving water. Impounded water in the reservoirs also provides  
32 foraging habitat for eagles and other raptors that prey on fish (e.g., ospreys) and  
33 waterfowl.

34 Recently, ten pairs of mating bald eagles were observed at Trinity Lake and three  
35 pairs at Lewiston Lake (USFS 2012).

#### 36 **10.3.2.2 Trinity River from Lewiston Reservoir to Klamath River**

37 Current terrestrial habitat along the Trinity River is different than habitat prior to  
38 construction of Trinity and Lewiston dams. The ongoing Trinity River  
39 Restoration Program is restoring portions of the habitat. The following  
40 description reflects recent habitat changes along the mainstem of the Trinity River  
41 between Lewiston Reservoir and the confluence of the Klamath River.

#### 1 **10.3.2.2.1 Trinity River Restoration Program**

2 The hydrologic and geomorphic changes following construction of the Trinity and  
3 Lewiston dams changed the character of the river channel substantially and  
4 allowed riparian vegetation to encroach on areas that had previously been scoured  
5 by flood flows (USFWS et al. 1999). This resulted in the formation of a riparian  
6 berm that armored and anchored the river banks and prevented meandering of the  
7 river channel. The berm reduced the potential for encroachment and maturation  
8 of woody vegetation along the stabilized channel. In addition, the extent of  
9 wetlands probably declined following dam construction due, in part, to reduced  
10 flows and elimination of river meanders.

11 The ongoing Trinity River Restoration Program includes specific minimum  
12 instream flows, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water  
13 Supplies; mechanical channel rehabilitation; fine and coarse sediment  
14 management; watershed restoration; infrastructure improvement; and adaptive  
15 management components (NCRWQCB et al. 2009; USFWS et al. 1999). The  
16 mechanical channel rehabilitation includes removal of fossilized riparian berms  
17 that had been anchored by extensive woody vegetation root systems and  
18 consolidated sand deposits, and thereby, had confined the river. Following  
19 removal of the berms, the areas had been re-vegetated to support native  
20 vegetation, re-establish alternate point bars, and re-establish complex fish habitat  
21 similar to conditions prior to construction of the dams. Sediment management  
22 activities include introduction of coarse sediment at locations to support spawning  
23 and other aquatic life stages; and relocation of sand outside of the floodway. In  
24 areas closer to Lewiston Dam with limited gravel supply, gravel/cobble point bars  
25 are being rebuilt to increase gravel storage and improve channel dynamics.  
26 Riparian vegetation planted on the restored floodplains and flows will be  
27 managed to encourage natural riparian growth on the floodplain and limit  
28 encroachment on the newly formed gravel bars. Improvement projects have been  
29 completed and others are under construction or in the planning phases. The  
30 restoration actions are occurring between Lewiston Dam and the North Fork.

#### 31 **10.3.2.2.2 Terrestrial Habitat**

32 Between the North Fork and the South Fork, the Trinity River channel is  
33 restricted by steep canyon walls that limit riparian vegetation to a narrow band  
34 (NCRWQCB et al. 2009; USFWS et al. 1999). Between the South Fork and the  
35 confluence with the Klamath River, there are confined reaches with little riparian  
36 vegetation, alternating with vegetation similar to the pre-dam conditions in the  
37 upper reach below Lewiston dam.

38 Many wildlife species that inhabited river and riparian habitats prior to dam  
39 construction still occur along the Trinity River. Species that prefer early-  
40 successional stages or require greater riverine structural diversity are likely to be  
41 less abundant under current conditions (NCRWQCB et al. 2009; USFWS et al.  
42 1999). For example, western pond turtle declined since completion of the dams in  
43 response to diminishing instream habitat. In contrast, species such as northern

1 goshawk and black salamander that favor mature, late-successional riparian  
2 habitats increased with more upland habitat along the riparian corridor.

3 Current habitats along the Trinity River include annual grassland, fresh emergent  
4 wetland, montane riparian, valley-foothill riparian, and riverine habitats  
5 (NCRWQCB et al. 2009, 2013). The annual grassland species include grasses  
6 (e.g., wild oat, soft brome, ripgut brome, cheatgrass, and barley); forbs  
7 (e.g., broadleaf filaree, California poppy, true clover, and bur clover); and native  
8 perennial species (e.g., Creeping Wildrye). The annual grassland habitat supports  
9 Mourning Dove, Savannah Sparrow, White-Crowned Sparrow, American Kestrel,  
10 Red-Tailed Hawk, coyote, California Ground Squirrel, Botta's Pocket Gopher,  
11 California Kangaroo Rat, Deer Mouse, Gopher Snake, Western Fence Lizard,  
12 Western Skink, Western Rattlesnake, and Yellow-Bellied Racer. The fresh  
13 emergent wetland species occur along the backwater areas, depressions, and along  
14 the river edges, including American Tule, Narrow-Leaved Cattail, Dense Sedge,  
15 Perennial Ryegrass, Himalayan Blackberry, and Narrow-Leaved Willow.  
16 Wildlife species along the fresh emergent wetland include Western Toad, Pacific  
17 Chorus Frog, Bullfrog, Green Heron, Mallard, and Red-Winged Blackbird. The  
18 montane riparian habitat adjacent to the river include trees, including bigleaf  
19 maple, white alder, oregon ash, black cottonwood, and Goodding's black willow;  
20 and understory species, including mugwort, virgin's bower, American dogwood,  
21 oregon golden-aster, dalmation toadflax, white sweet clover, musk monkeyflower,  
22 straggly gooseberry, California grape, and California blackberry. The valley-  
23 foothill riparian habitat occur along alluvial fans, slightly dissected terraces, and  
24 floodplains; and include cottonwood, California sycamore, valley oak, white  
25 alder, boxelder, Oregon ash, wild grape, wild rose, California blackberry, blue  
26 elderberry, poison oak, buttonbush, willow, sedge, rushes, grasses, and miner's  
27 lettuce. Riparian woodlands along the montane riparian habitat support breeding,  
28 foraging, and roosting habitat for tree swallow, bushtit, White-Breasted Nuthatch,  
29 Nuttall's Woodpecker, Downy Woodpecker, Spotted Towhee, and Song Sparrow;  
30 cover for amphibians, including Western Toad and Pacific Chorus Frog; and  
31 habitat for deer mouse, raccoon, and Virginia Opossum. The riverine habitat  
32 supports amphibians and reptiles, including Western Toad, Pacific Chorus Frog,  
33 bullfrog, and Western Pond Turtle; birds, including mallard, Great Blue Heron,  
34 Osprey, and Belted Kingfisher; and mammals, including river otter, beaver, Big  
35 Brown Bat, and Yuma Myotis (bat).

36 The lands upslope of the Trinity River are characterized by mixed chaparral,  
37 montane hardwood-conifer, blue oak-foothill pine, foothill pine, and Klamath  
38 mixed conifer (NCRWQCB et al. 2009, 2013). The trees include Pacific  
39 madrone, bigleaf maple, canyon live oak, black oak, blue oak, ponderosa pine,  
40 Douglas fir, and incense cedar. Shrubs include greenleaf manzanita, buckbrush,  
41 cascara, snowberry, and poison oak. Underlying herbaceous vegetation includes  
42 ripgut brome, blue wild rye, silver bush lupine, purple sanicle, false hedge-  
43 parsley, The habitats support numerous birds, including Northern Flicker,  
44 Stellar's Jay, Hairy Woodpecker, Acorn Woodpecker, Wrentit, Bewick's Wren,  
45 California Quail, Mountain Quail, Blue Grouse, Sharp-Shinned Hawk, Red-Tailed  
46 Hawk, and Great Horned Owl; mammals including Black-Tailed Deer, Gray Fox,



1 coyote, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit, Raccoon, Virginia Opossum, Spotted Skunk,  
 2 Gray Squirrel, Allen's Chipmunk, Deer Mouse, and Pallid Bat; and reptiles and  
 3 amphibians, including California Kingsnake, Western Rattlesnake, Sharp-Tailed  
 4 Snake, Western Fence Lizard, Southern Alligator Lizard, and Ensatina.

5 Inundation of lands by Trinity Lake, Lewiston Reservoir, and Whiskeytown Lake  
 6 inundated approximately 20,500 acres of habitat for an estimated 8,500 black-  
 7 tailed deer (USFWS 1975). The CDFW established a deer herd management plan  
 8 for the Critical Winter Range for the Weaverville deer herd. A portion of the  
 9 winter range is located along the Trinity River (NCRWQCB et al. 2009).

### 10 **10.3.2.3 Lower Klamath River Watershed from Trinity River to the** 11 **Pacific Ocean**

12 The Klamath River from the confluence with the Trinity River to the Pacific  
 13 Ocean is characterized by a forested river canyon with riparian vegetation  
 14 occurring along the channel. There is a greater diversity of riparian vegetation  
 15 along the lower Klamath River below the mouth of the Trinity River, partly as a  
 16 result of a more natural hydrograph on the Klamath River than exists on the  
 17 Trinity River. Plant species composition changes as the Klamath River nears the  
 18 Pacific Ocean; because the river slows, temperatures increase, and the tides  
 19 affect salinity.

20 Grazing, timber harvest, and roads have degraded riparian conditions along the  
 21 lower Klamath River (Yurok Tribe 2000). Riparian areas are dominated by  
 22 deciduous trees including red alder. Red alder is a typical hardwood in riparian  
 23 zones, tanoak is a typical hardwood on mid to upper slopes, and Pacific madrone  
 24 occurs in small stands on drier sites (Green Diamond Resource Company 2006).

25 The broad lower Klamath River meanders within the floodplain and supports  
 26 wetland habitats similar to those that existed pre-dam along the Trinity River.  
 27 Wetland habitats along the lower Klamath River are dominated by cattails, tules,  
 28 and a variety of rushes and sedges. As the river nears the ocean, salt-tolerant  
 29 plants such as cord grass and pickleweed increase in abundance as the salinity  
 30 increases (USFWS et al. 1999). Wildlife species in the lower Klamath River  
 31 watershed are similar to those found in the Trinity River watershed.

### 32 **10.3.3 Central Valley Region**

33 The Central Valley Region extends from above Shasta Lake to the Tehachapi  
 34 Mountains, and includes the Sacramento Valley, San Joaquin Valley, Delta, and  
 35 Suisun Marsh.

36 The Central Valley Region includes portions of the Sacramento Valley and San  
 37 Joaquin Valley; including the Delta, Suisun Marsh, and the Yolo Bypass. The  
 38 areas where terrestrial biological resources could potentially be affected include  
 39 the fluctuation zones associated with reservoirs; river margins influenced by the  
 40 magnitude, duration, and frequency of flows; and agricultural lands and refuges  
 41 served by CVP and SWP water supplies.

1 The Central Valley Region is predominantly made up of lowlands and plains  
2 surrounded by foothills and tall mountains of the Coast Ranges to the west, the  
3 Cascade Range to the north, the Sierra Nevada Mountains to the east, and the  
4 Tehachapi Mountains to the south. Communities of various sizes and an  
5 extensive network of roadways are located throughout the valley.

6 Land use within the Sacramento Valley and San Joaquin Valley is dominated by  
7 agriculture and urban development. Grassland and oak woodland habitats occur  
8 in the foothills, particularly in the mid-elevation eastern margin of the Sacramento  
9 and San Joaquin valleys. Coniferous forests, mixed hardwood/coniferous forests,  
10 and oak woodlands generally represent the dominant vegetation surrounding CVP  
11 and SWP reservoirs. Riparian vegetation is generally constrained to narrow  
12 ribbons immediately adjacent to creeks and rivers. Many of the wetlands and  
13 riparian areas that once occurred in the Central Valley have been eliminated as a  
14 consequence of land use conversion to agriculture and urbanization.

### 15 **10.3.3.1 Overview of Terrestrial Communities**

16 This section describes the terrestrial communities in the Central Valley Region  
17 that could be affected directly or indirectly by operations of the CVP and SWP.  
18 These communities are broadly described for lakes/reservoirs (including open  
19 water and drawdown areas); rivers (including open water and riparian and  
20 floodplain areas); wetlands; and agricultural lands that could be affected by  
21 changes in water deliveries and ecosystem restoration activities. Other  
22 communities are described for areas that could be affected by restoration activities  
23 related to the proposed action and alternatives.

#### 24 **10.3.3.1.1 Lake/Reservoir Communities**

25 Reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water supplies provide habitat used by some  
26 terrestrial species, either within the open water area of the reservoirs or along the  
27 margins and in the drawdown areas.

##### 28 *Open Water Areas*

29 As described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies, water  
30 surface elevations in reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water supplies change  
31 seasonally and annually due to hydrologic and operational variables. The open  
32 water areas of these reservoirs are used as foraging and resting sites by waterfowl  
33 and other birds, and by semi-aquatic mammals such as river otter and beaver.  
34 Bald Eagles and Ospreys nest in forests at the margins of these reservoirs, and  
35 frequently use the reservoirs to forage for fish.

##### 36 *Margin and Drawdown Areas*

37 The CVP and SWP reservoirs in the Central Valley are generally located in  
38 canyons where the surrounding slopes are dominated by upland vegetation such  
39 as woodland, forest, and chaparral. The water surface elevations in these  
40 reservoirs fluctuate within the inundation area, as described in Chapter 5, Surface  
41 Water Resources and Water Supplies, between maximum allowed storage  
42 elevations and minimum elevations defined by the lowest elevation on the intake  
43 structure. Along the water surface edge of the inundation area, the soils are

1 usually shallow. Soil is frequently lost to wave action and periodic inundation,  
2 followed by severe desiccation when the water elevation declines, which  
3 generally results in a barren drawdown zone around the perimeter of the  
4 reservoirs. Natural regeneration of vegetation within the drawdown zone is  
5 generally prevented by the timing of seed release when reservoir levels are high in  
6 the spring, lack of sediment replenishment necessary for seedling establishment in  
7 the spring, and high temperatures combined with low soil moisture levels of  
8 exposed soils in the summer.

9 Lack of vegetative cover within the drawdown zone can limit wildlife use of this  
10 area. Rapidly rising reservoir levels can potentially result in direct mortality of  
11 some sedentary wildlife species or life stages within the drawdown zone of  
12 reservoirs. As reservoir levels drop, energy expenditures can increase for  
13 piscivorous (fish-eating) birds foraging in the reservoirs as these species must  
14 travel greater distances to forage (DWR 2004a).

#### 15 **10.3.3.1.2 Riverine Communities**

16 The rivers and streams influenced by the long-term coordinated operation of the  
17 CVP and SWP support habitats for plants and wildlife. The primary components  
18 of the riverine environment that support plants and wildlife, including open water  
19 areas and adjacent riparian and floodplain communities (including bypasses that  
20 are inundated at high flows), are described below.

##### 21 *Open Water Areas*

22 The riverine environment downstream of reservoirs is managed generally for  
23 water supply and flood control purposes. As such, the extent of open water in the  
24 rivers varies somewhat predictably, although not substantially, within and among  
25 years. In the wetter years when bypasses and floodplains are inundated, vast  
26 areas of open water become available during the flood season, generally in the  
27 late winter and early spring. Open water portions of riverine systems provide  
28 foraging habitat for fish eating birds and waterfowl. Gull, Tern, Osprey, and Bald  
29 Eagle forage over open water. Near shore and shoreline areas provide foraging  
30 habitat for birds such as waterfowl, heron, egret, shorebirds, and belted kingfisher.  
31 Many species of insectivorous birds such as swallows, swifts, and flycatchers  
32 forage over open water areas of lakes and streams. Mammals known to associate  
33 with open water and shoreline habitats include river otter, American mink,  
34 muskrat, and beaver.

##### 35 *Riparian and Floodplain Areas*

36 The riparian and floodplain communities that could be affected by CVP and SWP  
37 operations refers primarily to the vegetation and associated wildlife community  
38 supported and influenced by proximity to the waterway, including areas  
39 frequently flooded by rising water levels in the rivers (floodplains). The extent of  
40 riparian vegetation within the Central Valley has been reduced over time due to a  
41 variety of actions, including local, state, and Federal construction and operation of  
42 flood control facilities isolated historic floodplains; agricultural and land use  
43 development that occurred following development of flood control projects;  
44 regulation of flows from dams that has reduced the magnitude and frequency of

1 larger flow events, increased recession rates, and increased summertime flows;  
2 and construction and maintenance of active ship channels by the U.S. Army Corps  
3 of Engineers (USACE) (DWR 2012). Currently, levee and bank protection  
4 structures associated with the flood protection system are present along more than  
5 2,600 miles of rivers in the Central Valley, including the Delta (DWR 2009a).

6 Characteristic riparian tree species in the Central Valley include willows,  
7 cottonwoods, California sycamore, and valley oaks. Typical understory plants  
8 include elderberry, blackberries, and poison oak. On the valley floor in the deep  
9 alluvial soils, the structure and species composition of the plant communities  
10 change with distance from the river, with the denser stands of willow and  
11 cottonwood at the water's edge transitioning into stands of valley oaks on the less  
12 frequently inundated terraces. In other areas, the riparian zone does not support a  
13 canopy of large trees and instead is dominated by shrub species (sometime  
14 referred to as riparian scrub).

15 Riparian and floodplain vegetation supports wildlife habitats because of its high  
16 floristic and structural diversity, high biomass and high food abundance, and  
17 proximity to water. In addition to providing breeding, foraging, and roosting  
18 habitat for an array of animals, riparian and floodplain vegetation also provides  
19 movement corridors for some species, connecting a variety of habitats throughout  
20 the region. The Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys lack substantial areas of  
21 natural habitat that support native biodiversity or corridors between the areas of  
22 natural habitat; therefore, riparian and floodplain corridors play a critical role in  
23 connecting wildlife among the few remaining natural areas (CalTrans and  
24 DFG 2010).

25 Typical wildlife species associated with the riparian and floodplain communities  
26 include mammals such as striped skunk, raccoon, and gray fox. Riparian bird  
27 species include Red-Shouldered Hawk, Wood Duck, Great Blue Heron, Black-  
28 Crowned Night Heron, and many neotropical migratory birds, including Yellow  
29 Warbler and Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo. Amphibians and reptiles include  
30 Pacific Tree Frog, Pacific Gopher Snake, Garter Snake, and Western Pond Turtle.  
31 Special status species that associate with riparian and floodplain habitats include  
32 Bank Swallow (state listed), Western Yellow-Billed Cuckoo (Federally and state  
33 listed), and the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle (Federally listed).

34 River flows and associated hydrologic and geomorphic processes are important  
35 for maintaining riparian and floodplain ecosystems. Most aspects of a flow  
36 regime (e.g., the magnitude, frequency, timing, duration, and sediment load)  
37 affect a variety of riparian and floodplain habitat processes. Two processes that  
38 create riparian and floodplain ecosystems are disturbance and plant recruitment.  
39 The interaction of these processes across the landscape is primarily responsible  
40 for the pattern and distribution of riparian and floodplain habitat structure and  
41 condition, and for the composition and abundance of riparian-associated species.

42 High flow events and associated scour, deposition, and prolonged inundation can  
43 create exposed substrate for plant establishment or openings in existing riparian  
44 and floodplain communities. Early successional species, like cottonwoods and

1 willows that recruit into these openings, become more abundant in the landscape  
2 as vegetation grows within disturbed areas. As a result, structural and species  
3 diversity within riparian and floodplain vegetation could increase, as could overall  
4 wildlife habitat values. Without disturbance, larger trees and species less tolerant  
5 of frequent disturbance begin to dominate riparian woodlands.

6 The recruitment of cottonwoods and willows especially depends on geomorphic  
7 processes that create bare mineral soil through erosion and deposition of sediment  
8 along river channels and on floodplains, and on flow events that result in  
9 floodplain inundation. Receding flood flows that expose moist mineral soil create  
10 ideal conditions for germination of cottonwood and willow seedlings. After  
11 germination occurs, the water surface must decline gradually to enable seedling  
12 establishment. Riparian and floodplain communities also undergo natural  
13 disturbance cycles when flood flows remove streamside vegetation and  
14 redistribute sediments and seeds, thereby maintaining habitat diversity for  
15 terrestrial species that associate with riparian and floodplain corridors.

16 Both prolonged drought and prolonged inundation, however, can lead to plant  
17 death and loss of riparian plants (Kozlowski and Pallardy 2002). Riparian plants  
18 have high moisture requirements during the active growing season (spring  
19 through fall), and dry soil conditions can reduce growth and injure or kill plants.  
20 On the other hand, prolonged inundation creates anaerobic conditions that, during  
21 the active growing season, also can reduce growth, injure, or kill plants.

#### 22 **10.3.3.1.3 Wetlands, Marshes, and Wet Meadows**

23 Wetlands in the Central Valley can be characterized as perennial or seasonal with  
24 perennial wetlands further classified as tidal or non-tidal. Natural, non-tidal  
25 perennial wetlands are scattered along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers,  
26 typically in areas with slow moving backwaters. Management of wetlands,  
27 marshes, and wet meadows can include irrigation of open areas to support native  
28 herbaceous plants or cultivated species; periodic or continuous flooding to  
29 provide feeding and roosting sites for many wetland-associated birds; and either  
30 limited or no tilling or disturbance of the managed areas.

31 Managed seasonal wetlands on the west side of the Sacramento River generally  
32 occur between Willows and Dunnigan along the Colusa Basin Drain. Substantial  
33 portions of these managed wetland habitats occur at the flood bypasses, including  
34 the Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area and Fremont Weir, as a part of the Sacramento  
35 National Wildlife Refuge Complex, and around the Thermalito Afterbay  
36 (Reclamation 2010a). Both tidal and nontidal, perennial wetlands are found in the  
37 Delta and Suisun Marsh.

#### 38 *Perennial Non-tidal (Freshwater) Wetlands and Marshes*

39 In the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys and foothills, perennial non-tidal  
40 wetland habitats include freshwater emergent wetlands and wet meadows.  
41 Freshwater emergent wetlands, or marshes, are dominated by large, perennial  
42 herbaceous plants, particularly tules and cattails, which are generally restricted to  
43 shallow water. In marshes, vegetation structure and the number of species are

1 strongly influenced by disturbance, changes in water levels, and the range of  
2 elevations present at a site. Wet meadows are similar to perennial freshwater  
3 wetlands in many regards; however, they are dominated by a greater variety of  
4 perennial plants such as rushes, sedges, and grasses than are found in freshwater  
5 wetlands. Perennial freshwater wetlands also provide ecological functions related  
6 to water quality and hydrology. These areas generally qualify as jurisdictional  
7 wetlands subject to U.S. Army Corps of Engineers jurisdiction under Sections 401  
8 and 404 of the federal Clean Water Act.

9 Perennial freshwater wetlands are among the most productive wildlife habitat in  
10 California (CDFW 1988a). In the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys and  
11 foothills, these wetlands support several sensitive amphibians, reptiles, birds, and  
12 mammals. Perennial freshwater wetlands also provide food, cover, and water for  
13 numerous species of wildlife. Wetlands in the Sacramento and San Joaquin  
14 valleys and foothills are especially important to migratory birds and wintering  
15 waterfowl.

#### 16 *Seasonal Wetlands*

17 Natural seasonal wetlands occur in topographic depressions and swales that are  
18 seasonally saturated and exhibit hydric soils that support hydrophytic plant  
19 species. Natural seasonal wetlands are generally dominated by hydrophytic plants  
20 during the winter and spring months. Characteristic plant species in seasonal  
21 wetlands consist of both native and nonnative species. Native species include  
22 coyote thistle, toad rush, hyssop loosestrife, and foothill meadowfoam. Natural  
23 seasonal wetlands provide food, cover, and water for numerous common and  
24 special status species of wildlife that rely on wetlands for all or part of their life  
25 cycle. Like perennial wetlands, seasonal wetlands have been substantially  
26 reduced from their historical extent.

27 Numerous managed seasonal wetlands occur within the Sacramento Colusa,  
28 Sutter, Tisdale, and Yolo Bypasses and around the Thermalito Afterbay  
29 (Reclamation 2010a).

30 Managed marsh areas are intentionally flooded and managed during specific  
31 seasonal periods to enhance habitat values for specific wildlife species (CALFED  
32 2000). Managed marsh areas are distributed largely in the northern, central, and  
33 western portions of the Delta, as well as in Suisun Marsh and the Yolo Bypass,  
34 Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge, Cosumnes River Preserve, and  
35 Suisun Marsh.

#### 36 *Perennial Tidal Wetlands and Open Water*

37 In the Central Valley, tidal wetlands and open water are primarily found in the  
38 Delta and Suisun Marsh. Tidal wetlands are influenced by tidal movement of salt  
39 water from San Francisco Bay and inflow of freshwater from the Delta and  
40 smaller local watersheds. Tidal open water in the Delta is mainly freshwater  
41 habitat, with brackish and saline conditions occurring in the western Delta at  
42 times of high tides and low flows into the western Delta. It is freshwater in the  
43 Yolo Bypass and mainly brackish and saline in Suisun Marsh. Tidal mudflats  
44 occur as mostly unvegetated sediment deposits in the intertidal zone between the

1 tidal wetland communities at its upper edge and the tidal perennial aquatic  
 2 community at its lower edge. Tidal brackish wetlands exist from near Collinsville  
 3 westward to the Carquinez Strait. Suisun Marsh is the largest contiguous brackish  
 4 water marsh remaining on the North America west coast (Reclamation et al.  
 5 2011). Tidal freshwater marshes occur at the shallow, slow-moving or stagnant  
 6 edges of freshwater waterways in the intertidal zone and are subject to frequent  
 7 long duration flooding.

8 Salinity levels vary throughout the year and are influenced largely by inflow from  
 9 the Delta (Reclamation et al. 2011). Tidal water in the Delta is mainly freshwater,  
 10 with brackish and saline conditions occurring in the western Delta at times of high  
 11 tides and low flows into the western Delta. Tidal marshes associated with the  
 12 lower Yolo Bypass are freshwater, whereas they are mainly brackish and saline in  
 13 Suisun Marsh where tidal brackish marshes exist from near Collinsville westward  
 14 to the Carquinez Strait.

#### 15 **10.3.3.1.4 Agricultural Lands**

16 Agricultural land uses and farming practices in the Central Valley provide  
 17 habitats and resources for a variety of terrestrial species, including several Federal  
 18 and state special status species. Agricultural lands are primarily found within the  
 19 Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys on the rich alluvial soils of the riverine  
 20 floodplains. The distribution of seasonal crops varies annually and seasonally,  
 21 depending on market forces and crop-rotation patterns. Some of the principal  
 22 crop types and their value to wildlife are described below.

23 Crops in the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys include grain and seed crops  
 24 (e.g., barley and wheat), forage crops (e.g., hay and alfalfa), row crops  
 25 (e.g., tomatoes, lettuce, sugar beets), cotton, orchards (e.g., almonds, walnuts,  
 26 peaches, plums), and vineyards. There are also areas of irrigated pastureland  
 27 throughout the Sacramento and San Joaquin valleys.

28 Grain and seed crops include wheat, barley, corn, and other annual grasses that  
 29 are grown in dense stands. Most of the value for wildlife occurs during the early  
 30 growing period because the later dense growth makes it difficult for wildlife to  
 31 move through these fields. Following harvesting, waste grain is available to  
 32 waterfowl and other birds, such as sandhill crane. Row crop and silage fields  
 33 generally provide lesser value to wildlife than native cover types, but can support  
 34 abundant populations of small mammals, such as California vole and western  
 35 harvest mouse. These species attract predators such as snakes and raptors. Other  
 36 reptile and bird species prey on the abundant insect populations found in row crop  
 37 and silage fields.

38 Species generally associated with field and row crops include the Red-Winged  
 39 Blackbird, Western Meadowlark, California Vole, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit,  
 40 Western Harvest Mouse, Botta's Pocket Gopher, Raccoon, Striped Skunk, and  
 41 Virginia Opossum. Croplands also provide foraging habitat for many raptors  
 42 including Swainson's Hawk, Northern Harrier, Red-Tailed Hawk, and  
 43 White-Tailed Kite.

1 Alfalfa is irrigated and intensively mowed such that vegetation structure varies  
2 with the growing, harvesting, and fallowing cycle. As a result, alfalfa supports  
3 some of the highest biodiversity amongst crops in California, second only to rice  
4 in agricultural habitat biodiversity (Hartman and Kyle 2010), with many species  
5 using alfalfa to forage, nest, rest, and hide. A wide range of species, including  
6 songbirds, swallows, bats, and many types of waterfowl and migratory birds feed  
7 on insects in alfalfa fields. Mammals such as gophers, mice, and rabbits feed  
8 directly on alfalfa. Larger herbivorous mammals, such as deer, antelope, and elk,  
9 frequent alfalfa fields, especially during dry or cold seasons. Hawks, eagles,  
10 migratory birds, coyotes, and mountain lions feed on the birds and rodents that  
11 feed on the alfalfa. Scavengers such as coyotes and vultures feed on carrion  
12 (Putnam et al. 2001).

13 Rice cultivation is also widespread in the Sacramento Valley. Rice fields provide  
14 surrogate wetland habitats and many wetland wildlife species use rice fields,  
15 especially waterfowl and shorebirds, and wading birds that forage on aquatic  
16 invertebrates and vertebrates such as crayfish and small fish. Foraging  
17 opportunities are provided by fish that become entrained in the irrigation canals  
18 that supply water to the rice fields and the crayfish that are found along canal  
19 banks and berms of the rice fields. Other wildlife species that use flooded rice  
20 fields include Giant Garter Snake and bullfrog. Ring-necked pheasant and  
21 Sandhill Cranes among others forage on post-harvest waste grain. The practice of  
22 flooding rice fields in winter to allow for decomposition of rice stubble, as  
23 opposed to burning, enhances the wildlife value of rice fields. Winter flooding  
24 provides loafing and foraging opportunities for a variety of birds, including  
25 waterfowl, cranes, herons, and egrets.

26 Orchards and vineyards, typically dominated by a single tree species, are grown in  
27 fertile areas that once supported diverse and productive habitats for wildlife.  
28 Orchards and vineyards generally provide relatively low wildlife value; however,  
29 some species of birds and mammals have adapted to orchard and vineyard  
30 habitats. Many have become "agricultural pests" which result in crop losses.  
31 Deer and rabbits browse on the trees while other wildlife such as squirrels and  
32 numerous birds feed on fruit or nuts. Cover crops grown under the trees provide a  
33 food source for wildlife that feed on seeds or herbaceous vegetation. Wildlife  
34 species reported to feed on nuts (almonds and walnuts) include Northern Flicker,  
35 Western Scrub-Jay, American Crow, Plain Titmouse, Brewer's Blackbird, House  
36 Finch, Gray Squirrel and California Ground Squirrel (DFG 1999a, 1999b, 1999c).  
37 Other fruit crops such as apples, cherries, figs, pears and prunes are also eaten by  
38 these same species and others such as Band-Tailed Pigeon, Yellow-Billed  
39 Magpie, Western Bluebird, American Robin, Varied Thrush, Northern  
40 Mockingbird, Cedar Waxwing, Yellow-Rumped Warbler, Black-Headed  
41 Grosbeak, Bullock's Oriole, Desert Cottontail, Gray Squirrel, coyote, black bear,  
42 raccoon, and Mule Deer. Evergreen orchards (citrus, olives, avocado) do not  
43 provide the food for wildlife that many of the deciduous fruit and nut trees  
44 provide. Mourning Dove and California Quail use orchard habitats for cover and  
45 nesting sites. Carnivores such as fox, bobcat, and coyote frequently use avocado  
46 orchards (Nogeire et al. 2013). Irrigated pastures are managed grasslands with a



1 low structure of native herbaceous plants, cultivated species, or a mixture of both.  
2 Pastures are not typically tilled or disturbed frequently and provide breeding  
3 opportunities for ground-nesting birds, including waterfowl, Ring-Necked  
4 Pheasant, and Sandhill Crane if adequate residual vegetation is present. Flood  
5 irrigation of pastures provides feeding and roosting sites for many wetland-  
6 associated birds, including shorebirds, wading birds, gulls, waterfowl, and raptors.  
7 Large mammals such as deer, and elk graze in pastures when there is adequate  
8 escape cover adjacent to the open pasture. Burrowing species using irrigated  
9 pastures include California Ground Squirrel, Pocket Gophers, and Burrowing  
10 Owls. Pastures provide foraging habitat for grassland-foraging wildlife, such as  
11 coyote and fox, and raptors like the Northern Harrier, American Kestrel, and  
12 Red-Tailed Hawk.

13 In addition to the crop lands, the network of irrigation canals, drains, and  
14 reservoirs that convey water in the agricultural areas provide habitat for many  
15 species of wildlife, including species with special status. These conveyance  
16 features, particularly those that contain water throughout the growing season,  
17 typically support some of the plants and animals characteristic of riverine systems  
18 and riparian areas. While water flows through many of these facilities  
19 intermittently, these features can provide habitat for species, such as Giant Garter  
20 Snake. Giant Garter Snake is frequently associated with the water conveyance  
21 systems that support rice cultivation.

#### 22 **10.3.3.1.5 Invasive Species**

23 Invasive plants and wildlife are species that are not native to the region, persist  
24 without human assistance, and have serious impacts on the environment. They  
25 are termed “invasive” because they displace native species and alter habitat  
26 functions and values. Many invasive plant species are considered “noxious  
27 weeds” by governmental agencies such as the U.S. Department of Agriculture and  
28 California Department of Food and Agriculture. Numerous invasive plants have  
29 been introduced into the Study Area, and many have become established. The  
30 California Invasive Plant Council maintains a list of species that have been  
31 designated as invasive in California (CalIPC 2006).

32 According to the California Department of Fish and Wildlife’s aquatic invasive  
33 species management plan (DFG 2008), invasive species threaten the diversity or  
34 abundance of native species through competition for resources, predation,  
35 parasitism, hybridization with native populations, introduction of pathogens, or  
36 physical or chemical alteration of the invaded habitat. Unlike the native riparian  
37 flora, many invasive riparian species do not provide the food, shelter, and other  
38 habitat components on which many native fish and wildlife species depend. In  
39 addition to the ability to degrade wildlife habitat, many of these invasive trees and  
40 shrubs have the potential to harm human health and the economy by adversely  
41 affecting the ecosystem, flood protection systems, water delivery, recreation, and  
42 agriculture.

1 Changes in CVP and SWP operations would affect the wetted edges at CVP and  
2 SWP reservoirs, reservoirs that store CVP and SWP water supplies, and along the  
3 rivers downstream of the CVP and SWP reservoirs. Therefore, only those  
4 invasive plant species that are associated with the margins at these waterways  
5 would be likely to cause adverse effects on terrestrial biological resources.  
6 Examples of these species include tree-of-heaven, giant reed, purple loosestrife,  
7 perennial pepperweed, tamarisk, and red sesbania. In addition to the potential  
8 effects caused by changed water operations, invasive species have the potential to  
9 be introduced as part of construction of habitat restoration, or to colonize areas  
10 disturbed by restoration construction activities (e.g., yellow star thistle, perennial  
11 pepperweed, Spanish broom, Himalaya blackberry).

### 12 **10.3.3.2 Sacramento Valley**

13 The Sacramento Valley portion of the Central Valley Region considered in this  
14 EIS includes Shasta Lake, Keswick Reservoir, and the Sacramento River from  
15 Keswick Reservoir to the Delta. The Sacramento Valley also includes the lower  
16 Yuba River and the middle and lower portions of the Feather River and American  
17 River watersheds that are influenced by CVP and SWP operations, respectively.

18 Historically, the Sacramento Valley contained a mosaic of riverine, wetland, and  
19 riparian communities with terrestrial habitats consisting of perennial grassland  
20 and oak woodlands. With development of the Sacramento Valley, native habitats  
21 were converted to cultivated fields, pastures, residences, water impoundments,  
22 and flood-control structures. As a result, native habitats generally are restricted in  
23 their distribution and size and are highly fragmented.

24 A listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur  
25 in portions of the Study Area affected by the long-term coordinated operation of  
26 the CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

27 The USFWS has approved a habitat conservation plan for the Natomas  
28 Basin/Metropolitan Air Park near Sacramento. Six other habitat conservation  
29 plans are being prepared in the Sacramento Valley, including programs for Butte  
30 County, Yuba-Sutter counties, Placer County, Yolo County, South Sacramento  
31 County, and Solano County.

#### 32 **10.3.3.2.1 Shasta Lake and Keswick Reservoir**

33 The area in which Shasta Lake is situated is characterized by a variety of  
34 vegetation and wildlife habitats typical of transitional mixed woodland and low-  
35 elevation forest habitats (Reclamation 2013a). The majority of vegetation  
36 communities and wildlife habitats around Shasta Lake are tree-dominated, and  
37 include upland forests with associated mixed chaparral, riparian forests, and  
38 woodlands. Other wildlife habitats around the lake include annual grasslands and  
39 barren areas. Montane riparian, the dominant riparian vegetation type at and near  
40 Shasta Lake, also occurs as thin stringers and patches along most stream corridors  
41 tributary to Shasta Lake.

1 Wildlife species around Shasta Lake are those typically associated with  
2 tree-dominated habitats and chaparral (Reclamation 2013a). Mammals in these  
3 habitats include deer, rabbits, chipmunks, and squirrels. Mature trees provide  
4 nesting habitat for raptors such as the bald eagle and osprey. Hollow trees and  
5 logs provide denning sites for mammals such as the coyote and skunks, and  
6 cavities in mature trees are used by cavity-dwelling species such as the Acorn  
7 Woodpecker and California Myotis. Many amphibians and reptiles, including  
8 *Ensatina*, Western Skink, and Western Fence Lizard, inhabit the detrital layer of  
9 moist areas. Snakes, including the Western Rattlesnake and Sharp-Tailed Snake,  
10 also are found in these habitats.

11 Recently, 38 pairs of mating Bald Eagles were observed at Shasta Lake  
12 (USFS 2012).

13 Terrestrial resources around Keswick Reservoir are similar to those found at  
14 lower elevations around Shasta Lake. Otters, Gray Fox, coyote, bobcat, Osprey,  
15 and turtles occur along the Keswick Reservoir reach of the Sacramento River  
16 (BLM 2006). Historically, vegetation in this area of the watershed was harvested  
17 to provide fuel for mining smelters. Chaparral habitat, dominated by manzanita  
18 with intermittent oak, pine, and fir trees occur on the foothills above the reservoir.  
19 As described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies, water  
20 elevations in Keswick Reservoir are relatively stable throughout the year.

#### 21 **10.3.3.2.2 Whiskeytown Lake and Clear Creek**

22 Riparian communities within the Whiskeytown Unit of the Whiskeytown-Shasta-  
23 Trinity National Recreation Area, which includes Whiskeytown Reservoir,  
24 include the following species: grey pine, willow, white alder, dogwoods, Oregon  
25 ash, bigleaf maple, and Fremont and black cottonwood. Wild grape is also very  
26 common; other riparian shrubs include snowberry, California blackberry, toyon,  
27 buckeye, and button willow. Flowering herbaceous plants, cattails, sedges,  
28 rushes, and ferns make up the riparian understory. The riparian habitats are  
29 generally vigorous and well-vegetated, especially in the most favorable locations,  
30 such as canyons and stream bottoms (NPS 1999).

31 Riparian vegetation is limited to a narrow band along the channel margins in the  
32 confined canyon reaches of Clear Creek between Whiskeytown Dam and Clear  
33 Creek Bridge, where the alluvial section of the creek begins. Downstream of  
34 Clear Creek Bridge, where the valley widens, the channel becomes predominately  
35 alluvial, and floodplains and terraces allow riparian vegetation to be more  
36 extensive (CBDA 2004).

37 Fresh emergent wetlands occur throughout the entire reach of lower Clear Creek  
38 from Whiskeytown Dam to the Sacramento River. These wetlands are more  
39 prominent in the reach below Clear Creek Road Bridge where soils are deeper and  
40 the valley becomes wider and is subject to periodic flooding. Valley-foothill  
41 riparian is found primarily in the lower reaches of lower Clear Creek from Clear  
42 Creek Road Bridge to the Sacramento River. In addition, smaller linear patches  
43 occur scattered throughout the system up to Whiskeytown Dam (BLM and  
44 NPS 2008).

1 Due to the diversity of habitats present within the watershed, the areas adjacent to  
2 Whiskeytown Lake and lower Clear Creek support a diverse assemblage of  
3 wildlife species. More than 200 vertebrate species are known to occur within the  
4 Whiskeytown Unit of the Whiskeytown-Shasta-Trinity National Recreation Area,  
5 including at least 35 mammal species, 150 bird species, and 25 reptile and  
6 amphibian species (NPS 2014).

7 **10.3.3.2.3 Sacramento River: Keswick Reservoir to the Delta**

8 Release of flows from Shasta Dam changed the pre-dam flow patterns from high  
9 flows in the mid-spring during snow melt to high flows in the summer months, as  
10 described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies.

11 Consequently, in most years, the current flow regime precludes or substantially  
12 reduces opportunities for establishment of cottonwoods and willows; and the  
13 structure and composition of riparian vegetation has undergone change  
14 (Roberts et al. 2002). The extent of early-successional riparian communities  
15 (e.g., cottonwood forest) has been decreasing, while the extent of mid-  
16 successional communities (e.g., mixed riparian forest) has been increasing.  
17 Generally, these effects diminish with distance downstream because of the  
18 influence of inflows from tributaries, diversions, and flood bypasses  
19 (Reclamation 2013a).

20 Much of the Sacramento River from Shasta Dam to Redding is deeply entrenched  
21 in bedrock, which precludes development of extensive areas of riparian vegetation  
22 (Reclamation 2013a). The upper banks along these steep-sided, bedrock-  
23 constrained segments of the upper Sacramento River are characterized primarily  
24 by upland communities, including woodlands and chaparral. Outside the river  
25 corridor, other vegetation communities along the upper Sacramento River include  
26 riparian scrub, annual grassland, and agricultural lands.

27 The river corridor between Redding and Red Bluff once supported extensive areas  
28 of riparian vegetation (Reclamation 2013a). Agricultural and residential  
29 development has permanently removed much of the native and natural habitat.  
30 Riparian vegetation now occupies only a small portion of floodplains. Willow  
31 and blackberry scrub and cottonwood- and willow-dominated riparian  
32 communities are still present along active channels and on the lower flood  
33 terraces, whereas valley oak-dominated communities occur on higher flood  
34 terraces. Although riparian woodlands along the upper Sacramento River  
35 typically occur in narrow or discontinuous patches, they provide value for wildlife  
36 and support both common and special status species of birds, mammals, reptiles,  
37 amphibians, and invertebrates.

38 Portions of the adjacent land along the Sacramento River from Red Bluff to  
39 Hamilton City include substantial remnants of the pre-European Sacramento  
40 Valley historical riparian forest (Reclamation 2013a). Along the Sacramento  
41 River below Red Bluff, riparian vegetation is characterized by narrow linear  
42 stands of trees and shrubs, in single- to multiple-story canopies. These patches of  
43 riparian vegetation may be on or at the toe of levees. Riparian communities in  
44 this region include woodlands and riparian scrub.

1 From Red Bluff to Colusa, the Sacramento River contains point bars, islands, high  
2 and low terraces, instream woody cover, and early-successional riparian plant  
3 growth, reflecting river meander and erosional processes (Reclamation 2013a).  
4 Major physiographic features include floodplains, basins, terraces, active and  
5 remnant channels, and oxbow sloughs. These features sustain a diverse riparian  
6 community and support a wide range of wildlife species including raptors,  
7 waterfowl, and migratory and resident avian species, plus a variety of mammals,  
8 amphibians, and reptiles that inhabit both aquatic and upland habitats.

9 Downstream of Colusa, the Sacramento River channel changes from a dynamic  
10 and active meandering one to a confined, narrow channel (Reclamation 2013a).  
11 Surrounding agricultural lands encroach directly adjacent to the levees, which  
12 have cut the river off from most of its riparian corridor, especially on the eastern  
13 side of the river. Most of the levees in this reach are lined with riprap, allowing  
14 the river no erodible substrate and limiting the extent of riparian vegetation and  
15 riparian wildlife habitat.

#### 16 **10.3.3.2.4 Feather River**

17 Antelope Lake, Lake Davis, and Frenchman Lake located in the Upper Feather  
18 River; Lake Oroville and Thermalito Forebay and Afterbay; and the lower Feather  
19 River are located within areas in the Feather River watershed that could be  
20 affected by changes in CVP and/or SWP operations. Downstream of Lake  
21 Oroville, the basin extends south and includes the drainage of the Yuba and  
22 Bear Rivers.

#### 23 *Upper Feather River Lakes*

24 The Upper Feather River Lakes, including Antelope Lake, Lake Davis, and  
25 Frenchman Lake, are SWP facilities on the upper Feather River upstream of Lake  
26 Oroville. These lakes are part of the Plumas National Forest and provide habitat  
27 for raptor nesting and wintering areas, waterfowl nesting area, and deer  
28 movement area (DWR 2013a; Plumas County 2012). Deer movement and  
29 fawning areas also occur around Lake Davis.

#### 30 *Lake Oroville and Thermalito Complex*

31 Lake Oroville is situated in the foothills on the western slope of the Sierra Nevada  
32 Mountains, about a mile downstream of the confluence of its major tributaries.  
33 Below the dam, a portion of the river flow is diverted at the Thermalito Diversion  
34 Dam and routed to the Thermalito Forebay, which is an offstream reservoir with a  
35 surface area up to 630 acres (DWR 2007a, 2007b). Downstream of the forebay,  
36 water is stored in Thermalito Afterbay (up to 4,300 surface acres), which among  
37 other purposes serves as a warming basin for agricultural water.

38 The majority of vegetation around Lake Oroville consists of a variety of native  
39 vegetation associations, including mixed oak woodlands, foothill pine/mixed oak  
40 woodlands, and oak/pine woodlands with a mosaic of chaparral (DWR 2004a,  
41 2007a). Open areas within the woodlands consist of annual grassland species.  
42 Native riparian habitats are restricted to narrow strips along tributaries, consisting  
43 mostly of alder, willow, and occasional cottonwood and sycamore. There is

1 minimum wetland vegetation around Lake Oroville, and most is associated with  
2 seeps and springs that are a natural part of the landscape above the high water  
3 line. Emergent wetlands are generally absent within the drawdown zone of Lake  
4 Oroville.

5 Lack of vegetative cover within the drawdown zone severely limits wildlife use of  
6 this area. Thirty-six wildlife species were detected using habitats within the  
7 drawdown zone on at least one occasion during field surveys (DWR 2004a).  
8 Several of these species may use habitats within the drawdown zone for  
9 reproduction including Belted Kingfisher, Canada Goose, Canyon Wren,  
10 American Dipper, killdeer, mallard, Common Merganser, and Northern  
11 Rough-Winged Swallow.

12 Riparian vegetation occurs around the north shore of Thermalito Forebay as a thin  
13 strip of mixed riparian species (mostly willows), with an understory of emergent  
14 wetland vegetation. Cottonwoods and willows occur in scattered areas around the  
15 high water surface elevation of Thermalito Afterbay shoreline (FERC 2007).  
16 Emergent wetlands ranging from thin strips to more extensive areas are found  
17 around Thermalito Forebay and Thermalito Afterbay. Waterfowl brood ponds  
18 constructed in inlets of Thermalito Afterbay support emergent vegetation along  
19 much of their shores.

20 Species observed within the wetland margin of Thermalito Afterbay include Barn  
21 Swallow, Black Phoebe, White-Tailed Kite, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit,  
22 Brown-Headed Cowbird, bullfrog, Common Garter Snake, Common  
23 Yellowthroat, Gopher Snake, Northern Harrier, Pacific tree Frog, raccoon,  
24 red-Winged Blackbird, Ring-Necked Pheasant, Short-Eared Owl, Striped Skunk,  
25 Tree Swallow, Virginia Opossum, and Violet-Green Swallow (DWR 2004a).

26 In contrast to the drawdown area around the margin of Lake Oroville, the  
27 drawdown zone of Thermalito Afterbay supports a richer wildlife community and  
28 greater habitat diversity. Survey data collected as part of the relicensing process  
29 indicate that exposed mudflats seasonally provide habitat for a variety of  
30 migratory waterbirds including Black-Necked Stilt, Black Tern, California Gull,  
31 Caspian Tern, Forster's Tern, Greater Yellowlegs, Least Sandpiper, Long-Billed  
32 Dowitcher, Ring-Billed Gull, Semipalmated Sandpiper, Spotted Sandpiper, and  
33 White-Faced Ibis. Wading birds and other waterfowl have been observed on the  
34 mudflats as well as shallow flooded areas (DWR 2004a). Potentially suitable  
35 Giant Garter Snake habitat is present along portions of the afterbay and forebay  
36 margins. The existing waterfowl brood ponds provide a refuge for Giant Garter  
37 Snakes during periods of afterbay drawdown.

38 Several invasive plant species are found around Lake Oroville and downstream in  
39 and around the Thermalito Complex. Invasive species associated with riparian  
40 and wetland areas include purple loosestrife, giant reed, tree-of-heaven, and red  
41 sesbania. About 85 of the roughly 900 acres of wetlands and riparian areas along  
42 the margin of Thermalito Afterbay contain varying densities of purple loosestrife  
43 (DWR 2007a). Purple loosestrife adversely affects native vegetation.

1 *Feather River from Oroville Complex to the Sacramento River*

2 The Feather River from Oroville Dam to the confluence with the Sacramento  
3 River supports stands of riparian vegetation, which have been restricted over time  
4 by flood control levees and land clearing for agriculture and urbanization. As a  
5 consequence, the vegetation generally occurs in a narrow zone along much of the  
6 river in this reach. However, remnant riparian forest exist in areas where wide  
7 meander bends persist, such as at Abbott Lake and O'Connor Lake near the Lake  
8 of the Woods State Recreation Area (DWR 2004b). This area contains mixed  
9 riparian forests, including Fremont cottonwood, willow, boxelder, alder, and  
10 Oregon ash. The riparian strip along the river is bordered mostly by agricultural  
11 fields. Downstream of Yuba City near the confluence with the Sacramento River,  
12 valley oak and cottonwood riparian stands becomes more common.

13 As described above for the Sacramento River, riparian areas provide value for  
14 wildlife and support a wide range of species of birds, mammals, reptiles,  
15 amphibians, and invertebrates.

16 **10.3.3.2.5 Yuba River**

17 Portions of the Yuba River watershed along the North Yuba River between New  
18 Bullards Bar Reservoir and Englebright Lake and along the Lower Yuba River  
19 between Englebright Lake and the Feather River could be affected by operation of  
20 the Lower Yuba River Water Accord (DWR et al. 2007b).

21 New Bullards Bar Dam and Reservoir are owned and operated by the Yuba  
22 County Water Agency to provide flood control, water storage, and hydroelectric  
23 generation. The Harry L. Englebright Dam and Reservoir were constructed by the  
24 California Debris Commission downstream of New Bullards Bar Reservoir to trap  
25 and store sediment from historical hydraulic mining sites in the upper watershed,  
26 and to provide recreation and hydroelectric generation opportunities (USACE  
27 2013). Following decommissioning of the California Debris Commission in  
28 1986, administration of Englebright Dam and Reservoir (Lake) was assumed by  
29 the USACE. Portions of the watershed along the Middle Yuba River between  
30 New Bullards Bar Reservoir and Englebright Reservoir are within the Plumas and  
31 Tahoe national forests.

32 Vegetation communities adjacent to New Bullards Bar Reservoir include oak  
33 woodlands, mixed conifer, and montane hardwood habitats which include live  
34 oak, blue oak, foothill pine, California wild rose, and lupine (DWR et al. 2007).  
35 The shoreline is generally barren. Bald Eagles have been observed near New  
36 Bullards Bar Reservoir; and California Red-legged Frogs have been reported in a  
37 tributary to the reservoir, Oregon Creek.

38 Vegetation communities at Englebright Reservoir are generally blue oak  
39 woodland and montane chaparral with small areas of mixed chaparral and live oak  
40 woodland (Yuba County 2011).

41 Vegetation along the lower Yuba River downstream of Englebright Dam is  
42 characterized by a number of vegetation types including grasslands, woodlands,  
43 and chaparral (USACE 2014). Within the Narrows, a steep gorge in the

1 Yuba River immediately below Englebright Dam, there is little vegetation; small,  
2 isolated clumps of willow, mulefat, and other riparian species are widely scattered  
3 along the mostly barren, rocky banks. Downstream of the Narrows, there are  
4 extensive piles of cobble and gravel left from past gold and gravel mining  
5 operations. Here there are narrow strips of riparian vegetation consisting of  
6 Fremont cottonwood, willow, boxelder, and elderberry shrub. As described above  
7 for the Sacramento River, these communities support a wide range of similar  
8 wildlife species including raptors, waterfowl, and migratory and resident avian  
9 species, plus a variety of mammals, amphibians, and reptiles that inhabit both  
10 aquatic and upland habitats.

#### 11 **10.3.3.2.6 Bear River**

12 The Bear River flows into the Feather River downstream of the confluence with  
13 the Yuba River. As described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water  
14 Supplies, the Bear River includes Nevada Irrigation District's Rollins and Combie  
15 reservoirs along the upper and middle reaches of the Bear River, and South Sutter  
16 Water District's Camp Far West Reservoir along the lower reach of the Bear  
17 River (FERC 2013; NID 2005).

18 Vegetation communities near the reservoirs and along the Bear River from  
19 Rollins Reservoir to the confluence with the Feather River occur in bands based  
20 on elevations (FERC 2013; NID 2005). Gray pine, ponderosa pine, hardwoods,  
21 and chaparral shrubs occur at the higher elevations with black cottonwood, white  
22 alder, and valley oak in the riparian zones. Incense cedar, Douglas fir, white fir,  
23 madrone, sugar pine, Brewer's oak, whiteleaf manzanita, greenleaf manzanita,  
24 wedgeleaf ceanothus, deerbrush, and poison oak at mid-elevations with white  
25 alders, maple, and willow along the riparian areas.

#### 26 **10.3.3.2.7 American River**

27 The American River watershed encompasses approximately 2,100 square miles  
28 (Reclamation et al. 2006). The North, Middle, and South forks of the American  
29 River converge upstream of Folsom Lake. Lake Natoma is located downstream  
30 of Folsom Lake. Water continues to flow between Nimbus Dam and the  
31 confluence with the Sacramento River, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water  
32 Resources and Water Supplies.

#### 33 *Folsom Lake and Lake Natoma*

34 Folsom Lake, formed by Folsom Dam, has a surface area of about 11,500 acres,  
35 and 75 miles of shoreline (Reclamation 2005a). Lake Natoma, which serves as an  
36 afterbay downstream of Folsom Dam, has about 540 acres of surface area.

37 Vegetation communities associated with Folsom Lake include oak woodland and  
38 annual grassland. The oak woodland habitat is located on the upland banks and  
39 slopes of the reservoir, and is dominated by live oak, blue oak, and foothill pine  
40 with several species of understory shrubs and forbs. Annual grasslands occur  
41 around the reservoir, primarily at the southern end.



1 The oak woodlands and annual grasslands around the reservoir support a variety  
2 of birds. A number of raptors, including red-tailed hawk, Cooper's hawk, great  
3 horned owl, and long-eared owl use oak woodlands for nesting, foraging, and  
4 roosting. Mammal species likely to occur in woodland habitats include deer,  
5 coyote, bobcat, fox, Virginia Opossum, raccoon, rabbits, squirrels, and a variety  
6 of rodents. Amphibians and reptiles that may be found in oak woodlands include  
7 California Newt, Pacific Tree Frog, Western Fence Lizard, Gopher Snake,  
8 Common Kingsnake, and Western Rattlesnake. The adjacent grasslands are used  
9 by various bird species for foraging, including White-Crowned Sparrow, Lesser  
10 Goldfinch, Western Meadowlark, and several raptor species. Migratory  
11 waterfowl also are known to feed and rest in the grasslands associated with the  
12 north fork of Folsom Reservoir.

13 Seasonal wetland communities occur both inside and outside of the area  
14 influenced by the reservoir. These communities are exposed to wetland  
15 hydrology for a limited period of time and may not meet all criteria for wetlands.  
16 Within the reservoir drawdown zone, this seasonal vegetation is frequently  
17 inundated and may receive overland flow from upland areas. Outside of the  
18 drawdown zone, seasonally wet areas receive water from seeps, drainages, and  
19 precipitation (Reclamation et al. 2006). Small areas of permanent freshwater  
20 marsh are found at the toe of the Mormon Island Auxiliary Dam. Water birds and  
21 other wildlife depend on the freshwater marshes in these areas for foraging and/or  
22 rearing habitat. These species include Pacific Tree Frog, Western Toad, Common  
23 Garter Snake, beaver, raccoon, and muskrat.

24 Folsom Lake is surrounded by a relatively barren drawdown zone due to annual  
25 fluctuations in water elevations. The majority of this zone is devoid of  
26 vegetation, although scattered stands of woody vegetation occur in some areas of  
27 the drawdown zone (Reclamation et al. 2006). The only contiguous riparian  
28 vegetation occurs along Sweetwater Creek at the southern end of the reservoir.

29 Between Folsom Dam and Lake Natoma, the river channel is narrower and  
30 flanked by steep, rocky cliffs (Reclamation 2005a). The land along the river  
31 includes wooded canyon areas, sheer bluffs, and dredge tailings from the gold  
32 mining era. Within Lake Natoma, the open water is bordered by narrow bands of  
33 riparian woodland. Patches of permanent freshwater marsh exist in shallow coves  
34 that are inundated when water rises in Lake Natoma (Reclamation 2005a).

35 *Lower American River between Lake Natoma and Confluence with the*  
36 *Sacramento River*

37 Downstream of Lake Natoma, the lower American River flows to the confluence  
38 with the Sacramento River. In the upper reaches of the lower American River, the  
39 river channel is controlled by natural bluffs and terraces. Levees have been  
40 constructed along the northern and southern banks for approximately 13 miles  
41 upstream of the confluence with the Sacramento River (Reclamation et al. 2006).

42 Most of the lower American River is encompassed by the American River  
43 Parkway, which preserves what remains of the historic riparian zone  
44 (Reclamation et al. 2006). Vegetation communities along the lower

1 American River downstream of Nimbus Dam include freshwater emergent  
2 wetland, riparian forest and scrub. Oak woodland and annual grassland are  
3 present in the upper, drier areas farther away from the river. The current  
4 distribution and structure of riparian communities along the river reflects the  
5 human-induced changes caused by activities such as gravel extraction, dam  
6 construction and operations, and levee construction and maintenance, as well as  
7 by both historical and ongoing streamflow and sediment regimes, and  
8 channel dynamics.

9 In general, willow and alder tend to occupy areas within the active channel of the  
10 river that are repeatedly disturbed by river flows, with cottonwood-willow  
11 thickets occupying the narrow belts along the active river channel (Reclamation  
12 et al. 2006). Typical species in these thickets include Fremont cottonwood,  
13 willow, poison oak, wild grape, blackberry, northern California black walnut, and  
14 white alder.

15 Cottonwood forest is found on the steep, moist banks along much of the river  
16 corridor (Reclamation et al. 2006). Valley oak woodlands occur on upper terraces  
17 where fine sediment and adequate soil moisture provide a long growing season.  
18 Live oak woodland occurs on the more arid and gravelly terraces that are isolated  
19 from the fluvial dynamics and moisture of the river. Annual grassland occurs in  
20 areas that have been disturbed by human activity and can be found in many areas  
21 within the river corridor.

22 The cottonwood-dominated riparian forest and areas associated with backwater  
23 and off-river ponds are highest in wildlife diversity and species richness relative  
24 to other river corridor habitats (Reclamation et al. 2006). More than 220 species  
25 of birds have been recorded along the lower American River and more than  
26 60 species are known to nest in the riparian habitats. Typical species that can be  
27 found along the river include Great Blue Heron, Mallard, Red-Tailed Hawk,  
28 American Kestrel, California Quail, Killdeer, Belted Kingfisher, Western  
29 Scrub-Jay, Swallows, and American Robin. Additionally, more than 30 species  
30 of mammals reside along the river, including skunk, rabbit, raccoon, squirrel,  
31 vole, muskrat, deer, fox, and coyote. Reptiles and amphibians that occupy  
32 riparian habitats along the river include Western Toad, Pacific Tree Frog,  
33 bullfrog, Western Pond Turtle, Western Fence Lizard, Common Garter Snake,  
34 and Gopher Snake (Reclamation 2005a).

35 Backwater areas and off-river ponds are located throughout the length of the river,  
36 but occur predominantly at the Sacramento Bar, Arden Bar, Rossmoor Bar, and  
37 between Watt Avenue and Howe Avenue (Reclamation 2005a; Reclamation et al.  
38 2006). Plant species that dominate these backwater areas include various species  
39 of willow, sedge, cattail, bulrush, and rush. Riparian vegetation around these  
40 ponded areas is composed of mixed-age willow, alder, and cottonwood. These  
41 backwater ponds may be connected to the river by surface water during high  
42 winter flood flows and by groundwater during other times of the year. Wildlife  
43 species typical of these areas include: Pied-Billed Grebe, American Bittern, Green  
44 Heron, Common Merganser, White-Tailed Kite, Wood Duck, Yellow Warbler,

1 Warbling Vireo, Dusky-Footed Woodrat, Western Gray Squirrel, Pacific Tree  
2 Frog, and Western Toad.

3 Several non-native weed populations are rapidly expanding in the riparian  
4 vegetation of the lower American River (County of Sacramento 2008). In  
5 particular, red sesbania is expanding along shorelines of streams and ponds, along  
6 with other invasive species such as Chinese tallowtree, giant reed, pampasgrass,  
7 Spanish broom, Himalayan blackberry, and tamarisk, which can rapidly colonize  
8 exposed bar surfaces and stream banks.

### 9 **10.3.3.2.8 Agricultural Lands in the Sacramento Valley**

10 The Study Area in the Sacramento Valley includes Shasta, Plumas, Tehama,  
11 Glenn, Colusa, Butte, Sutter, Yuba, Nevada, Placer, El Dorado, Sacramento,  
12 Yolo, and Solano counties. As described in Chapter 12, Agricultural Resources,  
13 field and forage crops dominate the irrigated acreage in Sacramento Valley with  
14 over 1.4 million acres irrigated. Rice, irrigated pasture, and hay are the largest  
15 acreages. Second to field and forage crops are orchard and vine crops, making up  
16 roughly 21 percent of the total acreage. Almonds and walnuts are the largest  
17 acreages in this category. In total, the Sacramento Valley contains nearly two  
18 million agricultural acres. Typical terrestrial resources of these crops are  
19 described in subsection 10.3.4.1.4, Agricultural Lands.

### 20 **10.3.3.2.9 Wildlife Refuges in the Sacramento Valley**

21 The Sacramento Valley supported three major landscape types: wetlands,  
22 grassland-prairies, and riparian woodlands (Reclamation et al 2001a). These  
23 habitats were hydrologically and biologically linked to the river systems. Prior to  
24 their containment by the construction of dams and levees, the major rivers  
25 meandered, forming oxbows and riparian habitat. Winter floods would inundate  
26 and scour areas along these rivers, creating marshes and early-succession riparian  
27 scrub. Expanses of seasonal wetlands were also created by winter flooding.  
28 These seasonal wetlands formed habitat for overwintering and migrating  
29 waterfowl. Habitat areas such as wetlands are now intensively managed to  
30 support a wide range of birds and other wildlife within small and fragmented  
31 areas. Remnant wetlands and agricultural lands in the Central Valley support  
32 approximately 60 percent of the waterfowl wintering in the Pacific Flyway region  
33 (includes Alaska, Arizona, California, Idaho, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington,  
34 and portions of Colorado, Montana, New Mexico, and Wyoming west of the  
35 Continental Divide [PFC 2014]). In addition, another 20 percent of the Pacific  
36 Flyway population passes through the Central Valley, using the wetlands for  
37 foraging and resting on their migratory passage through the region. The  
38 Sacramento Valley provides winter habitat for 44 percent of the Pacific Flyway  
39 waterfowl. The wetland and associated habitat are also important to several  
40 federally listed and proposed species, and other special status species such as the  
41 American Peregrine Falcon, Bald Eagle, Aleutian Canada Goose, Giant Garter  
42 Snake, and California Tiger Salamander.

1 The Sacramento National Wildlife Refuge (NWR) Complex is composed of five  
2 national wildlife refuges (Sacramento, Delevan, Colusa, Sutter and Sacramento  
3 River NWRs) and three state wildlife management areas (Willow Creek-Lurline,  
4 Butte Sink and North Central Valley Wildlife Management Areas) (USFWS  
5 2013a). The refuges of the Sacramento NWR Complex contain permanent ponds,  
6 seasonal wetlands, irrigated moist soil impoundments, and uplands (Reclamation  
7 et al 2001). Gray Lodge Wildlife Area is located adjacent to the Butte Sink, an  
8 overflow area of Butte Creek and the Sacramento River. It consists of seasonal  
9 wetlands and upland areas with permanent wetland and riparian habitats (DFG  
10 2011a). The Gray Lodge Wildlife Area supports permanent and seasonal  
11 wetlands, crops, and pasture (Reclamation et al. 2001).

12 Seasonally flooded marsh is the most prevalent and diverse of the wetland habitat  
13 types (Reclamation et al 2001). Wetland units managed as seasonally flooded  
14 marsh are typically flooded from early September through mid-April. Their  
15 diversity is the product of a variety of water depths that result in an array of  
16 vegetative species that, in combination, provide habitat for the greatest number of  
17 wildlife species throughout the course of a year. Through the fall and winter,  
18 seasonally flooded marshes are used by a wide range of waterfowl and smaller  
19 numbers of egret, heron, ibis, and grebe, to name a few. In addition, raptors take  
20 advantage of the water bird prey base. Water is removed in the spring; therefore,  
21 shorebirds use the shallow depth and exposed mudflats on their northern  
22 migration.

23 Moist soil impoundments, or seasonally flooded impoundments, are similar to  
24 seasonally flooded marshes (Reclamation et al 2001). Moist soil impoundments  
25 are typically irrigated during the summer to bolster plant growth and to enhance  
26 seed production. Irrigation is usually performed in mid-summer to increase plant  
27 biomass and seed production of watergrass, sprangletop, and smartweed plants.  
28 During these irrigation periods, these units are often used by locally nesting  
29 colonial water birds (egrets, herons).

30 Permanent ponds and summer water provide wetland habitat for year-round and  
31 summer resident species (Reclamation et al 2001). Permanent ponds remain  
32 flooded throughout the year, while units managed for summer water are flooded  
33 through June or July. Characterized by both emergent and submergent aquatic  
34 plants, permanent ponds and summer water units provide brood and molting areas  
35 for waterfowl, secure roosting and nesting sites for wading birds and other over-  
36 water nesters, and feeding areas for species like cormorants and pelicans.  
37 Permanent wetland habitats are also important to a number of special status  
38 species, such as the Giant Garter Snake, White-Faced Ibis, and Tricolored  
39 Blackbird.

40 Valley-foothill riparian habitats are found along low- to mid-elevation streams  
41 and waterways (Reclamation et al. 2001). Riparian habitats provide nesting,  
42 roosting, and feeding areas for passerines, raptors, herons, egrets, waterfowl, and  
43 small mammals. These areas also provide corridors for resident and migratory  
44 wildlife. Riparian woodland habitats are characterized by even-aged, broad-  
45 leafed, deciduous trees with open canopies that reflect flood-mediated episodic

1 events. Cottonwood, willow, alder, and oak are typical trees found in riparian  
 2 woodlands. Riparian scrub habitats are described as streamside thickets  
 3 dominated by one or more willow species, as well as other fast-growing shrubs  
 4 and vines.

### 5 **10.3.3.3 San Joaquin Valley**

6 The San Joaquin Valley portion of the Central Valley Region considered in this  
 7 EIS includes the San Joaquin River from Millerton Lake to the Delta; lower  
 8 Stanislaus River from New Melones Reservoir to the confluence with the San  
 9 Joaquin River; San Luis Reservoir; and agricultural areas and wildlife refuges that  
 10 use CVP and SWP water supplies.

11 Historically, the San Joaquin Valley was a large floodplain that supported vast  
 12 expanses of permanent and seasonal marshes, lakes, and riparian areas. Almost  
 13 70 percent of the valley has been converted to irrigated agriculture (Reclamation  
 14 2005b). Relict stands of alkali desert scrub are widely scattered throughout the  
 15 San Joaquin Valley, but are generally found in the Tulare Basin in the southern  
 16 San Joaquin Valley. Annual and perennial grasslands occur throughout the San  
 17 Joaquin Valley, mostly on level plains and the gently rolling foothills at  
 18 elevations immediately higher than the patches of alkali desert scrub. Ruderal  
 19 vegetation is typically associated with road and utility rights-of-way, borders of  
 20 fields, ditches, and abandoned fields.

21 As described in subsection 10.3.2, Overview of Species with Special Status, A  
 22 listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur in  
 23 portions of the Study Area affected by the long-term coordinated operation of the  
 24 CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

25 The USFWS has approved a habitat conservation plan for San Joaquin County  
 26 Multi-species Habitat Conservation and Open Space Plan, Kern Water Bank, and  
 27 the Metropolitan Bakersfield.

#### 28 **10.3.3.3.1 San Joaquin River**

29 Potential changes in CVP and SWP operations could affect terrestrial resources  
 30 associated with the San Joaquin River from Millerton Lake to the Delta.

##### 31 *Millerton Lake*

32 Millerton Lake on the San Joaquin River is located in the western foothills of the  
 33 Sierra Nevada Mountains in an area that ranges from grasslands and rolling hills  
 34 near Friant Dam, to steep, craggy slopes in the upper reaches of the lake.

35 Vegetation around Millerton Lake consists of a number of terrestrial  
 36 communities, including annual grassland, oak woodland, foothill pine oak  
 37 woodland, and chaparral (Reclamation 2011; Reclamation and State Parks 2010).

38 The most dominant vegetation community near the water edge is the nonnative  
 39 grassland with blue oak woodland on the slopes above the lake and mixed riparian  
 40 woodlands along drainages to the lake (Reclamation 2011; Reclamation and State  
 41 Parks 2010). The dominant grassland species include broad-leaf filaree,  
 42 fiddleneck, Heermann tarweed, vinegar weed, and ripgut brome, soft chess,

1 zorro grass. The blue oak woodland also includes gray pine, buck brush, bush  
2 lupine, holly-leaf redberry, and hoary coffeeberry. The mixed riparian woodland  
3 species include interior live oak and gray pine with red willow, Fremont  
4 cottonwood, California buckeye, edible fig, and Oregon ash with an understory of  
5 California grape, button bush, Himalayan blackberry, sedges, and nonnative  
6 spearmint. Aquatic plants occur along the drainages where the water is relatively  
7 stagnant including mosquito fern, common duckweed, dotted duckmeat,  
8 punctuate smartweed, tall flat sedge, and broad-leaf cattail. Much of the shoreline  
9 is barren or characterized by nonnative grasslands with weedy species, such as  
10 Bermuda grass and cocklebur, and sporadic Goodding's black willow.

11 Mule Deer, California Quail, wild turkey, and feral pig, all of which are game  
12 species, occur in the area around Millerton Lake (Reclamation 2011; Reclamation  
13 and State Parks 2010). The region provides winter range and migratory routes for  
14 the San Joaquin deer herd. A number of special status bat species have potential  
15 to occur in the area, and suitable roost sites may be found throughout the area.  
16 Other special status species that may occur in the area include the ringtail,  
17 American badger, and San Joaquin pocket mouse.

18 A relatively diverse community of reptile and amphibian species exists in and  
19 around Millerton Lake (Reclamation 2011; Reclamation and State Parks 2010).  
20 The presence of the nonnative bullfrog has changed, and continues to dramatically  
21 alter, the extant reptile and amphibian community through predation and because  
22 of its ability to out-compete native species. The Western Pond Turtle is known to  
23 occur around the lake. The California Tiger Salamander has also been reported.  
24 Limited areas of potential breeding habitat for California tiger salamander,  
25 primarily stock ponds dominated by nonnative species, have been identified in the  
26 San Joaquin River gorge upstream of the lake.

27 Bald eagles use roost trees near open water for foraging and are known to winter  
28 around Millerton Lake (Reclamation 2011; Reclamation and State Parks 2010).  
29 Several species associated with riparian habitats, including the least Bell's vireo  
30 and willow flycatcher, occurred historically around the lake, but have not been  
31 recently documented. A number of nonnative birds, including European Starling  
32 and Brown-Headed Cowbird, influence the native bird community through  
33 competition and nest parasitism.

34 A number of rare and listed plant species are known to occur around Millerton  
35 Lake and the upper San Joaquin River (Reclamation 2011; Reclamation and State  
36 Parks 2010). These include Ewan's larkspur, Michael's piperia, tree anemone,  
37 and Madera leptosiphon. Two plant species which serve as hosts for special  
38 status invertebrates, the elderberry and California pipevine, are also known to  
39 occur in the area. California pipevine is the obligate host plant for the pipevine  
40 swallowtail, a butterfly species and the elderberry shrub is the host plant of the  
41 Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

42 *San Joaquin River from Friant Dam to the Confluence with the Merced River*

43 A multilayered riparian forest dominated by cottonwoods occurs on the active low  
44 floodplain of the San Joaquin River along with older stands of cottonwood-

1 dominated riparian forest in areas that were formerly active floodplains prior to  
2 the completion of Friant Dam and associated diversion channels, and the resulting  
3 reduction in river flow (DWR and Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and DWR  
4 2011). Other areas on the low floodplain are dominated by willow, with  
5 occasional scattered cottonwood, ash, or white alder. California buttonbush is  
6 often present and may even dominate the riverbank for stretches.

7 The intermediate terrace of the floodplain of the San Joaquin River is primarily a  
8 mixed-species riparian forest (DWR and Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and  
9 DWR 2011). Species dominance in this mixed riparian forest depends on site  
10 conditions, such as availability of groundwater and frequency of flooding.

11 Typical dominant trees in the overstory and midstory include Fremont  
12 cottonwood, boxelder, Goodding's black willow, Oregon ash, and California  
13 sycamore. Immediately along the water's edge, white alder occurs in the upper  
14 reaches of the San Joaquin River. Typical shrubs include red willow, arroyo  
15 willow, and California buttonbush.

16 Tree-dominated habitats with an open-to-closed canopy are typically found on the  
17 higher portions of the floodplain (DWR and Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and  
18 DWR 2011). These areas are exposed to less flood-related disturbance than areas  
19 lower on the floodplain. Valley oak is the dominant tree species while California  
20 sycamore, Oregon ash, and Fremont cottonwood are present in small numbers.

21 Typical understory species include creeping wild rye, California wild rose,  
22 Himalayan blackberry, California wild grape, and California blackberry.

23 Dense stands of willow shrubs frequently occur within the active floodplain of the  
24 river in areas subject to more frequent scouring flows and often occupy stable  
25 sand and gravel point bars immediately above the active channel (DWR and  
26 Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and DWR 2011). Dominant species include  
27 sandbar willow, arroyo willow, and red willow. Occasional emergent Fremont  
28 cottonwood may also be present.

29 Other areas have vegetation consisting of woody shrubs and herbaceous species  
30 dominated by different species depending on river reach. Some areas are  
31 dominated by mugwort, together with stinging nettle and various tall weedy  
32 herbs. Other areas are dominated either by blackberry (usually the introduced  
33 Himalayan blackberry) or wild rose in dense thickets, with or without scattered  
34 small emergent willows.

35 Areas with fine-textured, rich alluvium located outside the active channels but in  
36 areas that are subject to periodic flooding contain a shrub-dominated community  
37 characterized by widely spaced blue elderberry shrubs (DWR and Reclamation  
38 2002; Reclamation and DWR 2011). The herbaceous understory is typically  
39 dominated by nonnative grasses and forbs that are characteristic of annual  
40 grassland communities, including ripgut brome, foxtail fescue, foxtail barley,  
41 red-stemmed filaree, and horseweed.

42 Emergent wetlands typically occur in the river bottom immediately adjacent to the  
43 low-flow channel (DWR and Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and DWR 2011).  
44 Backwaters and sloughs where water is present through much of the year support

1 emergent marsh vegetation, such as tule and cattails. More ephemeral wetlands,  
2 especially along the margins of the river and in swales adjacent to the river,  
3 support native and nonnative herbaceous species.

4 Prevalent invasive species found in this portion of the San Joaquin River corridor  
5 include red sesbania, tamarisk, giant reed, Chinese tallow, Tree-of-heaven, and  
6 perennial pepperweed (Reclamation and DWR 2011). Water hyacinth, water  
7 milfoil, Parrot's feather, curly-leaf pondweed, and sponge plant occur within the  
8 streams, especially in areas with slow or ponded water.

9 The riparian forest trees and understory provide habitat for raptors, cavity-nesting  
10 birds, and songbirds, including Red-Tailed Hawk, Red-Shouldered Hawk,  
11 Swainson's Hawk, White-Tailed Hawk, Downy Woodpecker, Wood Duck,  
12 Northern Flicker, Ash-Throated Flycatcher, Pacific-Slope Flycatcher, Olive Sided  
13 Flycatcher, Tree Swallow, Oak Titmouse, White-Breasted Nuthatch, Western  
14 Wood-Pewee, Warbling Vireo, Orange-Crowned Warbler, Yellow Warbler,  
15 Bullock's Oriole, and Spotted Towhee (DWR and Reclamation 2002;  
16 Reclamation and DWR 2011). Western Wood-Pewee, Bushtit, Bewick's Wren,  
17 Lazuli Bunting, Blue Grosbeak, and American Goldfinch inhabit the riparian  
18 scrub vegetation. Song Sparrow, Common Yellowthroat, Marsh Wren, and  
19 Red-Winged Blackbird inhabit the emergent wetlands. Coyote, River Otter,  
20 raccoon, Desert Cottontail, and Striped Skunk occur in the riparian forest and  
21 shrub communities. Shorebirds, such as Killdeer; Mallard Duck; California Vole;  
22 Common Muskrat; Norway Rat; Pacific Chorus Frog; Western Pond Turtle; and  
23 Western Terrestrial Garter Snake occur near the river.

#### 24 *San Joaquin River from Merced River to the Delta*

25 Downstream of the Merced River confluence, vegetation and wildlife resources  
26 along the San Joaquin River are similar to the upstream reaches described above  
27 (DWR and Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and DWR 2011). The reach of the  
28 San Joaquin River immediately downstream of the Merced River is more incised  
29 than areas further downstream and has a less developed riparian area with less  
30 understory vegetation. Between the Merced River and the Delta, agricultural land  
31 use has encroached on the riparian areas, leaving only a narrow band of riparian  
32 habitat. Near the confluence with tributary rivers, in cutoff oxbows, and in the  
33 San Joaquin River NWR, there are more extensive riparian habitat areas.  
34 Remnant cattail-dominated marshes and tules occur in these areas.

35 Wildlife species are similar to those found in the reaches upstream of the Merced  
36 River described above (DWR and Reclamation 2002; Reclamation and  
37 DWR 2011).

#### 38 **10.3.3.3.2 Stanislaus River**

39 The upper Stanislaus River watershed has a drainage area of approximately  
40 980 square miles (Reclamation 2010b). The North, Middle, and South forks of  
41 the Stanislaus River converge upstream of the CVP New Melones Reservoir.  
42 Water from New Melones Reservoir flows into Tulloch Reservoir. Downstream



1 of Tulloch Reservoir, the Stanislaus River flows to Goodwin Dam and then  
2 approximately 40 miles to the confluence with the San Joaquin River.

### 3 *New Melones Reservoir*

4 Several broad categories of vegetation have been described in other studies  
5 around the New Melones Reservoir, including blue oak woodland and blue  
6 oak-foothill pine woodland, grasslands, chaparral, wetlands, and serpentine-based  
7 communities (Reclamation 2010b). The montane hardwood and montane  
8 hardwood-conifer woodlands occur at higher elevations substantially above the  
9 reservoir open water, especially along the eastern portion of the New Melones  
10 Reservoir; and are not anticipated to be affected by changes in CVP and  
11 SWP operations.

12 Blue oak woodland vegetation occurs in the western and southwestern portion of  
13 New Melones Reservoir, especially on rocky slopes and along riparian corridors  
14 (Reclamation 2010b). Oak trees that are established along the shoreline during  
15 drier periods are frequently killed when the reservoir fills to the maximum  
16 elevation. The blue oak woodland community also includes ponderosa pine,  
17 California buckeye, manzanita, ceanothus, yerba santa, foothill pine, scrub oak,  
18 black oak, valley oak, interior live oak, coffeeberry, redberry, holly-leaved cherry,  
19 and needlegrass. The blue oak-foothill pine woodland occurs at higher elevations  
20 along the western and southern areas of the New Melones Reservoir, and includes  
21 understory species, including poison oak, woodland star, sugar cup, shooting star,  
22 Chinese house, and gooseberry. The oak woodland supports woodpecker,  
23 mourning doves, wild turkey, California quail, mule deer, black-tailed deer,  
24 western grey squirrel, gray fox, raccoon, feral pig, striped skunk, mountain lion,  
25 and bobcat. The transition chaparral zones between the oak woodlands and  
26 grasslands support California Thrasher, quail, wrentit, bobcat, Deer Mouse, feral  
27 pig, and Fence Lizard.

28 Annual grasslands occur along adjacent plains and foothills on the western and  
29 southern portions of New Melones Reservoir (Reclamation 2010b). The annual  
30 plant species, including wild oats, soft chess, ripgut, fiddleneck, longbeak stork's  
31 bill, and redstem stork's bill. Perennial grass species include triple-awned grass,  
32 wheat grass, bent grass, wild-rye, melic grass, needle-grass, and muhly. The area  
33 also includes foothill pine, blue oak, California poppy, and lupines. Grasslands  
34 support Meadowlark, Horned Lark, sparrow, quail, mouse, and vole. Raptors that  
35 forage in the grasslands include White-Tailed Kite, Northern Harrier, Great  
36 Horned Owl, Red-Tailed Hawk, and Swainson's Hawk.

37 Little riparian vegetation exists along the shoreline of New Melones Reservoir  
38 because fluctuating water levels limit the establishment of riparian vegetation  
39 (Reclamation 2010b). Riparian vegetation is generally found in the upstream  
40 reaches of some of the perennial drainages that flow into the reservoir. Wetland  
41 vegetation is found in some locations along the edges of the lake and in moist  
42 canyons. There are many riparian communities, seeps, and wet meadows in the  
43 upper reaches of streams that are tributaries of the lake. Species in the valley and  
44 foothill riparian woodlands include boxelder, Fremont cottonwood, willows,  
45 white alder, and big-leaf maple. The wet meadow species include short-hair

1 sedge, gentian-aster, few-flowered spikerush, carpet clover, bentgrass, pull-up  
2 muhly, beaked sedge, Nebraska sedge, Kentucky bluegrass, longstalk clover, and  
3 tufted hairgrass.

4 The open water of New Melones Lake, along with associated shoreline  
5 vegetation, provides foraging and resting habitat for a variety of waterfowl and  
6 shorebirds (Reclamation 2010b). Several fish-eating bird species, such as grebe,  
7 forage in the open water; other species, such as ducks, herons, and egrets, dabble  
8 along the shoreline foraging on seeds and small fish in shallow areas. Trees along  
9 the shoreline provide nesting areas for osprey. Riparian areas along larger  
10 tributaries to New Melones Reservoir provide food, cover, water, and nesting  
11 habitat for a variety of wildlife species and serve as travel corridors for species  
12 such as black-tailed deer.

13 Limestone caves are located in portions of the upper reaches of New Melones  
14 Reservoir, especially along the Stanislaus River (Reclamation 2010b). Bats use  
15 the caves for roosting and breeding. A type of rare spider, New Melones  
16 harvestman, was transplanted from caves that were to be inundated through the  
17 filling of New Melones Reservoir into neighboring caves.

#### 18 *Tulloch Reservoir*

19 Many vegetation community types characteristic of the New Melones Reservoir  
20 and other portions of the Sierra foothills are found around Tulloch Reservoir,  
21 including blue oak woodland, chaparral, grassland, various tree-shrub  
22 communities dominated by pines, and grasslands (Tri-Dam Project 2008). The  
23 elderberry shrub (*Sambucus* species) occurs at multiple locations around the  
24 reservoir and may provide habitat for the Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle. A  
25 number of nonnative weedy species have been documented around the reservoir  
26 including Himalayan blackberry, red brome, tree-of-heaven, slenderflower thistle,  
27 yellow star thistle, pampas grass, Bermuda grass, and the aquatic parrot's feather.  
28 The vegetation along the water edge is affected by daily and seasonal water  
29 elevation variability. Wildlife supported by the vegetative community are similar  
30 to wildlife communities near New Melones Reservoir as well as Western Pond  
31 Turtle, bat, river otter, and mink (Goodwin Power 2013).

#### 32 *Goodwin Dam*

33 Downstream of Tulloch Dam, the Stanislaus River flows to Goodwin Dam, and  
34 then continues approximately 40 miles to the confluence with the San Joaquin  
35 River. Goodwin Dam serves as a diversion dam for Oakdale Irrigation District,  
36 South San Joaquin Irrigation District, and Stockton East Water District, as  
37 described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies (Tri-Dam  
38 Project 2003, 2007). The Goodwin Dam impounds 502 acre-feet of water along  
39 the Stanislaus River approximately 1.6 miles downstream of Tulloch Dam and  
40 8.3 miles downstream of New Melones Dam. Water surface elevations are  
41 relatively constant upstream of Goodwin Dam.

42 The vegetation communities in this area of the Stanislaus River are similar to the  
43 vegetation near Tulloch Dam, including hardwood and oak woodlands with blue  
44 oak, interior live oak, gray pine, California buckeye, toyon, tree of heaven, and

1 California black walnut (Tri-Dam 2003). Near the Stanislaus River, the  
 2 vegetation is characterized by riparian woodland with cottonwood, willows, white  
 3 alder, blue elderberry, and Himalayan berry. Some low-gradient areas along the  
 4 shoreline of Goodwin Lake, especially in coves, support small patches of  
 5 emergent aquatic vegetation such as bulrush and cattail (Goodwin Power 2013).  
 6 Wildlife occurrences are similar to conditions near Tulloch Reservoir.

7 *Stanislaus River from Goodwin Dam to the Confluence with the San Joaquin*  
 8 *River*

9 From Goodwin Dam to Knight's Ferry, the Stanislaus River flows through a  
 10 bedrock canyon with nearly vertical walls and rock outcrops (DFG 1995). The  
 11 riparian edge includes valley foothill riparian vegetation in a very narrow band for  
 12 the entire length of this reach. This habitat is characterized by a canopy layer of  
 13 cottonwood, California sycamore, and valley oak. Subcanopy cover trees are  
 14 white alder, boxelder, and Oregon ash. Typical understory shrub layer plants  
 15 include wild grape, wild rose, California blackberry, elderberry, button brush, and  
 16 willow. The herbaceous layer consists of sedges, rushes, grasses, miner's lettuce,  
 17 poison-hemlock, and stinging nettle.

18 From Knights Ferry to the Orange Blossom Bridge, located to the east of the City  
 19 of Oakdale, the valley foothill riparian habitat continues along the river (DFG  
 20 1995). Further away from the river, vegetation is dominated by blue oak-digger  
 21 pine woodland and shrub, including California redbud, California buckeye,  
 22 ceanothus, manzanita, poison oak, and grasslands. Vernal pools and vernal pool  
 23 complexes are found within adjacent grasslands.

24 Downstream of the Orange Blossom Bridge, the riparian corridor is virtually  
 25 nonexistent in some areas with agricultural land uses extending into the riparian  
 26 corridor (DFG 1995). In a few areas the riparian corridor is wide, such as within  
 27 Caswell Memorial State Park. The major habitats include valley foothill riparian  
 28 along the Stanislaus River with annual grasslands and fresh emergent wetlands  
 29 amount the agricultural and urban developments.

30 **10.3.3.3 San Luis Reservoir Complex**

31 The San Luis Reservoir complex, consisting of San Luis Reservoir, O'Neill  
 32 Forebay, and Los Banos Creek Reservoir, is located in northwestern San Joaquin  
 33 Valley and is part of the water storage and delivery system for the CVP and SWP.  
 34 The area is located within several vegetative communities (Reclamation and State  
 35 Parks 2013). The northern and western portion of the San Luis Reservoir is  
 36 located within the coastal foothills with blue oak-foothill pine woodlands. The  
 37 O'Neill Forebay and parts of Los Banos Creek Reservoir are located within the  
 38 San Joaquin Valley with valley oak habitat.

39 The vegetation around the San Luis Reservoir complex and wildlife management  
 40 areas consists of riparian woodlands, blue oak woodlands and savanna, coast live  
 41 oak woodland, ornamental trees, California sagebrush scrub, grasslands, wetlands,  
 42 alkali sink scrub, and nonnative and weedy plant communities (Reclamation and  
 43 State Parks 2013). The riparian woodland and wetland communities occur at the

1 edge of the reservoirs and along watercourses. The San Luis Wildlife Area also  
2 contains blue oak woodland, blue oak savanna, coast live oak woodland, and  
3 California sycamore riparian woodland. California sagebrush scrub occurs on  
4 hillsides above and to the west of Los Banos Creek Reservoir. Iodine bush scrub  
5 occurs at Salt Spring, a tributary to Los Banos Creek Reservoir. Native purple  
6 needlegrass occurs throughout the complex.

7 Along the shorelines, riparian vegetation remains in an early successional stage  
8 because either the extreme fluctuation of the water level inundates the vegetation  
9 or the vegetation does not receive enough water during the dry season  
10 (Reclamation and State Parks 2013). Areas at the edges of O'Neill Forebay and  
11 Los Banos Creek Reservoir appear to be slowly changing to riparian vegetation.

12 A herd of more than 200 tule elk occurs towards the western shoreline of San Luis  
13 Reservoir within and near Pacheco State Park (Reclamation and State Park 2013).  
14 The herd moves down towards the water edge within the reservoir inundation area  
15 when the water elevation is low. Another herd of approximately 60 individuals  
16 occur around B.F. Sisk Dam which forms San Luis Reservoir; and approximately  
17 70 tule elk occur throughout other areas in the complex.

#### 18 **10.3.3.3.4 Agricultural Lands in the San Joaquin Valley**

19 The Study Area in the San Joaquin Valley includes the counties of Stanislaus,  
20 Merced, Madera, San Joaquin, Fresno, Kings, Tulare, and Kern counties. As  
21 described in Chapter 12, Agricultural Resources, field and forage crops dominate  
22 the irrigated acreage in the San Joaquin Valley with over 5.5 million agricultural  
23 acres. Hay, cotton, and silage are the largest acreages. Second to field and forage  
24 crops are orchards and vineyards, making up roughly 35 percent of total acreage.  
25 Almonds and grapes are the largest acreages in this category.

26 Typical terrestrial resources of these crops are described in subsection 10.3.4.1.4,  
27 Agricultural Lands. In the grassland and pasture areas, areas not dominated by  
28 crops include nonnative grasses, foxtail barley, and forbs (Reclamation and DWR  
29 2011). The grassland and pasture support Northern Harrier, Ring-Necked  
30 Pheasant, Mourning Dove, Burrowing Owl, Loggerhead Shrike, Deer Mouse,  
31 California Vole, California Ground Squirrel, Botta's Pocket Gopher, American  
32 Badger, coyote, Western Toad, Western Fence Lizard, Western Racer, and  
33 Gopher Snake. The cropland provides foraging areas for raptors and supports  
34 Ground Squirrel, American Crow, Brewer's Blackbird, and European Starling.

#### 35 **10.3.3.3.5 Wildlife Refuges in the San Joaquin Valley**

36 The San Joaquin Valley historically supported three major landscape types:  
37 wetlands, grassland-prairies, and riparian woodlands (Reclamation et al 2001b).  
38 These habitats were hydrologically and biologically linked to the river systems.  
39 Prior to their containment by the construction of dams and levees, the major rivers  
40 meandered, forming oxbows and riparian habitat. Winter floods would inundate  
41 and scour areas along these rivers, creating marshes and early-succession riparian  
42 scrub. Expanses of seasonal wetlands were also created by winter flooding.  
43 These seasonal wetlands formed habitat for overwintering and migrating

1 waterfowl. Habitat areas such as wetlands are now intensively managed to  
2 support a wide range of birds and other wildlife within small and fragmented  
3 areas. Remnant wetlands and agricultural lands in the Central Valley support  
4 approximately 60 percent of the waterfowl wintering in the Pacific Flyway region.  
5 In addition, another 20 percent of the Pacific Flyway population passes through  
6 the Central Valley, using the wetlands for foraging and resting on their migratory  
7 passage through the region. The Sacramento Valley provides winter habitat for  
8 44 percent of the Pacific Flyway waterfowl. The wetland and associated habitat  
9 are also important to several federally listed and proposed species, and other  
10 special status species such as the American Peregrine Falcon, Bald Eagle,  
11 Aleutian Canada Goose, Giant Garter Snake, and California Tiger Salamander.

12 CVP water supplies are provided to the San Luis NWR Complex which includes  
13 the Merced NWR, San Luis NWR (including the San Luis Unit, West Bear Creek  
14 Unit, East Bear Creek Unit, Freitas Unit, Blue Goose Unit, and Kesterson Unit),  
15 and Grasslands Wildlife Management Area (Reclamation 2012; USFWS 2012b,  
16 2013b). The San Luis NWR Complex also includes the San Joaquin River NWR  
17 which is influenced by CVP operations; however, this refuge does not specifically  
18 receive CVP water under a contract. CVP water supplies are also provided to the  
19 Los Banos Wildlife Area; Volta Wildlife Area; Mendota Wildlife Area; and North  
20 Grasslands Wildlife Area (including China Island Unit and Salt Slough Unit)  
21 (Reclamation 2012b). In the southern San Joaquin Valley, the Kern and Pixley  
22 NWRs provide wildlife viewing opportunities.

### 23 *San Luis National Wildlife Refuge Complex*

24 The San Luis NWR Complex includes wetlands, riparian forests, native  
25 grasslands, and vernal pools (USFWS 2012a, 2012b). The refuge is a major  
26 wintering ground and migratory stopover point for a wide range of waterfowl,  
27 shorebirds, and other waterbirds. The refuge is host to significant assemblages of  
28 birds, mammals, reptiles, amphibians, insects, and plants, some of which, such as  
29 the California Tiger Salamander and San Joaquin Kit Fox, are endangered  
30 species. Riparian woodlands occur along rivers and sloughs with willow,  
31 cottonwood, and oak to support egrets, herons, cormorants, raptors, and songbirds  
32 (USFWS 2012b). Wetlands occur on over 25 percent of the San Luis NWR  
33 Complex lands and provide nesting habitat for coots, grebes, blackbirds, bitterns,  
34 ibis, and marsh wrens; and seasonal wetlands for ducks, geese, shorebirds, and  
35 other waterbirds. Grasslands occur on over 70 percent of the lands, including the  
36 native creeping wild Rye and alkali sacaton, to support elk, Black-Tailed Deer,  
37 Desert Cottontail Rabbit, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit, voles, and songbirds. Vernal  
38 pools occur in some areas during the spring, especially in the Kesterson NWR and  
39 West Bear Creek Unit. Artificial dens and other habitat structures have been  
40 constructed on the refuge, including nest boxes for songbirds, owls, and wood  
41 ducks; and dens for kit foxes (USFWS 2012a).

### 42 *San Luis National Wildlife Refuge*

43 The San Luis NWR contains approximately 26,800 acres of wetlands, riparian  
44 forests, native grasslands, and vernal pools (USFWS 2012c). Saline and alkaline  
45 conditions on portions of the upland habitat support a rich botanical community of

1 native bunchgrasses, native and nonnative annual grasses, forbs, and native  
2 shrubs. Wintering habitat is provided for numerous waterbirds, including green-  
3 winged teal, northern shoveler, mallard, gadwall, wigeon, cinnamon teal, northern  
4 pintail, ring-necked, canvasback, and ruddy ducks; snow, Ross', and white-  
5 fronted geese. Shorebirds include sandpipers and plovers. Tule elk occur in the  
6 upland habitats.

7 *Merced National Wildlife Refuge*

8 The Merced NWR contains approximately 10,250 acres of wetlands, native  
9 grasslands, vernal pools and riparian areas (USFWS 2012d). In addition to  
10 providing breeding habitat for Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Marsh  
11 Wren, and Burrowing Owl; the refuge is host to the largest wintering populations  
12 along the Pacific flyway of Lesser Sandhill Crane and Ross' Goose. Mammals  
13 such as coyote, Ground Squirrel, rabbit, and beaver are found year-round. Vernal  
14 pools are a component of the refuge and are home to many species of vernal pool  
15 plants and invertebrates as well as the California Tiger Salamander. Merced  
16 NWR also includes approximately 300 acres of cultivated corn and winter wheat  
17 crops and more than 500 acres of irrigated pasture for wildlife.

18 *San Joaquin River National Wildlife Refuge*

19 The San Joaquin River NWR encompasses approximately 7,000 acres located  
20 where Tuolumne, Stanislaus, and San Joaquin rivers join, creating a mix of  
21 habitats for terrestrial wildlife and plant species. Initially established to protect  
22 and manage habitat for the Aleutian Cackling Goose, the refuge is currently  
23 managed to provide habitat for migratory birds and endangered wildlife species  
24 (USFWS 2012e, 2012f). The refuge includes a mosaic of valley oak riparian  
25 forest, riverine and slough habitats, seasonal and permanent wetlands, vernal  
26 pools, natural uplands, and agricultural fields. Over 500,000 native trees and  
27 shrubs such as willow, cottonwood, oak, blackberry, and rose have been planted  
28 across 2,200 acres of river floodplain within the refuge, creating the largest block  
29 of contiguous riparian woodland in the San Joaquin Valley. Endangered riparian  
30 brush rabbits have been re-introduced to this restored habitat from captive-reared  
31 populations. These woodlands also support a diversity of breeding songbirds  
32 including grosbeak, oriole, flycatcher, warbler, and Least Bell's Vireo; and a  
33 heron/egret rookery. The refuge also provides winter and migration habitat for  
34 Lesser Sandhill Cranes, Greater Sandhill Cranes, Snow Geese, Ross' Geese, and  
35 White-Fronted Goose.

36 Several nonnative invasive plants influence the quality of wildlife habitat on the  
37 refuge including yellow star thistle, perennial pepperweed, poison hemlock,  
38 Russian thistle, milk thistle, and bull thistle. According to the Comprehensive  
39 Conservation Plan for the refuge (USFWS 2006), infestations are greatest in  
40 fallow agricultural fields, roadsides, canal banks, and undergrazed pastures, as  
41 well as other disturbed sites. Perennial pepperweed is established throughout the  
42 riparian areas of the refuge and stands of giant reed are scattered along the banks  
43 of the San Joaquin River. Infestations of water hyacinth seasonally disrupt water  
44 delivery and create impenetrable surfaces in the streams, sloughs, oxbows,  
45 and canals.

1        *Grasslands Wildlife Management Area*

2        The Grasslands Wildlife Management Area is composed entirely of privately  
3        owned lands with perpetual conservation easements to preserve wetland and  
4        grassland habitats, and wildlife-friendly agricultural lands along the San Joaquin  
5        River (GRCD 2014; USFWS 2013c). The Grassland Resource Conservation  
6        District, located within the western portion of the Wildlife Management Area,  
7        contains approximately 75,000 acres of private wetlands and associated  
8        grasslands, and over 30,000 acres of federal National Wildlife Refuges and State  
9        Wildlife Management Area. The area constitutes 30 percent of the remaining  
10       wetland habitat in the Central Valley and is a major wintering ground for  
11       migratory waterfowl and shorebirds of the Pacific Flyway.

12       Grassland Resource Conservation District provides habitat for waterfowl,  
13       shorebirds, wading birds, songbirds, raptors, and other wildlife species (GRCD  
14       2014; USFWS 2013c). The Grassland Resource Conservation District  
15       specifically manages a program to encourage production of natural food plants  
16       (such as swamp grass, smartweed, and watergrass). Habitats include seasonally  
17       flooded wetlands, moist soil impoundments, permanent wetland, irrigated pasture,  
18       and croplands.

19       *Los Banos Wildlife Area*

20       The Los Banos Wildlife Area, located approximately 4 miles northeast of Los  
21       Banos, contains more than 6,200 acres in the San Joaquin River floodplain and is  
22       dominated by seasonal wetlands (CDFW 2014a; Reclamation 2001b). Permanent  
23       and semi-permanent wetlands are also present, along with areas of riparian  
24       vegetation. The Los Banos Wildlife Area also supports native and nonnative  
25       grasslands. Irrigated pasture and croplands are maintained to provide food,  
26       resting, and nesting habitat for waterfowl and other wildlife. Western Pond  
27       Turtle, raccoon, Striped Skunk, beaver, muskrat, and mink; as well as over  
28       200 species of waterfowl, shore birds, upland game birds, and song birds occur  
29       seasonally throughout the area. Seasonal marshes provide habitat for a wide  
30       range of waterbirds, upland birds, and seasonal migrants, including American  
31       bittern, snowy egret, killdeer, American avocet, wood duck, and mallard.

32       *Volta Wildlife Area*

33       The Volta Wildlife Area consists of approximately 2,900 acres. The Wildlife  
34       Area is partially in the Grassland Resource Conservation District (CDFW 2014b;  
35       Reclamation et al. 2001b). The Wildlife Area supports permanent and seasonal  
36       wetlands and valley alkali shrub. Irrigated pasture and crops are grown to provide  
37       food and nesting cover for migratory waterfowl. Beaver, coyote, cottontail, and  
38       150 species of birds, including a wide range of waterfowl and shorebirds, are  
39       found on the Volta Wildlife Area.

40       *Mendota Wildlife Area*

41       The Mendota Wildlife Area contains more than 12,000 acres of flatlands and  
42       floodplain (Huddleston 2001; Reclamation et al. 2001b). The Mendota Wildlife  
43       Area has been managed primarily to provide seasonal wetland habitat. Water is  
44       used to irrigate natural food crops, such as swamp grass, alkali bulrush,

1 smartweed, and millet, and to flood seasonal and semi-permanent wetlands.  
2 Small grains, corn, and pasture are also irrigated in the upland areas. The  
3 Wildlife Area has significant white-faced ibis and great-blue heron rookeries.  
4 Shorebirds, songbirds, raptors, waterfowl, and wading birds use the wetlands  
5 habitat. Mammals that use the refuge include coyote, muskrat, beaver, mink,  
6 raccoon, weasel, Black-Tailed jackrabbit, Cottontail Rabbit, Spotted Skunk,  
7 Striped Skunks, and Ground Squirrel.

8 *North Grasslands Wildlife Area*

9 The North Grasslands Wildlife Area includes the China Island, Salt Slough, and  
10 Galdwall units which encompass 7,069 acres of wetlands, riparian habitat, and  
11 uplands (CDFW 2014c). Restoration and enhancement actions have focused on  
12 increasing seasonal wetlands, permanent and semi-permanent wetlands, and  
13 riparian habitat on the unit, including habitat for the Swainson's hawk and  
14 sandhill crane.

15 The China Island Unit of the North Grasslands Wildlife Area borders the San  
16 Joaquin River southwest of the confluence with the Merced River (DFG 2011b).  
17 The Salt Slough Unit is located on the west side of Salt Slough, adjacent to the  
18 San Luis NWR Complex and Los Banos Wildlife Area. Before its acquisition,  
19 the unit consisted mainly of irrigated pasture and was managed as a cattle ranch  
20 (DFG 2011c). Habitat on both units includes permanent wetlands that are flooded  
21 continuously; semi-permanent wetlands that are flooded in the spring and  
22 summer; moist soil vegetation to produce seeds and sustain invertebrates,  
23 including swamp timothy, watergrass, and smartweed; seasonal wetlands to  
24 provided flooded areas in the fall for waterfowl; riparian habitat, nesting habitat  
25 for resident breeding birds, including Short-Eared Owl, Northern Harrier, ducks,  
26 and pheasants; upland foraging areas; and pasture which provides late winter and  
27 early spring habitat for geese, and other habitat areas for sandhill crane,  
28 pheasants, and raptors.

29 *Kern National Wildlife Refuge Complex*

30 The Kern NWR Complex consists of the Kern NWR and Pixley NWR (USFWS  
31 2013d). The Kern NWR contains approximately 11,249 acres including seasonal  
32 marsh; moist soil units; and uplands (e.g., grasslands, alkali playa, and valley sink  
33 scrub) (USFWS 2013e). Wetlands on the refuge are seasonal in nature. Fall  
34 flooding begins in mid-August, with a peak in flooded marsh habitat by January.  
35 This habitat is maintained through February, after which the wetland areas are  
36 slowly drained. Selected units are irrigated during late spring and early summer  
37 to encourage plants to grow, to provide food for wintering and migrating birds the  
38 following fall (USFWS 2013e). The refuge is the largest wetland area in the  
39 Southern San Joaquin Valley and plays a vital role in the Pacific Flyway for  
40 migrating waterfowl, shorebirds, and songbirds. Uplands occupy the northeastern  
41 and northwestern portions of the refuge, used by threatened and endangered  
42 species, such as San Joaquin Kit Fox, Tipton Kangaroo Rat, and Blunt-Nosed  
43 Leopard Lizard. Artificial dens have been built for endangered San Joaquin Kit  
44 Foxes and artificial burrows have been provided for Burrowing Owls.



1 The Pixley NWR contains 6,389 acres of grasslands, vernal pools, and playas  
2 along the historic Tulare Lake boundaries (USFWS 2014ak). The refuge includes  
3 approximately 300 acres of managed wetlands for waterfowl and shorebirds. San  
4 Joaquin Kit Fox, Blunt-Nosed Leopard Lizard, and Tipton Kangaroo rat use the  
5 upland areas. Vernal pools also occur on the refuge.

#### 6 **10.3.3.4 Delta, Suisun Marsh, and Yolo Bypass**

7 Historically, the natural Delta system was formed by water inflows from upstream  
8 tributaries in the Delta watershed and outflow to Suisun Bay and San Francisco  
9 Bay (SFEI 2012). Upstream of the Delta, during high Sacramento River flows,  
10 water spilled into the geologic formation known as the Yolo Basin which extends  
11 from Knights Landing Ridge upstream of the confluence between the Sacramento  
12 and Feather rivers to the confluence of Cache Slough and the Sacramento River in  
13 the Delta upstream of Rio Vista and Suisun Marsh. The Delta and Suisun Marsh  
14 have a complex web of channels and islands and is located at the confluence  
15 of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. As described below in  
16 subsection 10.3.4.4.1, Yolo Bypass, is a 59,280-acre floodway through the Yolo  
17 Basin that was constructed as part of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project  
18 to protect the cities of Sacramento and West Sacramento and the north Delta from  
19 extreme flood events.

20 The Delta (as legally defined in the Johnston-Baker-Andal-Boatwright Delta  
21 Protection Act of 1992 [California Water Code section 12220]) covers  
22 737,358 acres, including 4,278 acres of the Suisun Marsh and 16,762 acres of the  
23 Yolo Bypass. Individually, the overall Delta, Suisun Marsh, and Yolo Bypass  
24 extend over 737,358 acres, 106,511 acres, and 59,280 acres, respectively. In total,  
25 the Delta, Suisun Marsh, and Yolo Bypass constitute a natural floodplain that  
26 covers approximately 882,200 acres and drains approximately 40 percent of the  
27 state (DWR 2009a).

28 As described in subsection 10.3.2, Overview of Species with Special Status, A  
29 listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur in  
30 portions of the Study Area affected by the long-term coordinated operation of the  
31 CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

#### 32 **10.3.3.4.1 Delta and Suisun Marsh**

33 The Delta overlies the western portions of the Sacramento River and San Joaquin  
34 River watersheds. The Delta is a network of islands, channels, and marshland at  
35 the confluence of the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers. Major rivers entering  
36 the Delta are the Sacramento River flowing from the north, the San Joaquin River  
37 flowing from the south, and eastside tributaries (Cosumnes, Mokelumne, and  
38 Calaveras rivers). Suisun Marsh is a tidally influenced brackish marsh located  
39 about 35 miles northeast of San Francisco in southern Solano County. It is a  
40 critical part of the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento–San Joaquin Delta (Bay-Delta)  
41 estuary ecosystem. The Delta, together with Suisun Marsh and greater San  
42 Francisco Bay, make up the largest estuary on the west coast of North and South  
43 America (DWR 2009a).

1 The Delta was once composed of extensive freshwater and brackish marshes, with  
2 tules and cattails, broad riparian thickets of scrub willows, buttonwillow, and  
3 native brambles. In addition, there were extensive riparian forests of Fremont  
4 cottonwood, valley oak, Oregon ash, boxelder, white alder, and Goodding's black  
5 willow. Upland, non-riparian stands of valley oak and coast live oak occurred in  
6 a mosaic with seasonally flooded herbaceous vegetation, including vernal pools  
7 and alkali wetlands (SFEI 2012).

8 Substantial areas of the Delta and Suisun Marsh have been modified by  
9 agricultural, urban and suburban, and recreational land uses (Reclamation et al.  
10 2011; SFEI 2012). Over the past 150 years, levees were constructed in the Delta  
11 and Suisun Marsh to provide lands for agricultural, municipal, industrial, and  
12 recreational land uses. The remaining natural vegetation is fragmented, and  
13 largely restricted to the edges of waterways, flooded islands, and small protected  
14 areas such as parks, wildlife areas, and nature reserves (Hickson and Keeler-Wolf  
15 2007). A substantial portion of the emergent wetlands exists as thin strips along  
16 the margins of constructed levees (SFEI 2012). Current habitat along the Delta  
17 waterways includes seasonal wetlands, tidal wetlands, managed wetlands, riparian  
18 forests, and riparian scrub.

19 Seasonal wetlands historically had occurred along the riparian corridor at  
20 elevations that were inundated during high flow events. Many of the levees were  
21 constructed along the riparian corridor edges; and therefore, historic seasonal  
22 wetlands were substantially modified (SFEI 2012). Adjacent areas of perennial  
23 wetlands on the water-side of the riparian corridor were modified as levees were  
24 constructed and channels enlarged. In many of these areas the perennial wetlands  
25 were replaced by seasonal wetlands. The vegetation of seasonal wetlands is  
26 typically composed of wetland generalist species that occur in frequently  
27 disturbed sites such as hyssop loosestrife, cocklebur, dallis grass, Bermuda grass,  
28 barnyard grass, and Italian ryegrass.

29 Alkali-related habitats occur near salt-influenced seasonal and perennial wetlands.  
30 Alkali seasonal wetlands occur on fine-textured soils that contain relatively high  
31 concentrations of dissolved salts. These types of soils are typically found at the  
32 historical locations of seasonal ponds in the Yolo Basin in and around the CDFW  
33 Tule Ranch Preserve, and upland in seasonal drainages that receive salts in runoff  
34 from upslope salt-bearing bedrock such as areas near Suisun Marsh and the  
35 Clifton Court Forebay. Alkali wetlands include saltgrass, alkali weed, saltbush,  
36 alkali heath, and iodine bush. Small stands of alkali sink scrub (also known as  
37 valley sink scrub) are characterized by iodine bush.

38 Tidal wetlands consist of tidal brackish wetlands that occur either as relatively  
39 substantial tracts of complex tidal wetlands, or in narrow bands of fringing tidal  
40 wetlands (Siegel et al. 2010a). Fringing tidal marsh exists along the outboard side  
41 exterior levees and generally has formed since diking for managed wetlands  
42 began. Fringing tidal wetlands vary in size and vegetation composition, exhibit  
43 less geomorphic complexity, and have a low area-to-edge ratio. Fringing marshes  
44 lack connection with the upland transition, are often found in small, discontinuous  
45 segments, and can limit movement of terrestrial marsh species.

1 Plant zones in complex tidal wetlands are influenced by inundation regime and  
2 salinity. Tidal wetlands can be divided into three zones: low marsh, middle  
3 marsh, and high marsh (Reclamation et al. 2011). The low tidal wetland zone is  
4 tidally inundated once or twice per day. At the lowest elevations, vegetation is  
5 inhibited by frequent, prolonged, often deep inundation and by disturbance by  
6 waves or currents. The dominant plant species are bulrushes. Other species  
7 occurring in the low tidal wetland zone are pickleweed, lowclub rush, common  
8 reed, and cattails. The low tidal wetland zone provides foraging habitat for  
9 waterfowl and shorebirds, California Ridgway's Rail, California Black Rail, and  
10 other wading birds.

11 The middle tidal wetland zone is tidally inundated at least once per day; there is  
12 relatively little cover and no refuge from higher tides, which completely flood the  
13 vegetation of the middle marsh. The dominant plant species are pickleweed,  
14 saltgrass, and bulrush. Other species occurring in the middle tidal marsh are  
15 fleshy jaumea, sea milkwort, rushes, salt marsh dodder, alkali heath, cattail,  
16 sneezeweed, and marsh gumplant (Siegel et al. 2010b). The middle tidal wetland  
17 zone provides foraging habitat for salt marsh harvest mouse and Suisun shrew, as  
18 well as common and special status bird species, including waterfowl and  
19 shorebirds, California Ridgway's Rail, California Black Rail, and other wading  
20 birds. This zone also provides nesting and foraging habitat for Suisun Song  
21 Sparrow and Salt Marsh Common Yellowthroat (Reclamation et al. 2011).

22 The high tidal wetland zone receives intermittent inundation during the monthly  
23 tidal cycle, with the higher elevations being inundated during only the highest  
24 tides. Historically, the high marsh was an expansive transitional zone between the  
25 tidal wetlands and adjacent uplands. The high marsh and associated upland  
26 transition zone have been significantly affected by land use changes  
27 (e.g., managed wetlands, agriculture). The dominant plants are native species,  
28 such as saltgrass, pickleweed, and Baltic rush, and nonnative species, including  
29 perennial pepperweed, poison hemlock, and fennel. Other species occurring in  
30 the high tidal marsh are saltmarsh dodder, fleshy jaumea, seaside arrowgrass,  
31 alkali heath, brass button, and rabbitsfoot grass.

32 The high tidal marsh provides habitat for special status plants, including Suisun  
33 Marsh aster, Soft bird's beak, and Suisun thistle (Siegel et al. 2010b). The high  
34 marsh zone provides foraging and nesting habitat for waterfowl, shorebirds,  
35 California Ridgway's Rail, California Black Rail, and other birds. It also provides  
36 foraging and nesting habitat for special status species such as Salt Marsh Harvest  
37 Mouse and Suisun Shrew and provides escape cover for Salt Marsh Harvest  
38 Mouse, and Suisun Shrew during periods when the middle and lower portions of  
39 the high tidal wetland zone are inundated (Reclamation et al. 2011).

40 Managed wetlands are primarily located within the Suisun Marsh, Cache Slough,  
41 and near the confluence of the Mokelumne and Sacramento rivers within the  
42 historical limits of the high tidal marsh and adjacent uplands that were diked and  
43 leveled for agricultural purposes and later managed to enhance habitat values for  
44 specific wildlife species (CALFED 2000). Diked managed wetlands and uplands  
45 are the most typical land cover type in the Suisun Marsh area. Managed wetlands

1 are considered seasonal wetlands because they may be flooded and drained  
2 several times throughout the year. Watergrass and smartweed are typically the  
3 dominant species in managed wetlands that use fresher water. Bulrush, cattail,  
4 and tule are the dominant species in managed wetlands that employ late  
5 drawdown management. Pickleweed, fat hen, and brass buttons are typical in the  
6 higher elevations of the managed wetlands. In marshes with higher soil salinity,  
7 pickleweed, saltgrass, and other salt-tolerant species are dominant. Managed  
8 wetlands are managed specifically as habitat for wintering waterfowl species,  
9 including Northern Pintail, Mallard, American Wigeon, Green-Winged Teal,  
10 Northern Shoveler, Gadwall, Cinnamon Teal, Ruddy, and Canvasback ducks;  
11 White-Fronted Goose, and Canada Goose. Some wetlands are also managed for  
12 breeding waterfowl, especially mallard.

13 Riparian forest areas (excluding willow-dominated riparian habitats) are still  
14 present in some portions of the Delta along many of the major and minor  
15 waterways, oxbows, and levees (CALFED 2000). Riparian forest and woodland  
16 communities dominated by tree species are mostly limited to narrow bands along  
17 sloughs, channels, rivers, and other freshwater features throughout the Delta.  
18 Isolated patches of riparian vegetation are also found on the interior of reclaimed  
19 Delta islands, along drainage channels, along pond margins, and in abandoned,  
20 low-lying fields. Cottonwoods and willows, Oregon ash, boxelder, and California  
21 sycamore, are the most typical riparian trees in central California. Valley oak and  
22 black walnut are typical in riparian areas in the Delta. Riparian trees are used for  
23 nesting, foraging, and protective cover by many bird species and riparian canopies  
24 provide nesting and foraging habitat for a variety of mammals. Understory shrubs  
25 provide cover for ground-nesting birds that forage among the vegetation and  
26 leaf litter.

27 Riparian scrub in the Delta and Suisun Marsh consists of woody riparian shrubs in  
28 dense thickets (SFEI 2012). Riparian scrub thickets are usually associated with  
29 higher, sloping, better drained edges of marshes or topographic high areas, such  
30 as levee remnants and elevated flood deposits; and along shorelines of ponds or  
31 banks of channels in tidal or non-tidal freshwater habitats. Plant species may  
32 include willow, blackberry, buttonbush, mulefat, and other shrub species.  
33 Willow-dominated habitat types appear to be increasing in extent in recent years;  
34 and willows line many miles of artificial levees where waterways historically had  
35 flowed into freshwater emergent wetland. Nonnative Himalayan blackberry  
36 thickets are a typical element of riparian scrub communities along levees and  
37 throughout pastures in the levees. Willow thickets provide habitat for a wide  
38 range of wildlife species, including the Song Sparrow, Lazuli Bunting, and Valley  
39 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

#### 40 **10.3.3.4.2 Yolo Bypass**

41 The Yolo Bypass is a 59,280-acre floodway through the natural-overflow of the  
42 Yolo Basin on the west side of the Sacramento River (DWR 2012). As described  
43 in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies, the Yolo Bypass  
44 generally extends north to south from Fremont Weir along the Sacramento River  
45 (near Verona) to upstream of Rio Vista along the Sacramento River in the Delta.

1 The bypass, part of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project, conveys  
2 floodwaters around the Sacramento River near the cities of Sacramento and West  
3 Sacramento. The bypass is utilized as a flood bypass approximately once every  
4 3 years, generally during the period from November to April. Land use in the  
5 Yolo Bypass is generally restricted to specific agriculture, managed wetlands, and  
6 vegetation communities to ensure that floodway function is maintained (CALFED  
7 et al. 2001; USFWS 2002). Agricultural crops include corn, tomatoes, melons,  
8 safflower, and rice within the northern bypass; and corn, milo, safflower, beans,  
9 tomatoes, and sudan grass in the southern bypass. Waterfowl hunting areas are  
10 generally located in the southern bypass, and include rice fields, permanent open  
11 water, or a mixture of water and upland habitat. The USACE has developed  
12 criteria for managing emergent vegetation (e.g., cattails and bulrushes) in the  
13 Yolo Bypass to maintain flood capacity, including no more than 5 percent of the  
14 vegetation in seasonal wetlands can be emergent wetlands; no more than  
15 50 percent of the vegetation in permanent wetlands can be emergent wetlands;  
16 and riparian vegetation can only occur in specified areas to maintain flood  
17 capacity (DFG and Yolo Basin Foundation 2008).

18 The Yolo Bypass supports several major terrestrial vegetation types, including  
19 riparian woodland, valley oak woodland, open water, and wetland. Historically,  
20 riparian woodland and freshwater wetland were the dominant habitat types in the  
21 Yolo Basin (CALFED et al. 2001; USFWS 2002). Currently, riparian woodland  
22 and associated riparian scrub habitats are primarily found adjacent to Green's  
23 Lake, Putah Creek, and along the East Toe Drain within the Yolo Bypass Wildlife  
24 Area. Riparian woodland is a tree-dominated community found adjacent to  
25 riparian scrub on older river terraces where flooding frequency and duration is  
26 less. Riparian woodlands include Fremont cottonwood, valley oak, sycamore,  
27 willow, eucalyptus, giant reed, and black oak. The understory is typically sparse  
28 in this community with limited areas of California grape, blackberry, poison oak,  
29 mugwort, grasses, and forbs. The woodland canopy provides habitat for hawks,  
30 owls, American Crow, Great Egret, Great Blue Heron, Red-Tailed Kite, Yellow-  
31 Rumped Warbler, Black Phoebe, woodpecker, Wood Duck, bat, and raccoon.

32 Riparian scrub is a shrub-dominated community typically found along stream  
33 margins and in the streambed, on gravel bars and similar formations (CALFED  
34 et al. 2001; USFWS 2002). This community is typically dominated by  
35 phreatophytes (i.e., deep-rooted plants that obtain their water from the water table  
36 or the layer of soil just above it), such as willows, and other plants representative  
37 of early- to mid-successional stage vegetation communities within riparian areas  
38 in the Central Valley. The species include alder, elderberry, cottonwood, wild  
39 rose, blackberry, and boxelder. This habitat supports Black-Crowned Night  
40 Heron, Snowy Egret, Belted Kingfisher, Black Phoebe, Swallow, and bat.  
41 Riparian scrub habitat frequently occurs adjacent to nonwoody riparian habitat,  
42 including false bamboo, cocklebur, weedy annual grasses, sedges, rushes,  
43 mustard, sweet clover, thistle, and other weedy species. The nonwoody riparian  
44 habitat supports Savannah Sparrow, House Finch, American Goldfinch,  
45 California Ground Squirrel, Gopher Snake, and pond turtle.

1 Remnants of valley oak woodlands and savanna occur on floodplain terraces in  
2 fragmented areas, including downstream of Fremont Weir and along the southern  
3 portion of the Toe Drain (CALFED et al. 2001). The habitat also includes  
4 sycamore, black walnut, wild grape, poison oak, elderberry, blackberry, grass,  
5 and sedge.

6 Depending on the duration of inundation, local soil factors, site history, and other  
7 characteristics, seasonal wetlands typically are dominated by species  
8 characteristic of one of three natural wetland communities: freshwater marshes,  
9 alkali marshes, or freshwater seasonal (often disturbed) wetlands (CALFED et al.  
10 2001). Freshwater marsh communities are typically found in areas subjected to  
11 prolonged flooding during the winter months, and frequently do not dry down  
12 until early summer. Permanent open water is found throughout the Yolo Bypass,  
13 including Gray's Bend near Fremont Weir, Green's Lake near Interstate 80, ponds  
14 in the Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area, along Cache and Prospect sloughs, and within  
15 canals and drainage ditches. The wetlands support duck breeding habitat; and  
16 habitat for many lifestages of grebe, ibis, heron, egret, bittern, coot, rails, raptors,  
17 muskrat, raccoon, opossum, beaver, Ring-Necked Pheasant, garter snake, Pacific  
18 Tree Frog, and bullfrog.

19 Managed wetlands in the Yolo Bypass occur near Fremont Weir, in the  
20 16,770-acre Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area, and within and near Cache Slough. The  
21 managed wetlands are generally flooded in the fall, with standing water  
22 maintained continuously throughout the winter until drawdown occurs in the  
23 following spring (CALFED et al. 2001; DFG and Yolo Basin Foundation 2008).  
24 A primary objective of seasonal wetland management is to provide an abundance  
25 and diversity of seeds, aquatic invertebrates, and other foods for wintering  
26 waterfowl and other wildlife. The wetlands also are managed to control the extent  
27 of tules and cattails; and more recently, water hyacinth. A portion of the managed  
28 wetlands occur within rice fields which are flooded in the winter to provide  
29 waterfowl habitat for feeding and resting habitats. A variety of annual plants  
30 germinate on the exposed mudflats of seasonal wetlands during the spring draw  
31 down, including swamp timothy, watergrass, smartweed, and cocklebur. These  
32 plants are then managed through the timing, duration or absence of summer  
33 irrigation. The mudflats support sandpiper, plover, avocet, stilt, and other  
34 shorebirds.

35 Managed semi-permanent wetlands, commonly referred to as "brood ponds," are  
36 flooded during the spring and summer, but may experience a 2 to 6 month dry  
37 period each year. These semi-permanent wetlands provide breeding ducks,  
38 ducklings, and other wetland wildlife with protection from predators and  
39 abundant invertebrate food supplies (DFG and Yolo Basin Foundation 2008).  
40 Permanent wetlands remain flooded throughout the year. Due to year-round  
41 flooding, permanent wetlands support a diverse, but usually not abundant,  
42 population of invertebrates. Permanent managed wetlands provide deep water  
43 habitat for diving ducks, such as Ruddy Duck, Scaup, and Goldeneye; and other  
44 water birds, including Pied-Billed Grebe, coot, and moorhen. They often have  
45 dense emergent cover on their edges that is the preferred breeding habitat for

1 Marsh Wren and Red-Winged Blackbird; and roosting habitat for Black-Crowned  
2 Night Heron, White-Faced Ibis, and egret.

3 The managed wetlands are operated by private hunting clubs; private conservation  
4 entities, including conservation banks; and the Federal and state governments  
5 (CALFED et al. 2001). Some of the hunting clubs have implemented wetland  
6 management agreements with CDFW under the State Presley Program or Wetland  
7 Easement Program to coordinate the timing and patterns of flooding, drawdowns,  
8 irrigation, soil disturbance, and maintenance of brood habitat. The patterns may  
9 be adjusted annually to respond to specific wildlife and hydrologic needs. A  
10 similar program focused on providing spring habitat for breeding is provided by  
11 the Federal Waterbank Program.

12 Habitat in the Yolo Bypass is affected by periodic flooding (CALFED et al.  
13 2001). Following a flood, roads, canals, and ditches may need to be excavated;  
14 debris needs to be removed from habitat, and water delivery facilities may need to  
15 be repaired. Flooding also disrupts nesting and resting activities of birds. During  
16 floods, hunting activities are diminished or ceased.

#### 17 **10.3.3.4.3 Agricultural Lands in the Delta, Suisun Marsh, and Yolo Bypass**

18 Major crops and cover types in agricultural production in the Delta and Suisun  
19 Marsh include small grains (wheat and barley), field crops (corn, sorghum, and  
20 safflower), truck crops (tomato and sugar beet), forage crops (hay and alfalfa),  
21 pastures, orchards, and vineyards. The distribution of seasonal crops varies  
22 annually, depending on crop rotation patterns and market forces. In many areas,  
23 cropping practices result in monotypic stands of vegetation for the growing  
24 season and bare ground in fall and winter. Some farmland is more intensively  
25 managed to provide wildlife habitat in addition to crops. Regular maintenance of  
26 fallow fields, roads, ditches, and levee slopes can reduce the establishment of  
27 ruderal vegetation or native plant communities.

28 Agriculture has been present in the Yolo Bypass since the seasonal wetlands and  
29 perennial marsh and riparian areas were first converted to farms in the mid-1800s.  
30 For many years, grazing was the primary use of agricultural lands in the Yolo  
31 Bypass. In the latter part of the 20th century, irrigation systems were developed  
32 and fields were engineered for the production of row crops (DFG and Yolo Basin  
33 Foundation 2008). Periodic flooding of the bypass limits the types of crops that  
34 can be grown. The Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area utilizes agriculture to manage  
35 habitats while providing income for the management and operation of the  
36 property. Working with local farmers, the Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area provides  
37 fields of milo, corn, and Sudan grass specifically for wildlife forage. Rice is  
38 grown, harvested, and flooded to provide food for thousands of waterfowl. Corn  
39 fields are harvested to provide forage for geese and cranes. Crops such as  
40 safflower are cultivated and mowed to provide seed for upland species such as  
41 Ring-Necked Pheasant and Mourning Dove. Row and truck crops are grown  
42 across the northern half of the Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area. The primary crops  
43 grown include rice, corn, millet, milo, safflower, sunflower, and tomatoes. These  
44 crops are cultivated during the summer months. From fall to spring, some farmed

1 areas are fallowed and flooded to provide forage for wildlife as well as seasonal  
2 wetland habitat. An extensive area at the southern end of the wildlife area is used  
3 for grazing cattle. Cattle are brought onto the Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area in mid-  
4 spring or early summer after the threat of flooding has passed and are removed by  
5 January. Forage is provided in irrigated pasture, uplands within the bypass and  
6 the annual grassland-vernal pool complex. Alfalfa is only grown in the western  
7 portion of the bypass south of Interstate 80, along with a variety of row crops that  
8 are grown in this region (Yolo County 2013).

#### 9 **10.3.3.4.4 Wildlife Refuges in the Delta, Suisun Marsh, and Yolo Bypass**

10 A number of wildlife areas that could be affected by changes in long-term  
11 operations of CVP and SWP are located in the Delta, Suisun Marsh, and Yolo  
12 Bypass. Conditions in the Yolo Bypass, including the Yolo Bypass Wildlife  
13 Area, are described above and not repeated in this subsection.

#### 14 *Stone Lakes National Wildlife Refuge*

15 The Stone Lakes NWR is located in the Beach-Stone Lakes Basin about 10 miles  
16 south of the city of Sacramento. It was established in 1994 and the refuge area is  
17 approximately 18,000 acres, of which about 9,000 acres is in a core refuge area  
18 owned by the USFWS and an approximately 9,000-acres “Cooperative Wildlife  
19 Management Area” where the USFWS seeks to enter into cooperative agreements  
20 or purchase conservation easements from willing landowners. The USFWS  
21 actively manages around 6,000 acres on the refuge (USFWS 2007).

22 The refuge vegetative communities include agricultural lands, open water,  
23 perennial freshwater wetlands, cottonwood-willow riparian, irrigated pasture and  
24 wet meadow, managed permanent and seasonal wetland, orchards, riparian scrub,  
25 upland forest, valley oak riparian woodland, vernal pool, and grasslands that  
26 facilitate wildlife movement and help compensate for habitat fragmentation and  
27 buffers the effects of urbanization on agricultural lands in the Delta region  
28 (USFWS 2007).

29 The diverse vegetation provides habitat for a wide ranges of mammals, birds,  
30 reptiles, and amphibians similar to those described for other sections of the  
31 Sacramento Valley (USFWS 2007). The grasslands, pastures, woodlands support  
32 White-Faced Ibis, Geese, Black-Bellied Plover, Great Blue Heron, Great Egret,  
33 Greater Sand Hill Crane, Northern Harrier, White-Tailed Kite, Red-Shouldered  
34 Hawk, Swainson’s Hawk, Great Horned Owl, Barn Owl, Bald Eagle, Golden  
35 Eagle, American Kestrel, Prarie Falcon, Tree Swallow, Barn Swallow, Cliff  
36 Swallow, songbirds, and birds that use the grasslands, including killdeer, Ring-  
37 Necked Pheasant, Burrowing Owl, Mourning Dove, Brewer’s Blackbird, and  
38 Turkey Vulture. The waterfowl species include Tundra Swan, White-Fronted  
39 Goose, Snow Goose, Canada Goose, Mallard, Northern Pintail, Northern  
40 Shoveler, Cinnamon Teal, Green-Winged Teal, Wood, and Ruddy ducks. The  
41 wetland areas also support Common Yellowthroat, Red-Winged Blackbird, Marsh  
42 Wren, coot, Cormorant, and American White Pelican. Other wildlife species on  
43 this refuge include coyote, Deer Mouse, Pocket Gopher, Black Tailed Hare,  
44 California Vole, California Ground Squirrel, Pacific Tree Frog, bullfrog, pond



1 turtle, Pond Slider Turtle, Western Fence Lizard, Western Terrestrial Garter  
2 Snake, Gopher Snake, Common Garter Snake, California King Snake, and  
3 Western Toad.

4 The riparian cottonwood forests include Fremont cottonwood, Gooding's willow,  
5 California grape, California boxelder, California blackberry, white-stemmed  
6 raspberry, buttonbush, and blue elderberry. The mixed riparian forest includes  
7 valley oak with vegetation similar to the riparian cottonwood forest but at lower  
8 densities. The valley oak riparian forest is dominated by valley oak, Oregon ash,  
9 California sycamore, and California black walnut with an understory of grasses,  
10 vines, and shrubs, including California blackberry and wild rose. The perennial  
11 wetlands include cattails, tules, cottonwood, willows, sedges, and rushes with  
12 areas of watergrass, smartweed, and swamp timothy that also occur in seasonal  
13 wetlands. The riparian vegetation provides vast amounts of insects, perches, and  
14 cover to support the wide range of bird species, the valley oak woodlands provide  
15 acorns, insects, and perch and nesting sites. The wetland sites provide foraging  
16 opportunities for waterbirds and upland species.

#### 17 *Miner Slough Wildlife Area*

18 The Miner Slough Wildlife Area within the Delta is about 10 miles north of Rio  
19 Vista at the junction of Miner and Cache sloughs and is accessed by boat (CDFW  
20 2014d). The 37-acre Wildlife Area includes approximately 10 acres of tidal  
21 wetlands which become a narrow peninsula extending from Prospect Island at low  
22 tide. The riparian vegetation of willow, cottonwood, tules, and blackberry  
23 support a wide range of wildlife species including beaver, black-crowned night  
24 heron, and waterfowl.

#### 25 *Decker Island Wildlife Area*

26 Decker Island is a 648-acre island located about 20 feet above sea level  
27 surrounded by the Sacramento River and Horseshoe Bend in the Delta just south  
28 of Rio Vista (DWR 2003; Philipp 2005). The island was created between 1917  
29 and 1937 as part of the actions to implement the Sacramento Deep Water Ship  
30 Channel, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies.  
31 CDFW owns the northernmost 33 acres of Decker Island and has been working  
32 with the California Department of Water Resources (DWR) to reestablish and  
33 enhance wetland and upland habitats. The vegetation includes shallow water  
34 channels lined with thick stands of tules, sedges, willow, and alder. Many  
35 mammal species have been observed, including river otter, mink, beaver, coyote,  
36 mice, and voles. Various species of raptors, waterfowl, songbirds, and shorebirds  
37 have also been observed. Amphibians and reptiles such as Pacific Tree Frog,  
38 Western Fence Lizard, and Gopher Snake have been seen. Invasive plants such as  
39 perennial pepperweed, yellow star thistle, water hyacinth, Brazilian water weed  
40 and Egeria continue to pose a threat to restoration efforts.

#### 41 *Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area*

42 The Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area occupies roughly 3,100 acres, primarily  
43 marsh and open water, at the confluence of the Sacramento and San Joaquin  
44 Rivers in the western Delta (DFG 2007). Riparian vegetation is characterized by

1 narrow linear strips of trees and shrubs, in single-to multiple story canopies.  
2 Riparian vegetation primarily occurs along the historic levees above elevations  
3 that support tidal marsh. Native woody plant species occurring in the riparian  
4 strip include Fremont cottonwood, willow, red alder, and California wild rose.  
5 The invasive nonnative, Himalayan blackberry infests many of these areas.  
6 Marsh vegetation includes both emergent marsh and areas of floating aquatic  
7 vegetation. Most emergent marsh is dominated by bulrush, cattail, and common  
8 reed. In the northwestern portion of Lower Sherman Island, there is also upper  
9 elevation marsh dominated by pickleweed and saltgrass. Grasslands are  
10 dominated by annual grasses, but also include many perennial species that are  
11 also typical in seasonal wetlands. Pampas grass and perennial pepperweed,  
12 two invasive nonnative species are also found in the grassland areas.

13 At the Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area, habitat exists for a wide variety of  
14 wildlife species, including numerous bird species, mammals, reptiles, and  
15 amphibians (DFG 2007). Many of the bird species that occur in the wildlife area  
16 are migratory and are there only, or primarily, during the fall and winter months.  
17 Wintering birds include waterfowl, shorebirds, wading birds, and raptors. Other  
18 groups that utilize the wildlife area seasonally include upland game species,  
19 cavity-nesting birds, and neotropical migratory birds. Typical mammal species  
20 found in the upland grassland and disturbed areas of the wildlife area include  
21 Striped Skunk, raccoon, squirrel, voles, Pocket Gopher, feral cats, fox, and  
22 coyote. Muskrat and beaver may be found in the marsh vegetation. Typical  
23 reptiles and amphibians include Western Fence Lizard, snake, frog, and toad.

#### 24 *Rhode Island Wildlife Area*

25 Rhode Island Wildlife Area is a 67-acre island, located in Contra Costa County  
26 that is managed by CDFW (CDFW 2014e). The vegetation along the perimeter of  
27 the island includes alder, willow, blackberry, and tule. The interior open water  
28 areas include marsh vegetation of tule and cattail. The island provides habitat for  
29 river otters, beaver, muskrat, and many species of birds including Great Blue  
30 Heron; Black-Crowned Night Heron; egrets; and Mallard, Cinnamon Teal, and  
31 Wood ducks.

#### 32 *White Slough Wildlife Area*

33 The White Slough Wildlife Area, west of Lodi and north of Stockton, is an  
34 880-acre area refuge with open water, freshwater marsh, grassland/upland area,  
35 and riparian habitats (CDFW 2014f). The area supports upland game birds such  
36 as Ring-Necked Pheasant, California Quail, Mourning Dove, and a range of  
37 waterfowl species similar to those described for the Delta and Yolo Bypass.

#### 38 *Hill Slough Wildlife Area*

39 Hill Slough Wildlife Area, located in the northern part of Suisun Marsh, is  
40 operated by CDFW and contains 1,723 acres of saltwater tidal marsh, managed  
41 marshes, slough, and upland grassland (CDFW 2014g). The area supports a wide  
42 variety of waterfowl, including Northern Pintail, Mallard, Northern Shoveler, and  
43 Green-Winged Teal ducks; and American wigeon. Ferruginous Hawks and  
44 Rough-Legged Hawks winter in the area while year-round residents such as

1 Golden Eagle, Northern Harrier, and Red-Tailed Hawk which forage over the  
2 ponds and upland areas. Mammals including raccoon, jackrabbit, and voles are  
3 found here and are preyed upon by the coyotes that hunt and live in the wildlife  
4 area.

5 *Grizzly Island Wildlife Area*

6 Grizzly Island Wildlife Area is administered by CDFW and consists  
7 approximately 15,300 acres of tidal wetlands and managed marshes within Suisun  
8 Marsh (CDFW 2014h, 2014i). The CDFW manages waterways to create more  
9 than 8,500 acres of seasonal ponds containing alkali bulrush and fat-hen. Grizzly  
10 Island Wildlife Area includes habitats that support Northern Pintail Duck, Green-  
11 Winged Teal Duck, American Widgeon, Tule Goose, egret, Great Blue Heron,  
12 Snowy Egret, Black-Crowned Night Heron, Yellowthroat, Marsh Wren, Suisun  
13 Song Sparrow, American White Pelican, Ferruginous Hawk, Sharp-Shinned  
14 Hawk, white Tailed Kite, Red-Tailed Hawk, Prairie Falcon, Peregrine Falcon,  
15 Northern Harrier, and Short-Eared Owl. The Grizzly Island Wildlife Area also  
16 supports mammals, including Plush River Otter and Tule Elk.

17 *Point Edith Wildlife Area*

18 Point Edith Wildlife Area is located in Contra Costa County, approximately  
19 2.5 miles east of Martinez. The Point Edith Wildlife Area includes approximately  
20 760 acres of marshes which is accessed by boat. The habitat includes open water  
21 and tidal wetlands that support waterfowl, including coot and moorhen (CDFW  
22 2014j).

23 *Fremont Weir Wildlife Area*

24 The Fremont Weir Wildlife Area is located within the Yolo Bypass from the  
25 Sacramento River to downstream of the Fremont Weir. During high flows, water  
26 from the Sacramento River flows into the Yolo Bypass over the Fremont Weir as  
27 part of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project, as described in Chapter 5,  
28 Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies. The 1,461-acre refuge includes  
29 valley oak, willow, cottonwood, brush, and weedy vegetation (CDFW 2014k).  
30 The area supports pheasant, Valley Quail, Mourning Dove, a range of waterfowl  
31 species similar to those described for the Yolo Bypass, Cottontail Rabbit, and  
32 jackrabbit.

33 *Sacramento Bypass Wildlife Area*

34 The Sacramento Bypass Wildlife Area is located along a channel that connects the  
35 Sacramento River to the Yolo Bypass. During high flows, water from the  
36 Sacramento River flows into the Yolo Bypass through the Sacramento Bypass as  
37 part of the Sacramento River Flood Control Project, as described in Chapter 5,  
38 Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies. The 360-acre refuge includes  
39 valley oak, willow, cottonwood, and weedy vegetation (CDFW 2014l). The area  
40 supports raptors, songbirds, pheasant, Mourning Dove, and a range of mammal  
41 species similar to those described for the Yolo Bypass.

1 *Calhoun Cut Ecological Reserve*

2 The Calhoun Cut Ecological Reserve is located within the Cache Slough area and  
3 is only accessed by boat through Lindsay Slough (CDFW 2014m). Vegetation in  
4 Calhoun Cut includes grasslands, marshes, and riparian vegetation (Witham and  
5 Karacfelas 1994). The grasslands include native purple needlegrass grasslands  
6 and vernal pools.

7 **10.3.4 San Francisco Bay Area Region**

8 The San Francisco Bay Area Region includes portions of Contra Costa, Alameda,  
9 Santa Clara, San Benito, and Napa counties that are within the CVP and SWP  
10 service areas. The CVP and SWP water supplies are used in the San Francisco  
11 Bay Region by Contra Costa Water District, East Bay Municipal Utility District,  
12 Zone 7 Water Agency, Alameda County Water District, Santa Clara Valley Water  
13 District, San Benito County Flood Control and Water Conservation District, and  
14 Napa County Flood Control and Water Conservation District. The majority of the  
15 CVP and SWP water uses in the San Francisco Bay Area Region are for  
16 municipal and industrial land uses. Agricultural areas that use CVP and SWP  
17 water are located within coastal valleys, especially within the Livermore-Amador  
18 valleys of Alameda County, southern Santa Clara County, and northern San  
19 Benito County.

20 Many of these agencies store the CVP and/or SWP water supplies in surface  
21 water reservoirs, including CVP Contra Loma and San Justo reservoirs; the SWP  
22 Bethany Reservoir and Lake Del Valle; the Contra Costa Water District Los  
23 Vaqueros Reservoir; and the East Bay Municipal Utility District Upper San  
24 Leandro, San Pablo, Briones, and Lafayette reservoirs and Lake Chabot. CVP  
25 and SWP are generally not stored in reservoirs within Santa Clara County  
26 (SCVWD 2010). Operation of the reservoirs is dependent upon the volume of  
27 CVP and/or SWP water blended with other water supplies used by these agencies.  
28 Surface water streams are not used to convey the water from the CVP and/or SWP  
29 facilities to the reservoirs. As described in subsection 10.3.2, Overview of  
30 Species with Special Status, A listing of wildlife and plant species with special  
31 status that occur or may occur in portions of the Study Area affected by the long-  
32 term coordinated operation of the CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

33 The USFWS has approved two habitat conservation plans in the areas served by  
34 CVP and SWP water supplies, including the East Contra Costa County Habitat  
35 Conservation Plan/Natural Community Conservation Plan and the Santa Clara  
36 Valley Habitat Plan (ECCCHCPA 2006; Reclamation et al. 2009; Santa Clara  
37 County et al. 2012).

38 **10.3.4.1 Central Valley Project Reservoirs**

39 The CVP reservoirs in the San Francisco Bay Area Region include Contra Loma  
40 and San Justo reservoirs.

1 **10.3.4.1.1 Contra Loma Reservoir**

2 The Contra Loma Reservoir is a CVP facility in Contra Costa County that  
 3 provides offstream storage along the Contra Costa Canal, as described in  
 4 Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies. The 80-acre reservoir is  
 5 part of 661-acre Contra Loma Regional Park and Antioch Community Park  
 6 (Reclamation 2014a). The Contra Loma Reservoir area includes open space and  
 7 recreation facilities. In the open space, vegetative communities include  
 8 grasslands, blue oak woodland, valley foothill riparian, fresh emergent wetlands,  
 9 riverine, and open water communities. The annual grasslands include smooth  
 10 brome, slender wild oats, Italian ryegrass, yellow star thistle, white-stem filaree,  
 11 and mouse-ear chickweed. Valley foothill riparian occurs along intermittent  
 12 streams and includes valley oaks, cottonwoods, red willows, Himalayan  
 13 blackberry, poison oak, and mulefat. The riverine and fresh emergent wetland  
 14 communities include ryegrass, curly dock, hyssop, loosestrife, Baltic rush,  
 15 flowering quillwort, cattails, rushes, dallisgrass, nutsedge, and cocklebur.  
 16 Watermilfoil occurs along portions of the shoreline. Recreation areas include  
 17 urban trees with Oregon ash, black walnut, Fremont cottonwood, blue oak, valley  
 18 oak, interior live oak, fig, and eucalyptus. East Bay Regional Parks District has  
 19 initiated restoration actions to improve native grasslands and riparian and provide  
 20 habitat for quail.

21 Wildlife in the grasslands areas include Burrowing Owl, Horned Lark, Western  
 22 Meadowlark, Turkey Vulture, Northern Harrier, American Kestrel, White-Tailed  
 23 Kite, Red-Tailed Hawk, Brewer's Blackbird, Mourning Dove, Western Fence  
 24 Lizard, Common Garter Snake, Western Rattlesnake, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit,  
 25 California Ground Squirrel, Botta's Pocket Gopher, Western Harvest Mouse,  
 26 California Vole, American Badger, Mule Deer, and coyote (Reclamation 2014a).  
 27 The valley foothill riparian and blue oak woodland vegetation support a wide  
 28 range of birds including Northern Flicker, Yellow Warbler, Acorn Woodpeckers,  
 29 Western Scrub Jay, White-Tailed kite, Cooper's Hawk, Red-Shouldered Hawk,  
 30 American Kestrel, Great Horned Owl, Song Sparrow, Black Phoebe, European  
 31 Starling, Western Bluebird, and Tree Swallow. The valley foothill riparian and  
 32 blue oak woodland vegetation also support Pacific Tree Frog, Red-legged Frog,  
 33 Sharp-Tailed Snake, California Alligator Lizard, Common Garter Snake, Mule  
 34 Deer, Raccoon, Coyote, Striped Skunk, Deer Mouse, Harvest Mouse, Dusky-  
 35 Footed Woodrat, and Gray Fox. Riverine and wetlands, and open water support  
 36 Brewer's Blackbird, Red-Winged Blackbird, Brown-Headed Cowbird, Great Blue  
 37 Heron, Great Egret, ducks, American Coot, Common Merganser, Double-Crested  
 38 Cormorant, American Wigeon, Canada Goose, Western Grebe, and gull; Pacific  
 39 Tree Frog, Red-legged Frog, Bullfrog, California Tiger Salamander, Western  
 40 Pond Turtle, Western Toad, and Garter Snake; Deer Mouse, California Vole,  
 41 Long-Tailed Weasel, and other mammals that use the adjacent woodlands  
 42 and grasslands.

1     **10.3.4.1.2 San Justo Reservoir**

2     The San Justo Reservoir is a CVP facility in San Benito County that provides  
3     offstream storage as part of the San Felipe Division, as described in Chapter 5,  
4     Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies. The reservoir is surrounded by  
5     steep hills with recreational facilities on the northeast side reservoir and  
6     intermittent streams, wetlands, and open water downslope of the reservoir  
7     (SBCWD 2012). Adjacent land uses are dominated by irrigated row crops,  
8     orchards, and rangeland. Vegetation and wildlife resources of the reservoir area  
9     are consistent with grasslands vegetation on uplands.

10    **10.3.4.2 State Water Project Reservoirs**

11    Bethany Reservoir, Patterson Reservoir, and Lake Del Valle are SWP facilities  
12    associated with the South Bay Aqueduct in Alameda County, as described in  
13    Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies.

14    Vegetative communities around Bethany Reservoir are characterized by nonnative  
15    grasses with several areas of woodland habitat (DWR 2014). The grassland  
16    habitat includes slender oat, ripgut brome, soft chess, wild barley, Italian ryegrass,  
17    black mustard, bull thistle, redstem filaree, dissected geranium, English plantain,  
18    and tumble mustard; and forbs, including sweet fennel, Great Valley gumweed,  
19    Mediterranean linseed, and Ithuriel’s spear. The woodland habitat includes white  
20    ironbark, Casuarina, and Bishop pine. Coyote bush occurs along the water edge.  
21    The grasslands provide habitat for Mourning Dove, Western Scrub-Jay, Finches,  
22    Sparrows, Owls, Hawks, California Ground Squirrel, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit,  
23    Audubon’s Cottontail, Botta’s Pocket Gopher, California vole, mice, frogs, toads,  
24    salamanders, snakes, lizards, and turtles. The woodlands support Red-Tailed  
25    Hawk, Osprey, Owls, Black Phoebe, Bullock’s Oriole, Yellow Warbler,  
26    amphibians and reptiles, and coyote. Emergent vegetation does not occur along  
27    the shoreline at Bethany Reservoir (DWR 2005).

28    Patterson Reservoir is a small, 100-acre-foot, SWP reservoir located along the  
29    South Bay Aqueduct between Bethany Reservoir and Lake Del Valle. Vegetation  
30    around Patterson Reservoir is characterized by grasslands and upland habitat.  
31    Red-legged Frog has been observed in the vicinity of Patterson Reservoir (DWR  
32    2014).

33    Lake Del Valle is a 77,100 acre-foot SWP facility located along the South Bay  
34    Aqueduct (DWR 2001). Vegetation around Lake Del Valle includes grasslands,  
35    chaparral, shrub, oak woodland, and riparian and freshwater habitats (EBRPD  
36    1996, 2001, 2012, 2013). The grasslands include nonnative grasses and native  
37    perennial bunchgrass. The nonnative grasslands include grasses such as wild  
38    oats, bromes, ryegrass, wild barley, silver hairgrass, and dogtail grass; forbs,  
39    including filaree, clover, and plantain; and lupine, yarrow, and soap plant. Native  
40    grasses include annual and perennial fescues, needlegrass, wild ryes, junegrass,  
41    and California brome. The coastal scrub and chaparral vegetation includes  
42    coyote brush-scrub, California sagebrush, manzanita, black sage, cream bush,  
43    California coffeeberry, yerba santa, blackberry, bush monkeyflower, and poison  
44    oak. The oak woodlands and riparian woodlands include coast live oak, black

1 oak, valley oak, scrub oak, California bay, and California buckeye. Mixed  
 2 deciduous riparian woodland occur along perennial streams, including white  
 3 alder, big-leaf maple, western sycamore, willow, and Fremont cottonwood.  
 4 Along springs and seeps, the vegetation includes rabbitsfoot grass, saltgrass,  
 5 bentgrasses, rushes, tules, sedges, horsetails, and cattail, buttercup, brass-button,  
 6 mint, duckweed, pondweed, and ferns.

#### 7 **10.3.4.3 Contra Costa Water District Los Vaqueros Reservoir**

8 Los Vaqueros Reservoir is a Contra Costa Water District offstream storage  
 9 facility in Contra Costa County, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water  
 10 Resources and Water Supplies. The area around the Los Vaqueros reservoir  
 11 includes grasslands, upland scrub, valley and foothill woodlands, freshwater  
 12 wetlands, and open water habitats (Reclamation et al. 2009). The grasslands  
 13 include perennial and alkali habitats with wild oats, riggut brome, yellow star  
 14 thistle, fescue, filaree, mustard, fiddleneck, lupine, popcorn flower, and California  
 15 poppy. The grasslands support Northern Harrier, Burrowing Owl, Western  
 16 Meadowlark, California Horned Lark, Turkey Vulture, Red-Tailed Hawk,  
 17 American Kestrel, White-Tailed Kite, Western Fence Lizard, Common Garter  
 18 Snake, Western Rattlesnake, California Tiger Salamander, Western Harvest  
 19 Mouse, California Ground Squirrel, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit, Black-Tailed Deer,  
 20 and San Joaquin Kit Fox.

21 The upland scrub habitat is dominated by evergreen chaparral species and coastal  
 22 scrub, including chamise, California sagebrush, black sage, poison oak, bush  
 23 monkeyflower, and California buckwheat underlain by annual grasses and purple  
 24 needlegrass (Reclamation et al. 2009). This habitat supports California Quail,  
 25 Western Scrub-Jay, Bushtit, California Thrasher, Spotted Towhee, Sage Sparrow,  
 26 Western Fence Lizard, Common Garter Snake, Common King Snake, Western  
 27 Rattlesnake, California Mouse, Deer Mouse, and feral pig.

28 The valley and foothill woodlands and riparian woodlands includes willow,  
 29 Fremont cottonwood, valley oak, sycamore, black walnut, California buckeye,  
 30 Mexican elderberry, and Himalayan blackberry which occur along much of  
 31 Kellogg Creek (Reclamation et al. 2009). This habitat supports many birds,  
 32 reptiles, amphibians, and mammals, including red-legged frog. The freshwater  
 33 emergent habitat includes meadows with wetland species and stream channels.  
 34 The vegetation includes tules, bulrushes, and cattail. Wildlife that occurs in this  
 35 area include Marsh Wren, Common Yellowthroat, Red-Winged Blackbird, Red-  
 36 legged Frog, and Western Pond Turtle. The open water habitat of the Los  
 37 Vaqueros Reservoir provides forage, winter, and brood habitat for Canada Goose;  
 38 American Wigeon; Wood., Gadwall, Mallard, Northern Shoveler, Northern  
 39 Pintail, Green-Winged Teal, Canvasback, Redhead, Ring-Necked, Greater Scaup,  
 40 Lesser Scaup, Bufflehead, Common Goldeneye, Hooded Merganser, Common  
 41 Merganser, and Ruddy ducks; and other habitat values for grebe, sandpiper,  
 42 pelican, cormorant, egret, heron, and gull.

1     **10.3.4.4   East Bay Municipal Utility District Reservoirs**

2     The East Bay Municipal Utility District reservoirs in Alameda and Contra Costa  
3     County used to store water within and near the East Bay Municipal Utility District  
4     service area include Briones Reservoir, San Pablo Reservoir, Lafayette Reservoir,  
5     Upper San Leandro Reservoir, and Lake Chabot. Water stored in these reservoirs  
6     includes water from local watersheds, the Mokelumne River watershed, and  
7     CVP water supplies, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and  
8     Water Supplies.

9     The Briones Reservoir watershed is characterized by grasslands, chaparral,  
10    coastal scrub, oak and bay woodlands, riparian, and freshwater wetlands  
11    (EBMUD 1999; EBRPD 1996, 2001, 2013). The San Pablo Reservoir watershed  
12    is characterized by grasslands, hardwood forest, coastal scrub, Monterey pine  
13    planted along the reservoir shoreline, riparian woodland, and eucalyptus. The  
14    Lafayette Reservoir watershed is characterized by grasslands, oak and bay  
15    woodland, and coastal scrub. The Upper San Leandro Reservoir watershed  
16    includes grasslands, chamise-black sage chaparral, coastal scrub, oak and bay  
17    woodland, redwood forest, knobcone forest with a dense manzanita understory,  
18    and an 18-acre freshwater marsh. The Lake Chabot watershed includes  
19    grasslands, coastal scrub, oak and bay woodland, and riparian and freshwater  
20    vegetation.

21    The grasslands vegetative communities generally include nonnative grasses and  
22    native perennial bunchgrass (EBMUD 1999; EBRPD 1996, 2001). The nonnative  
23    grasslands include grasses such as wild oat, bromegrass, ryegrass, wild barley,  
24    bluegrass, silver hairgrass, and dogtail grass; forbs, including filaree, bur clover,  
25    clovers, owls clover, cat's ear, and English plantain; and brodiaeas, lupine,  
26    mariposa lilies, mule's ear, yarrow, farewell to spring, and soap plant. Native  
27    grasses include annual and perennial fescues, needlegrass, wild rye, California  
28    oatgrass, junegrass, bluegrass, squirreltail, meadow barley, and California  
29    bromegrass. Grasslands are used by wildlife similar to those described for other  
30    San Francisco Bay Area reservoirs, including hawks, owls, shrikes, swallows,  
31    turkey vulture, reptiles, coyote, fox, bobcat, and mice.

32    The coastal scrub and chaparral vegetation includes coyote brush-scrub,  
33    California sagebrush, bitter cherry scrub, manzanita, chamise-black sage, cream  
34    bush, California coffeeberry, wild lilac, yerba santa, blackberry, bush  
35    monkeyflower, and poison oak (EBMUD 1999; EBRPD 1996, 2001). The  
36    woodlands include native and nonnative plants. The native redwood and  
37    knobcone pine forests are located at Upper San Leandro Reservoir and provide  
38    unique habitat. Nonnative eucalyptus and Monterey pine forests occur at San  
39    Pablo Reservoir and Lake Chabot. The eucalyptus trees provide specific habitat  
40    for hummingbird, Bald Eagle, Great Blue Heron, and Great Egret. The oak and  
41    bay woodlands and oak savannas include coast live oak, black oak, valley oak,  
42    blue oak, interior live oak, canyon live oak, California bay, California buckeye,  
43    and madrone.



1 Mixed deciduous riparian woodland occur along perennial streams, including  
 2 white alder, big-leaf maple, western sycamore, Fremont cottonwood, and black  
 3 cottonwood that supports frogs, newts, and other amphibians; coast live oak,  
 4 California bay, and willow woodlands on steep slopes along intermittent streams;  
 5 and willow riparian scrub along perennial and intermittent streams (EBMUD  
 6 1999; EBRPD 1996, 2001). Along springs and seeps, the vegetation includes  
 7 grasses, includes rabbitsfoot grass, saltgrass, bentgrasses, rushes, tules, sedges,  
 8 horsetails, and cattail; and forbs includes buttercup, watercress, stinging nettle,  
 9 brass-buttons, mints, duckweed, and pondweed.

### 10 **10.3.5 Central Coast Region**

11 The Central Coast Region includes portions of San Luis Obispo and Santa  
 12 Barbara counties served by the SWP. The SWP water is provided to the Central  
 13 Coast Region by the Central Coast Water Authority (CCWA 2013). The facilities  
 14 divert water from the SWP California Aqueduct at Devil's Den and convey the  
 15 water to a water treatment plant at Polonto Pass. The treated water is conveyed to  
 16 municipal water users in San Luis Obispo and Santa Barbara counties to reduce  
 17 groundwater overdraft in these areas. Water is delivered to southern Santa  
 18 Barbara County communities through Cachuma Lake.

19 As described in subsection 10.3.2, Overview of Species with Special Status, A  
 20 listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur in  
 21 portions of the Study Area affected by the long-term coordinated operation of the  
 22 CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

#### 23 **10.3.5.1 Cachuma Lake**

24 Cachuma Lake is a facility owned and operated by Reclamation in Santa Barbara  
 25 County, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies.  
 26 The Cachuma Lake watershed is located in the Coast Range and extends into the  
 27 Los Padres National Forest. The primary habitats include hardwood woodland,  
 28 chaparral, coastal sage scrub, nonnative grassland, and riparian woodland and  
 29 scrub (Reclamation 2010c). The hardwood woodlands includes oak woodland,  
 30 oak savannah, and pine woodland with blue oak, coast live oak, gray pine, skunk  
 31 brush, and poison oak. The chaparral and coastal sage scrub includes mountain  
 32 mahogany, greenbark ceonothus, blue oak, interior live oak, scrub oak, holly leaf  
 33 redberry, buck brush, toyon, chaparral mallow, chamise, California sage brush,  
 34 purple sage, deer weed, and coyote brush-scrub with understory of grasses and  
 35 forbs. Birds that use the hardwood woodlands and savannah include Turkey  
 36 Vulture; raptors including Red-Tailed Hawk and Bald Eagle; woodpecker,  
 37 California Quail, Rufous-Crowned Sparrow, wrenit, California Thrasher, and  
 38 Spotted Towhee. Nonnative grasslands are dominated by rip-gut brome and dove  
 39 weed. Native grasses include purple needlegrass, blue-eyed grass, Johnny-jump-  
 40 up, Chinese houses, rusty popcorn flower, slender cottonseed, forget-me-not,  
 41 lupine, mountain dandelion, checkerbloom, narrow-leaved milkweed, fleabane,  
 42 vinegar weed, California milkweed, and verbena.

1 Riparian habitat along streams and stream terraces include arroyo willow, red  
2 willow, yellow willow, black willow, sycamore, oak, cottonwood, Pacific  
3 blackberry, California rose, poison oak, elderberry, mulefat, California goldenrod,  
4 California brome, black mustard, mugwort, clover, stinging nettle, red brome, and  
5 California buckwheat (Reclamation 2010c). Habitat near the shoreline of  
6 Cachuma Lake includes willows, tamarisk, cattail, mulefat, and mugwort.  
7 Disturbed lands around the lake are characterized by weedy species, including  
8 yellow star thistle, Spanish broom, tamarisk, giant reed, pampas grass, scotch  
9 broom, veldt grass, perennial pepperweed, red brome, fennel, and cheatgrass.  
10 Marginal vegetation, reedy marshes, and riparian woodland support killdeer,  
11 spotted Sandpiper, Red-Winged Blackbird, Common Yellowthroat, Song  
12 Sparrow, Marsh Wren, Warbling Vireo, Yellow Warbler, Yellow-Breasted Chat,  
13 and Brown-Headed Cowbird. The open water of Cachuma Lake supports diving  
14 birds, including diving duck, American Coot, Pied-Billed Grebe, Western Grebe,  
15 Clark's Grebe, Double-Crested Cormorant, Heron, Egret, pelican, Osprey, and  
16 Bald Eagle. Amphibians and reptiles that occur near Cachuma Lake include  
17 Monterey Salamander, California Slender Salamander, Western Spadefoot,  
18 California Toad, Pacific Tree Frog, Bullfrog, Red-legged Frog, Yellow-Legged  
19 Frog, Southwestern Pond Turtle, Western Skink, and Southern Alligator Lizard.  
20 Mammals which depend upon habitat near Cachuma Lake include bat, hare,  
21 rabbit, pika, bear, coyote, fox, weasel, raccoon, cats, chipmunk, squirrel, marmot,  
22 shrew, mice, rat, mule deer, and feral pig.

### 23 **10.3.6 Southern California Region**

24 The Southern California Region includes portions of Ventura, Los Angeles,  
25 Orange, San Diego, Riverside, and San Bernardino counties served by the SWP.  
26 The SWP water supplies generally are conveyed to Southern California  
27 municipal, industrial, and agricultural water users in canals and pipelines. There  
28 are six SWP reservoirs along the main canal, West Branch, and East Branch of the  
29 California Aqueduct and many other reservoirs owned and operated by regional  
30 and local agencies. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California's  
31 Diamond Valley Lake and Lake Skinner primarily store water from the SWP.  
32 Other reservoirs store SWP water, including United Water Conservation District's  
33 Lake Piru; City of Escondido's Dixon Lake; City of San Diego's San Vicente  
34 Reservoir and Lower Otay Reservoir; Helix Water District's Lake Jennings; and  
35 Sweetwater Authority's Sweetwater Reservoir.

36 As described in subsection 10.3.2, Overview of Species with Special Status, A  
37 listing of wildlife and plant species with special status that occur or may occur in  
38 portions of the Study Area affected by the long-term coordinated operation of the  
39 CVP and SWP is provided in Appendix 10A.

40 The USFWS has approved several habitat conservation plans in the Southern  
41 California Region within areas served by CVP and SWP water, including the  
42 following plans (County of Orange 1996; Riverside County 2003; Riverside  
43 County Habitat Conservation Agency 2014; SDCWA and USFWS 2010;  
44 San Diego County 2014a, 2014b, 2015; SANDAG 2003; CVAG 2007).

- 1 • County of Orange Central and Coastal Subregion Natural Community  
2 Conservation Plan and Habitat Conservation Plan.
- 3 • Western Riverside County Multiple Species Conservation Plan.
- 4 • Habitat Conservation Plan for the Stephen’s Kangaroo Rat in Western  
5 Riverside County which is administered by the Riverside County Habitat  
6 Conservation Agency for Riverside County and the cities of Corona, Hemet,  
7 Lake Elsinore, Menifee, Moreno Valley, Murrieta, Perris, Riverside,  
8 Temecula, and Vail Lake, and which includes areas around Diamond Valley  
9 Lake and Lake Skinner.
- 10 • San Diego County Water Authority Subregional Natural Community  
11 Conservation Plan/Habitat Conservation Plan (NCCP/HCP).
- 12 • San Diego County Multiple Species Conservation Plan including the initial  
13 area which includes the lands served by the City of San Diego Wastewater  
14 Sewer System; future North County Plan expansion (extends from the areas  
15 near the cities of Oceanside, Encinitas, San Marcos, Vista, and Escondido to  
16 the Cleveland National Forest and Riverside County boundary), and  
17 remaining land within the county (including lands from Alpine east to the  
18 Imperial and Riverside counties boundaries).
- 19 • Multiple Habitat Conservation Program for the cities of Carlsbad, Encinitas,  
20 Escondido, Oceanside, San Marcos, Solana Beach, and Vista.
- 21 • Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan.

22 **10.3.6.1 State Water Project Reservoirs**

23 The SWP reservoirs include Quail Lake, Pyramid Lake, and Castaic Lake in Los  
24 Angeles County; Silverwood Lake and Crafton Hills Reservoir in San Bernardino  
25 County; and Lake Perris in Riverside County, as described in Chapter 5, Surface  
26 Water Resources and Water Supplies.

27 Quail Lake was formed by seismic activity on the San Andres Fault and enlarged  
28 by the Department of Water Resources (DWR) as part of the West Branch of the  
29 SWP (DWR 1997). Quail Lake is bordered by the Tehachapi and Liebre  
30 Mountains. The area is characterized by cottonwood and oak woodlands that  
31 support Crested Sparrow, Red-Winged Blackbird, Golden Eagle, Red-Tailed  
32 Hawk, fox, coyote, deer, squirrel, and Pronghorn Antelope. The open water  
33 habitat support Canada Geese, egrets and Blue Herons

34 Pyramid Lake is located in the Angeles and Los Padres National Forests, as  
35 described in Chapter 15, Recreation Resources. Upland areas around Pyramid  
36 Lake are assumed to be similar to upland areas around Middle Piru Creek  
37 downstream of Pyramid Dam (DWR 2004c). The vegetative communities  
38 include coastal sage scrub and chaparral with oak woodlands and nonnative  
39 grasslands. Water is released from Pyramid Lake to provide habitat flows in Piru  
40 Creek, including flows to support habitat for the Arroyo Toad.

1 Terrestrial resources for Castaic Lake include coastal scrub, red shank-chamise  
2 chaparral, and chaparral scrub (DWR 2007b). Castaic Lagoon is located  
3 immediately downstream of Castaic Dam and is surrounded by coastal scrub.  
4 Vegetation includes pines, eucalyptus, and nonnative and native grasses. The  
5 habitat is used by Western Grebe, Canada Goose, Mallard Duck, gull, American  
6 Coot, Bald Eagle, and Western Mastiff Bat.

7 Silverwood Lake is located in the San Bernardino National Forest and surrounded  
8 by the Silverwood Lake State Recreation Area at the edge of the Mojave Desert  
9 and at the base of the San Bernardino Mountains. The area contains a wide  
10 variety of vegetative communities including live oak and scrub oak woodlands,  
11 ponderosa pine and Douglas-fir forests, mixed scrub, chaparral, and riparian  
12 hardwood (State Parks 2006, 2009). Chamise, interior live oak, manzanita,  
13 mountain mahogany, and ceanothus are found along the shoreline and willow,  
14 alders, and sycamores grow along area streams. The forest, chaparral, and  
15 riparian woodland habitats support a wide variety of small mammals, reptiles, and  
16 amphibians including rabbit, squirrel, woodrat, Western Fence Lizard,  
17 Rattlesnake, Pacific Tree Frog, California Toad, coyote, Mule Deer, bobcat,  
18 beaver, and skunk. The open water supports Great Blue Heron, Western Grebe,  
19 Avocet, Egret, Canada Goose, and ducks. A number of raptors are found around  
20 the lake including Bald Eagle, Osprey, owls, Cooper's Hawk, and Red-Tailed  
21 hawk.

22 The Crafton Hills Reservoir area includes 4.5 acres of open water and 1.9 acres of  
23 open space (DWR 2009b). The open space is characterized by chaparral scrub  
24 and grass species, including chamise, golden yarrow, hoaryleaf ceanothus,  
25 brittlebush, California sagebrush, California buckwheat, deerweed, black sage,  
26 purple needlegrass, heartleaf penstemon, riggut grass, soft chess, foxtail chess,  
27 wild oat, Italian thistle, tocalote, short-pod mustard, and wild oat. The area is  
28 used by Mallard Duck, Killdeer, Red-Tailed Hawk, Cassin's Kingbird, and  
29 Wren; California Toad, Pacific Tree Frog, Western Fence Lizard, Common  
30 Side-Blotched Lizard, and California Kingsnake; and Desert Cottontail, Desert  
31 Woodrat, coyote, raccoon, and bobcat.

32 Lake Perris is located adjacent to the cities of Moreno Valley and Perris and the  
33 Perris Fairgrounds which includes a motor sports complex (DWR 2010a). Lake  
34 Perris is located within the Lake Perris State Recreation Area which provides  
35 extensive recreational opportunities, as described in Chapter 15, Recreation  
36 Resources. The open space areas are characterized by willow and sage scrub,  
37 willow and eucalyptus woodland, and nonnative grassland. The scrub areas  
38 include California sagebrush, lemonadeberry, sugarbush, yellow bush penstemon,  
39 coyote brush, Mexican elderberry, sweetbush, boxthorn, tall prickly-pear,  
40 California buckwheat, red brome, bur ragweed, California aster, riggut brome,  
41 sticky monkeyflower, prickly sow thistle, and Russian thistle. The willow  
42 woodland includes Goodding's black willow, red willow, narrow leaved willow,  
43 Fremont's cottonwood, California sycamore, gooseberry, mulefat, tarragon,  
44 curley dock, ragweed, southwestern spinyrush, and bromes. Eucalyptus  
45 woodland includes eucalyptus underlain by nonnative grassland. Nonnative

1 grasslands includes soft chess, wild oat, foxtail barley, mustard, sweet fennel,  
 2 California sagebrush, and California buckwheat. Habitat has been restored within  
 3 the grasslands to provide habitat for the Stephen's Kangaroo Rat. Mourning  
 4 Dove, Anna's Hummingbird, raven, California Kingsnake, Raccoon, Black-Tailed  
 5 Deer, Striped Skunk, coyote, and bobcat use the shoreline. The woodland is used  
 6 by Ash-Throated Flycatcher, Western Kingbird, Least Bell's Vireo, House Wren,  
 7 California Towhee, Spotted Towhee, Black-Headed Grosbeak, Blue Grosbeak,  
 8 Song Sparrow, Bullock's Oriole, House Finch, Lesser Goldfinch, Nuttall's  
 9 Woodpecker, Red-Tailed Hawk, Red-Shouldered Hawk, Cooper's Hawk,  
 10 Cottontail Rabbit, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit, raccoon, and Long-Tailed Weasel.  
 11 The scrub supports California Quail, Greater Roadrunner, White-Throated Swift,  
 12 Rock Wren, California Towhee, Western Fence Lizard, Gopher Snake, Red  
 13 Diamond Rattlesnake, Southern Pacific Rattlesnake, Side Blotched Lizard,  
 14 Granite Spiny Lizard, Coastal Western Whiptail, Black-Tailed Jackrabbit, bobcat,  
 15 coyote, and rodents.

#### 16 **10.3.6.2 Non-SWP Reservoirs in Riverside County**

17 Non-SWP reservoirs in Riverside County that store SWP water include Diamond  
 18 Valley Lake and Lake Skinner that are owned and operated by Metropolitan  
 19 Water District of Southern California, and Vail Lake that is owned and operated  
 20 by Rancho California Water District, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water  
 21 Resources and Water Supplies.

22 Diamond Valley Lake is located adjacent to the City of Hemet along the northern  
 23 boundary, and adjacent to pasture and dairies along the eastern and western  
 24 boundaries (City of Hemet 2012). Sage scrub and nonnative grasslands occur  
 25 between the lake and the City of Hemet. Chaparral with sage scrub occur along  
 26 the southern boundary of the lake. Riversidean sage scrub includes California  
 27 sagebrush, flat top buckwheat, black sage, and California encelia. Wildlife  
 28 movement corridors occur around Diamond Valley Lake. Open space around  
 29 Lake Skinner is also characterized by grassland and sage scrub vegetation  
 30 (USFWS 2004).

31 Diamond Valley Lake and Lake Skinner are located within the Southwestern  
 32 Riverside County Multi-Species Reserve, an area of 11,000 acres surrounding and  
 33 connecting Diamond Valley Lake and Lake Skinner through the Dr. Roy Shipley  
 34 Reserve (MWD 2014). At least eight types of habitat are found in the reserve, but  
 35 coastal sage scrub, nonnative grassland, and chaparral are dominant. There are  
 36 smaller areas of coast live oak woodland, willow scrub with live oak, and  
 37 cottonwood-willow riparian forests. The reserve is home to the California  
 38 Gnatcatcher, Bell's Sage Sparrow, San Diego Horned Lizard, Payson's  
 39 Jewelflower, and Parry's Spineflower.

40 Areas around Vail Lake support habitat for Bald Eagle, Golden Eagle, and Great  
 41 Blue Heron (RCWD 2015).

1    **10.3.6.3    Non-SWP Reservoir in Ventura County**

2    Lake Piru, located in Ventura County, is used to store SWP water by United  
3    Water Conservation District, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources  
4    and Water Supplies (UWCD 1999, 2014). The area surrounding the lake is  
5    characterized by chaparral on the hills and coast live oak woodlands along the  
6    stream channels.

7    **10.3.6.4    Non-SWP Reservoirs in San Diego County**

8    Reservoirs in San Diego County that are used to store SWP water include the City  
9    of Escondido Dixon Lake; City of San Diego San Vicente, El Capitan, Lower  
10    Otay, and Lake Hodges reservoirs; Lake Jennings owned by Helix Water District;  
11    and Sweetwater Reservoir owned by Sweetwater Authority.

12    Dixon Lake is located in the hills above the City of Escondido within the  
13    Escondido Multiple Habitat Conservation Plan area (City of Escondido 2012).  
14    Habitat around Lake Dixon is characterized by coastal sage scrub and chaparral.  
15    The coastal sage scrub includes California sagebrush, flat-top buckwheat, white  
16    sage, laurel sumac, black sage, California encelia, San Diego County viguiera,  
17    goldenbush, coast prickly-pear, and lemonadeberry and sugarbush. Chaparral  
18    includes chamise, scrub oak, toyon, thick-leaf ceanothus, black sage, wild  
19    cucumber, morning glory, saw-toothed goldenbush, and nonnative grasses.

20    The San Vicente Reservoir is characterized by rocky or coarse sand, with  
21    occasional willow trees and mulefat (SDCWA and USACE 2008). The  
22    constantly fluctuating water levels make it difficult for wetland or riparian  
23    vegetation to become established. Much of the shoreline around San Vicente  
24    Reservoir, therefore, is a non-vegetated fringe. Outside of the fringe, the area  
25    around the reservoir is primarily sage scrub with nonnative grassland and coast  
26    live oak woodland. Along the stream channel, vegetation includes southern  
27    willow scrub and live oak riparian forest with chaparral. Submerged aquatic  
28    vegetation occurs in an intermittent band surrounding almost the entire reservoir.  
29    Freshwater marsh vegetation of cattail, bulrush, and sedges occurs between the  
30    open water and lakeshore fringe. Birds associated with the open water include  
31    grebe, cormorant, heron, egret, ducks and geese, coot, plover, sandpiper, gull, and  
32    tern. Other birds associated with open water and riparian habitats include the bald  
33    eagle, osprey, and kingfisher. The uplands support rabbit, snakes, lizards, ground  
34    squirrel, pocket gopher, raccoon, mule deer, bats, mice, fox, skunk, bobcat, and  
35    mountain lion.

36    El Capitan Reservoir is located within Diegan coastal sage scrub with areas of oak  
37    woodlands and chaparral (San Diego County 2011; SDRWWG 2005; SDRP  
38    2015). The Lower Otay Reservoir, Lake Hodges, and Lake Jennings are located  
39    within coastal sage scrub. Sweetwater Reservoir is surrounded by coastal sage  
40    scrub and chaparral with riparian forest along stream channels.

### 10.3.6.5 *Non-SWP Reservoir in San Bernardino County*

Lake Arrowhead, in San Bernardino County, is used to store SWP water by the Lake Arrowhead Community Services District (County of San Bernardino 2011; LACSD 2014a, 2014b). Lake Arrowhead is located within chaparral, sage scrub, oak woodlands, oak and sycamore woodlands, dogwood tree along the lake, cottonwood and willow forests along stream channels, Ponderosa pine forests, and wetlands. The habitat supports Stellar Jay, blue jay, quail, ducks, western Tanager, Northern Tanager, woodpecker, chickadee, Barn Owl, Bald Eagle, hawks, rattlesnake, coyote, bobcat, Black Bear, Gray Squirrel, Ground Squirrel, chipmunk, raccoon, mountain lion, skunk, and cougar.

## 10.4 Impact Analysis

This section describes the potential mechanisms and analytical methods for change in terrestrial resources; results of the impact analysis; potential mitigation measures; and cumulative effects.

### 10.4.1 Potential Mechanisms for Change and Analytical Methods

As described in Chapter 4, Approach to Environmental Analysis, the impact analysis considers changes in terrestrial resources conditions related to changes in CVP and SWP operations under the alternatives as compared to the No Action Alternative and Second Basis of Comparison.

Changes in CVP and SWP operations under the alternatives as compared to the No Action Alternative and Second Basis of Comparison could change surface water resources affected by CVP and SWP operations.

#### 10.4.1.1 Changes in CVP and SWP Reservoir Elevations

Changes in surface water elevations at the CVP and SWP reservoirs would influence the extent of the drawdown zone (the area of shoreline between the full inundation elevation and the water level), which can influence the availability and quality of nesting habitat for some ground-nesting birds (e.g., waterfowl) and possibly the prey base for nesting fish-eating raptors (e.g., Bald Eagle and Osprey) in March through June. The creation of barren zones through reservoir drawdown can also affect the ability of wildlife species to access water, which could cause them to be more vulnerable to predation.

As described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies, surface water elevations would be similar in all months and all water year types at Trinity Lake, Shasta Lake, Lake Oroville, Folsom Lake, and New Melones Reservoir under Alternatives 1 through 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison. Surface water elevations would change at San Luis Reservoir under Alternatives 1 through 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison. However, it does not appear that nesting fish-eating raptors or ground-nesting waterfowl use the San Luis Reservoir shoreline during these nesting lifestages (Reclamation 2013). Therefore, changes in CVP and SWP operations under the alternatives would

1 result in similar conditions (within 5 percent change) for terrestrial resources at  
2 CVP and SWP reservoirs; and these factors are not analyzed in this EIS.

3 **10.4.1.2 Changes in Rivers Downstream of the CVP and SWP Reservoirs**

4 Operation of the CVP and SWP would influence flow regimes that renew and  
5 support adjacent riparian and wetland plant and wildlife communities. For  
6 example, certain riparian plants (e.g., willows) require a specific sequence and  
7 timing of flow events to prepare the seedbed and to support germination and  
8 seedling growth in March through May. Changes in flow that support or interfere  
9 with these processes could influence riparian vegetation and its value as wildlife  
10 habitat. The analysis is focused on Trinity, Sacramento, Feather, American, and  
11 Stanislaus rivers because these rivers are used to convey water from the reservoirs  
12 to CVP and SWP water users. Therefore, changes in CVP and SWP operations  
13 could result in substantial changes in flow patterns in these rivers. At other  
14 reservoirs that are used to store CVP and SWP water supplies (e.g., San Luis  
15 Reservoir), the CVP and SWP water are conveyed from the reservoirs in canals or  
16 pipelines. The reservoirs may be operated to provide minimum flows to support  
17 habitat in streams adjacent to these reservoirs; however, changes in CVP and  
18 SWP operations would not affect the minimum instream flow releases.  
19 Therefore, changes in terrestrial resources in these streams is not analyzed in  
20 this EIS.

21 Channel maintenance flows to improve adjacent floodplain habitat conditions  
22 would occur along Clear Creek under the No Action Alternative and Alternatives  
23 2 and 5, to the extent possible. The high-flow, short-duration pulse flows would  
24 be released, if physically possible, from Whiskeytown Lake to mobilize  
25 streambed material in Clear Creek in accordance with the 2009 NMFS Biological  
26 Opinion (BO).

27 **10.4.1.3 Changes in Sacramento, American, and Stanislaus Rivers**  
28 **Habitats due to Fish Passage at Dams**

29 Fish passage would be provided under the No Action Alternative and  
30 Alternative 5 around Shasta, Folsom, and New Melones dams. Salmon runs play  
31 an important role in the transfer of large quantities of marine-derived nutrients to  
32 adjacent forest ecosystems with profound effects on plant and wildlife production.  
33 Spawning salmon contribute to the release of nutrients into streams through  
34 normal metabolic processes, release of gametes during spawning, decay of their  
35 carcasses following death, and through consumption of their flesh by predators  
36 and scavengers (Merz and Moyle 2006). Returning fish to the upper stream  
37 segments, fish passage could influence the forest ecosystem and associated  
38 wildlife in the upper watersheds and result in less nutrients along the rivers  
39 downstream of the dams. This analysis would assume that the objectives of the  
40 2009 NMFS BO were achieved by 2030, including implementation of fish  
41 passage at these CVP reservoirs. However, any changes in nutrients in the stream  
42 corridors are expected to be minimal. Therefore, habitat conditions related to  
43 changes in nutrient loading associated with fish passage actions would be the  
44 same under Alternatives 1 through 5 as under the No Action Alternative and the



1 Second Basis of Comparison. Therefore, this potential change is not analyzed in  
2 this EIS.

#### 3 **10.4.1.4 Changes in River and Delta Floodplains**

4 Alternative 4 assumes additional institutional requirements for development  
5 within the floodplain and floodways that would require compliance with  
6 Endangered Species Act in defining floodplain map revisions, allow for  
7 improvements in floodplain management criteria to support natural and beneficial  
8 functions, and prohibit new development and substantial improvements to  
9 existing development within any designated floodway or within 170 feet of the  
10 ordinary high water line of any floodway. However, as described in Chapter 13,  
11 Land Use, in 2030, development along major river corridors in the Central Valley  
12 would continue to be limited by state regulations implemented by the Central  
13 Valley Flood Protection Board and the USACE.

14 Within the Delta, the floodways are further regulated by the Delta Protection  
15 Commission and Delta Stewardship Council to preserve and protect the natural  
16 resources of the Delta; and prevent encroachment into Delta floodways. These  
17 regulations, as implemented in all alternatives and the Second Basis of  
18 Comparison, would prevent development within the Delta floodplains and  
19 floodways and in the Sacramento, Feather, American, and San Joaquin rivers  
20 corridors upstream of the Delta, as described in Chapter 13. Provisions in  
21 Alternative 4 would require additional setbacks along the floodways as compared  
22 to other alternatives and the Second Basis of Comparison. The qualitative  
23 analysis considers the potential changes in habitat due to these changes in  
24 floodplain and floodway development regulations.

25 Another potential change in Delta habitat would occur under Alternative 4,  
26 additional vegetation would remain along the levees in the Delta as compared to  
27 conditions under the other alternatives, the No Action Alternative, and the Second  
28 Basis of Comparison, as described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives.  
29 Under Alternatives 1, 2, 3, and 5; the No Action Alternative; and the Second  
30 Basis of Comparison existing vegetation would remain along the Delta levees  
31 until the levees are repaired. Following repairs, vegetation would be removed  
32 along the riparian corridor to improve the structural reliability of the levees in  
33 accordance with USACE requirements. It is assumed that by 2030, much of the  
34 vegetation would be removed from the levees due to levee repairs.

#### 35 **10.4.1.5 Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass**

36 All of the alternatives, including the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis  
37 of Comparison, include operations of an operable gate at Fremont Weir, as  
38 described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives. However, the flow patterns  
39 into the Yolo Bypass would change based upon the magnitude of flows in the  
40 Sacramento River at Fremont Weir.

1     **10.4.1.6   Changes in Wetlands Habitat**

2     The No Action Alternative, Alternatives 1 through 5, and Second Basis of  
3     Comparison all include implementation of restoration of more than 10,000 acres  
4     of intertidal and associated subtidal wetlands in Suisun Marsh and Cache Slough;  
5     17,000 to 20,000 acres of seasonal floodplain restoration in the Yolo Bypass; and  
6     continued delivery of refuge water supplies under the Central Valley Project  
7     Improvement Act. There would be no changes in wetlands habitat between  
8     Alternatives 1 through 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative, and the  
9     Second Basis of Comparison. Therefore, changes to wetland habitats are not  
10    analyzed in this EIS.

11    **10.4.1.7   Changes in Delta Habitat**

12    Changes in CVP and SWP operations under the alternatives as compared to the  
13    No Action Alternative and Second Basis of Comparison would change the Delta  
14    salinity which could affect survival of riparian vegetation. The analysis evaluates  
15    changes in salinity by comparing the end of month X2 position.

16    Another potential change in Delta habitat would occur under Alternative 4, due to  
17    additional vegetation along the levees in the Delta as compared to conditions  
18    under the other alternatives, the No Action Alternative, and the Second Basis of  
19    Comparison, as described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives.

20    **10.4.1.8   Changes in Irrigated Agricultural Acreage Habitats in Areas that**  
21    **use CVP and SWP Water**

22    As described in Section 10.3, Affected Environment, agricultural lands provide  
23    considerable value to terrestrial wildlife, which varies with crop type and wildlife  
24    species. Generally, rice production provides high habitat value for some species  
25    because it supports many of the attributes of wetlands. Most notably, flooded rice  
26    fields during the growing season provide foraging and nesting habitat for  
27    waterfowl and shorebirds, as well as habitat for the federally listed Giant Garter  
28    Snake. In the fall and early winter, flooding for rice straw decomposition plays an  
29    important role in providing habitat for migrating waterbirds. Other crops, such as  
30    alfalfa and irrigated pasture, also provide habitat value, primarily because of their  
31    perennial nature and the application of flood irrigation. These crops provide  
32    valuable foraging habitat for species such as the state-listed Swainson's Hawk.  
33    Grain crops provide seasonal value to species such as Greater Sandhill Crane and  
34    others, but orchards, vineyards, vegetable, and truck crops generally provide  
35    relatively low habitat value for terrestrial species.

36    Changes in CVP and SWP operations under the alternatives could change the  
37    extent of irrigated acreage and associated habitats over the long-term average  
38    condition and in dry and critical dry years as compared to the No Action  
39    Alternative and Second Basis of Comparison, as described in Chapter 12,  
40    Agricultural Resources. However, irrigated acreage under Alternatives 1  
41    through 5 would be similar (within 5 percent change) to irrigated acreage under  
42    the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison. Therefore, there  
43    would be no change in terrestrial habitat at the irrigated acreage; and this factor is  
44    not analyzed in this EIS.

1     **10.4.1.9   Effects due to Cross Delta Water Transfers**

2     Historically water transfer programs have been developed on an annual basis.  
3     The demand for water transfers is dependent upon the availability of water  
4     supplies to meet water demands. Water transfer transactions have increased over  
5     time as CVP and SWP water supply availability has decreased, especially during  
6     drier water years.

7     Parties seeking water transfers generally acquire water from sellers who have  
8     available surface water who can make the water available through releasing  
9     previously stored water, pump groundwater instead of using surface water  
10    (groundwater substitution); idle crops; or substitute crops that uses less water in  
11    order to reduce normal consumptive use of surface water.

12    Water transfers using CVP and SWP Delta pumping plants and south of Delta  
13    canals generally occur when there is unused capacity in these facilities. These  
14    conditions generally occur drier water year types when the flows from upstream  
15    reservoirs plus unregulated flows are adequate to meet the Sacramento Valley  
16    water demands and the CVP and SWP export allocations. In non-wet years, the  
17    CVP and SWP water allocations would be less than full contract amounts;  
18    therefore, capacity may be available in the CVP and SWP conveyance facilities to  
19    move water from other sources.

20    Projecting future terrestrial resources conditions related to water transfer activities  
21    is difficult because specific water transfer actions required to make the water  
22    available, convey the water, and/or use the water would change each year due to  
23    changing hydrological conditions, CVP and SWP water availability, specific local  
24    agency operations, and local cropping patterns. Reclamation recently prepared a  
25    long-term regional water transfer environmental document which evaluated  
26    potential changes in conditions related to water transfer actions (Reclamation  
27    2014d). Results from this analysis were used to inform the impact assessment of  
28    potential effects of water transfers under the alternatives as compared to the No  
29    Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison.

30    **10.4.2    Conditions in Year 2030 without Implementation of**  
31    **Alternatives 1 through 5**

32    This EIS includes two bases of comparison, as described in Chapter 3,  
33    Description of Alternatives: the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
34    Comparison. Both of these bases are evaluated at 2030 conditions.

35    Changes that would occur over the next 15 years without implementation of the  
36    alternatives are not analyzed in this EIS. However, the changes to terrestrial  
37    resources that are assumed to occur by 2030 under the No Action Alternative and  
38    the Second Basis of Comparison are summarized in this section. Many of the  
39    changed conditions would occur in the same manner under both the No Action  
40    Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison.

1 **10.4.2.1 Common Changes in Conditions under the No Action Alternative**  
2 **and Second Basis of Comparison**

3 Conditions in 2030 would be different than existing conditions due to:

- 4 • Climate change and sea level rise
- 5 • General plan development throughout California, including increased water  
6 demands in portions of Sacramento Valley.
- 7 • Implementation of reasonable and foreseeable water resources management  
8 projects to provide water supplies, including general plan development, future  
9 water management and supply projects, and river and Delta floodplain  
10 development.

11 **10.4.2.1.1 Climate Change and Sea Level Rise**

12 It is anticipated that climate change would result in more short-duration high-  
13 rainfall events and less snowpack in the winter and early spring months. The  
14 reservoirs would be full more frequently by the end of April or May by 2030 than  
15 in recent historical conditions. However, as the water is released in the spring,  
16 there would be less snowpack to refill the reservoirs. This condition would  
17 reduce reservoir storage and available water supplies to downstream uses in the  
18 summer. The reduced end of September storage also would reduce the ability to  
19 release stored water to downstream regional reservoirs. These conditions would  
20 occur for all reservoirs in the California foothills and mountains, including non-  
21 CVP and SWP reservoirs.

22 These changes would result in a decline of the long-term average CVP and SWP  
23 water supply deliveries by 2030 as compared to recent historical long-term  
24 average deliveries under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
25 Comparison. However, the CVP and SWP water deliveries would be less under  
26 the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison, as  
27 described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies, which  
28 could result in more crop idling.

29 The Delta estuarine habitat is complex due to the freshwater-saltwater interface  
30 that supports numerous terrestrial species that require freshwater conditions  
31 primarily in the winter and spring and may withstand periods of higher salinity in  
32 the late summer and fall months. Climate change and sea level rise and CVP and  
33 SWP operations would change the location of the freshwater-saltwater interface in  
34 the Delta which would affect the survivability of vegetation within that area,  
35 especially in the western Delta and Suisun Marsh. Operations of the CVP and  
36 SWP would continue to maintain freshwater conditions in the spring in  
37 accordance with the State Water Resources Control Board Decision 1641.  
38 However, higher salinity conditions would occur in the summer months and in the  
39 fall of drier years which would affect the types of riparian vegetation in the  
40 western Delta and in Suisun Marsh under the No Action Alternative and Second  
41 Basis of Comparison in 2030 as compared to recent historical conditions.

#### 1 **10.4.2.1.2 Reasonable and Foreseeable Projects and Programs**

2 Under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison, land uses  
3 in 2030 would occur in accordance with adopted general plans. Development  
4 under the general plans would change terrestrial resources, especially near  
5 municipal areas.

6 The No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison assumes  
7 completion of water resources management and environmental restoration  
8 projects that would have occurred without implementation of Alternatives 1  
9 through 5, including regional and local recycling projects, surface water and  
10 groundwater storage projects, conveyance improvement projects, and desalination  
11 projects, as described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives. The No Action  
12 Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison also assumes implementation of  
13 actions included in the 2008 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) Biological  
14 Opinion (BO) and 2009 National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) BO that  
15 would have been implemented without the BOs by 2030, as described in  
16 Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives. These projects would include several  
17 projects that would affect terrestrial resources, including:

- 18 • Habitat Restoration includes restoration of more than 10,000 acres of  
19 intertidal and associated subtidal wetlands in Suisun Marsh and Cache Slough;  
20 and at least 17,000 to 20,000 acres of seasonal floodplain restoration in Yolo  
21 Bypass.
- 22 • Sacramento River, American River, and Clear Creek Spawning Gravel  
23 Augmentation.
- 24 • Battle Creek Restoration.
- 25 • Lower American River Flow Management Standard.

#### 26 **10.4.2.1.3 Changes in River and Delta Floodplains**

27 It is assumed that under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
28 Comparison, the State of California would continue to implement flood  
29 management projects to reduce flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin  
30 rivers and in the Delta (DWR 2013b). These programs would be implemented in  
31 a manner that would be coordinated with opportunities to restore or maintain the  
32 function of natural systems with consideration of future conditions with climate  
33 change and sea level rise. However, terrestrial resources would be changed by  
34 2030 as compared to recent historical conditions.

35 Terrestrial resources along Delta levees also would be affected through  
36 implementation of USACE policies for vegetation on levees. Historically, the  
37 USACE has allowed brush and small trees to be located on the waterside of  
38 federal flood management project levees if the vegetation would preserve, protect,  
39 and/or enhance natural resources, and/or protect rights of Native Americans,  
40 while maintaining the safety, structural integrity, and functionality of the levee  
41 (DWR 2011). After Hurricane Katrina in 2005, the USACE issued a policy and  
42 draft policy guidance to remove substantial vegetation from these levees

1 throughout the nation (USACE 2009). This policy requires federally authorized  
2 levee systems that have maintenance agreements with the USACE (including  
3 Delta levees along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers) and other levees that  
4 are eligible for the federal Rehabilitation and Inspection Program (Public  
5 Law 84-99) to remove vegetation in the following manner.

6 • Removal of all vegetation from the upper third of the waterside slope of the  
7 levee, the top of the levee, landside slope of the levee, or within 15 feet of the  
8 toe of the levee on the landside (“toe” is where the levee slope meets the  
9 ground surfaces).

10 • Removal of all vegetation over 2 inches in diameter on the lower two-thirds of  
11 the waterside slope of the levee and within 15 feet of the toe of the levee on  
12 the waterside along benches above the water surface.

13 In 2010, the USACE issued a draft policy guidance letter, *Draft Process for*  
14 *Requesting a Variance from Vegetation Standards for Levees and Floodwalls—*  
15 *75 Federal Register 6364-68* (USACE 2010) that included procedures for State  
16 and local agencies to request variances on a site-specific basis. DWR has been in  
17 negotiations with USACE to remove vegetation on the upper third of the  
18 waterside slope, top, and landside of the levees, and continue to allow vegetation  
19 on the lower two-thirds of the waterside slope of the levee and along benches  
20 above the water surface (DSC 2013). By 2030, it is anticipated that much of the  
21 existing vegetation on the upper third of the waterside slopes, tops, landside  
22 slopes, and within 15 feet of the landside toe of the levees would be removed.

23 By 2030 under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison,  
24 development along major river corridors in the Central Valley would continue to  
25 be limited by state regulations implemented by the Central Valley Flood  
26 Protection Board and the USACE. Within the Delta, the floodways would  
27 continue to be regulated by the Delta Protection Commission and Delta  
28 Stewardship Council to preserve and protect the natural resources of the Delta;  
29 and prevent encroachment into Delta floodways. These requirements would  
30 prevent development within the Delta floodplains and floodways and in the  
31 Sacramento, Feather, American, and San Joaquin rivers corridors upstream of  
32 the Delta.

### 33 **10.4.3 Evaluation of Alternatives**

34 As described in Chapter 4, Approach to Environmental Analysis, Alternatives 1  
35 through 5 have been compared to the No Action Alternative; and the No Action  
36 Alternative and Alternatives 1 through 5 have been compared to the Second Basis  
37 of Comparison.

#### 38 **10.4.3.1 No Action Alternative**

39 As described in Chapter 4, Approach to Environmental Analysis, the No Action  
40 Alternative is compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

1 **10.4.3.1.1 Trinity River Region**

2 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

3 River flows in Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam in the critical period  
4 for terrestrial resources of March through May would be similar under the No  
5 Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison, as described in  
6 Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies. Therefore, terrestrial  
7 resources habitat conditions along the Trinity River and lower Klamath River  
8 riparian corridors would be similar under the No Action Alternative and Second  
9 Basis of Comparison.

10 **10.4.3.1.2 Central Valley Region**

11 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

12 Flows in the spring months would be similar in the Sacramento River at Keswick  
13 and Freeport and American River downstream of Nimbus Dam; increased flows  
14 in the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam (over 100 percent); and  
15 reduced in the Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex (25 to  
16 30 percent) under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of  
17 Comparison. This analysis does not include site specific evaluation of all  
18 terrestrial resources along these riparian corridors. However, the changes in flows  
19 are indicative of the potential for change in the terrestrial resources. Therefore,  
20 under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison,  
21 the potential for similar or improved terrestrial resources would occur along the  
22 Sacramento, American, and Stanislaus rivers; and the potential for reduced  
23 terrestrial resources would occur along the Feather River.

24 Monthly Clear Creek flows under the No Action Alternative as compared to the  
25 Second Basis of Comparison are identical except in May. In May, under the No  
26 Action Alternative, flows are up to 40.7 percent higher than under the Second  
27 Basis of Comparison in accordance with the 2009 NMFS BO. The increased  
28 flows would be released for channel maintenance and floodplain habitat  
29 restoration; therefore, terrestrial resources habitat in the floodplains of lower  
30 Clear Creek would be improved under the No Action Alternative as compared to  
31 the Second Basis of Comparison.

32 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

33 Habitat changes along the riparian corridors related to changes in spring flows  
34 that support riparian vegetation recruitment would affect numerous bird species  
35 that use the riparian corridor, including Black Tern, Least Bell's Vireo, Least  
36 Bittern, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo,  
37 White-tailed Kite, Yellow Warbler, Ringtail, Western Pond Turtle, Valley  
38 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle, and Delta Button-celery. Potential adverse effects  
39 could occur to these species due to reduced flows in the spring months on the  
40 Feather River.

41 Under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of  
42 Comparison, the channel maintenance flows and actions in Clear Creek would  
43 improve terrestrial resources habitat for species that use the floodplain, including

1 habitat used by the Bald Eagle, Bank Swallow, Foothill Yellow-legged Frog,  
2 Little Willow Flycatcher, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Northwestern Pond  
3 Turtle, Pacific Fisher, and Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

4 *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

5 It is assumed that under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
6 Comparison, the State of California would continue to implement flood  
7 management projects to reduce flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin  
8 rivers and in the Delta with consideration for opportunities to restore or maintain  
9 the function of natural ecosystems. The related terrestrial habitat conditions  
10 would be similar under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
11 Comparison.

12 *Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass*

13 Flows from the Sacramento River into the Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir are  
14 similar under the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison;  
15 therefore, terrestrial habitat could be similar.

16 *Changes in Delta Habitat due to Changes in Water Quality*

17 Under the No Action Alternative, the freshwater interface would be similar to  
18 conditions under the Second Basis of Comparison in all months in below normal,  
19 dry, and critical dry years; and from January through August in wet and above  
20 normal years. In the fall months in wet years, the X2 location would be 9 to  
21 14 kilometers towards the west in September through December under the No  
22 Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

23 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

24 Lower Delta salinity under the No Action Alternative as compared to the Second  
25 Basis of Comparison would improve habitat for Bolander's Water Hemlock,  
26 Delta Button-celery, Delta Tule Pea, Mason's Lilaeopsis, Soft Birds-beak, Suisun  
27 Marsh Aster, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse, and Suisun Shrew.

28 *Effects Related to Cross Delta Water Transfers*

29 Potential effects to terrestrial resources could be similar to those identified in a  
30 recent environmental analysis conducted by Reclamation for long-term water  
31 transfers from the Sacramento to San Joaquin valleys (Reclamation 2014d).  
32 Potential effects to terrestrial resources were identified as changes in stream flows  
33 due declining groundwater levels along streams due to the use of groundwater  
34 substitution to provide transfer water. The analysis indicated that these potential  
35 impacts would not be substantial due to the inclusion of a monitoring and  
36 mitigation program.

37 Under the No Action Alternative, the timing of cross Delta water transfers would  
38 be limited to July through September and include annual volumetric limits, in  
39 accordance with the 2008 USFWS BO and 2009 NMFS BO. Under the Second  
40 Basis of Comparison, water could be transferred throughout the year without an  
41 annual volumetric limit. Overall, the potential for cross Delta water transfers  
42 would be less under the No Action Alternative than under the Second Basis of  
43 Comparison.



1 **10.4.3.2 Alternative 1**

2 Alternative 1 is identical to the Second Basis of Comparison. As described in  
3 Chapter 4, Approach to Environmental Analysis, Alternative 1 is compared to the  
4 No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison. However, because  
5 water resource conditions under Alternative 1 are identical to water resource  
6 conditions under the Second Basis of Comparison; Alternative 1 is only compared  
7 to the No Action Alternative.

8 **10.4.3.2.1 Alternative 1 Compared to the No Action Alternative**

9 *Trinity River Region*

10 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

11 River flows in Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam in the critical period  
12 for terrestrial resources of March through May would be similar under  
13 Alternative 1 and the No Action Alternative. Therefore, terrestrial resources  
14 habitat conditions along the Trinity River and lower Klamath River riparian  
15 corridors would be similar under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action  
16 Alternative.

17 *Central Valley Region*

18 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

19 Flows in the spring months would be similar in the Sacramento River at Keswick  
20 and Freeport and American River downstream of Nimbus Dam; increased in the  
21 Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex (35 percent); and reduced  
22 flows in the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam (60 percent) under  
23 Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative. This analysis does not  
24 include site specific evaluation of all terrestrial resources along these riparian  
25 corridors. However, the changes in flows are indicative of the potential for  
26 change in the terrestrial resources. Therefore, under Alternative 1 as compared to  
27 the No Action Alternative, the potential for similar or improved terrestrial  
28 resources would occur along the Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers; and  
29 the potential for reduced terrestrial resources would occur along the  
30 Stanislaus River.

31 Monthly Clear Creek flows under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action  
32 Alternative are identical except in May. In May, under Alternative 1, flows are  
33 up to 29 percent lower as compared to the No Action Alternative. The decreased  
34 flows could result in less floodplain habitat restoration; therefore, terrestrial  
35 resources habitat in the floodplains of lower Clear Creek would be decreased  
36 under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.

37 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

38 Habitat changes along the riparian corridors related to changes in spring flows  
39 that support riparian vegetation recruitment would affect numerous bird species  
40 that use the riparian corridor, including Black Tern, Least Bell's Vireo, Least  
41 Bittern, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo,  
42 White-tailed Kite, Yellow Warbler, Ringtail, Western Pond Turtle, Valley  
43 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle, and Delta Button-celery. Potential adverse effects

1 could occur to these species due to reduced flows in the spring months on the  
2 Stanislaus River.

3 Under the Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative, the lack of  
4 channel maintenance flows and actions in Clear Creek would reduce terrestrial  
5 resources habitat for species that use the floodplain, including habitat used by the  
6 Bald Eagle, Bank Swallow, Foothill Yellow-legged Frog, Little Willow  
7 Flycatcher, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Northwestern Pond Turtle, Pacific  
8 Fisher, and Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

9 *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

10 It is assumed that under Alternative 1 and the No Action Alternative, the State of  
11 California would continue to implement flood management projects to reduce  
12 flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta with  
13 consideration for opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural  
14 ecosystems. The related terrestrial habitat conditions that would occur due to  
15 implementation of the flood management projects would be the same under  
16 Alternative 1 and the No Action Alternative.

17 *Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass*

18 Flows from the Sacramento River into the Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir would  
19 be similar or higher under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action  
20 Alternative; therefore, terrestrial habitat could be similar or increased depending  
21 upon the flow pattern.

22 *Changes in Delta Habitat due to Changes in Water Quality*

23 Under Alternative 1, the freshwater interface would be similar to conditions under  
24 the No Action Alternative in all months in below normal, dry, and critical dry  
25 years; and from January through August in wet and above normal years. In the  
26 fall months in wet years, the X2 location would be 9 to 14 kilometers towards the  
27 east in September through December under Alternative 1 as compared to the No  
28 Action Alternative. This could adversely affect terrestrial species that have  
29 acclimated to freshwater conditions.

30 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

31 Higher Delta salinity under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action  
32 Alternative would reduce habitat conditions for Bolander's Water Hemlock, Delta  
33 Button-celery, Delta Tule Pea, Mason's Lilaeopsis, Soft Birds-beak, Suisun  
34 Marsh Aster, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse, and Suisun Shrew.

35 *Effects Related to Cross Delta Water Transfers*

36 Potential effects to terrestrial resources could be similar to those identified in a  
37 recent environmental analysis conducted by Reclamation for long-term water  
38 transfers from the Sacramento to San Joaquin valleys (Reclamation 2014d).  
39 Potential effects to terrestrial resources were identified as changes in stream flows  
40 due declining groundwater levels along streams due to the use of groundwater  
41 substitution to provide transfer water. The analysis indicated that these potential  
42 impacts would not be substantial due to the inclusion of a monitoring and  
43 mitigation program.

1 Under Alternative 1, water could be transferred throughout the year without an  
 2 annual volumetric limit. Under the No Action Alternative, the timing of cross  
 3 Delta water transfers would be limited to July through September and include  
 4 annual volumetric limits, in accordance with the 2008 USFWS BO and 2009  
 5 NMFS BO. Overall, the potential for cross Delta water transfers would be greater  
 6 under Alternative 1 as compared to the No Action Alternative.

#### 7 **10.4.3.2.2 Alternative 1 Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison**

8 Alternative 1 is identical to the Second Basis of Comparison.

#### 9 **10.4.3.3 Alternative 2**

10 The CVP and SWP operations under Alternative 2 are identical to the CVP and  
 11 SWP operations under the No Action Alternative; therefore, Alternative 2 is only  
 12 compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

#### 13 **10.4.3.3.1 Alternative 2 Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison**

14 The CVP and SWP operations under Alternative 2 are identical to the CVP and  
 15 SWP operations under the No Action Alternative. Therefore, changes in  
 16 terrestrial resources under Alternative 2 as compared to the Second Basis of  
 17 Comparison would be the same as the impacts described in Section 10.4.3.1, No  
 18 Action Alternative.

#### 19 **10.4.3.4 Alternative 3**

20 As described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives, CVP and SWP operations  
 21 under Alternative 3 are similar to the Second Basis of Comparison with modified  
 22 Old and Middle River flow criteria and New Melones Reservoir operations. As  
 23 described in Chapter 4, Approach to Environmental Analysis, Alternative 3 is  
 24 compared to the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison.

#### 25 **10.4.3.4.1 Alternative 3 Compared to the No Action Alternative**

##### 26 *Trinity River Region*

##### 27 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

28 River flows in Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam in the critical period  
 29 for terrestrial resources of March through May would be similar under  
 30 Alternative conditions along the Trinity River and lower Klamath River  
 31 riparian corridors would be similar under Alternative 3 as compared to the  
 32 No Action Alternative.

##### 33 *Central Valley Region*

##### 34 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

35 Flows in the spring months would be similar in the Sacramento River at Keswick  
 36 and Freeport and American River downstream of Nimbus Dam; increased in the  
 37 Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex (25 to 35 percent); and  
 38 reduced flows in the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin Dam (60 percent)  
 39 under Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action Alternative. This analysis does  
 40 not include site specific evaluation of all terrestrial resources along these riparian

1 corridors. However, the changes in flows are indicative of the potential for  
2 change in the terrestrial resources. Therefore, under Alternative 3 as compared to  
3 the No Action Alternative, the potential for similar or improved terrestrial  
4 resources would occur along the Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers; and  
5 the potential for reduced terrestrial resources would occur along the  
6 Stanislaus River.

7 Monthly Clear Creek flows under Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action  
8 Alternative are identical except in May. In May, under Alternative 3, flows are  
9 up to 29 percent lower as compared to the No Action Alternative. The decreased  
10 flows could result in less floodplain habitat restoration; therefore, terrestrial  
11 resources habitat in the floodplains of lower Clear Creek would be decreased  
12 under Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action Alternative.

13 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

14 Habitat changes along the riparian corridors related to changes in spring flows  
15 that support riparian vegetation recruitment would affect numerous bird species  
16 that use the riparian corridor, including Black Tern, Least Bell's Vireo, Least  
17 Bittern, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo,  
18 White-tailed Kite, Yellow Warbler, Ringtail, Western Pond Turtle, Valley  
19 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle, and Delta Button-celery. Potential adverse effects  
20 could occur to these species due to reduced flows in the spring months on the  
21 Stanislaus River.

22 Under the Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action Alternative, the lack of  
23 channel maintenance flows and actions in Clear Creek would reduce terrestrial  
24 resources habitat for the species that use the floodplain, including habitat used by  
25 the Bald Eagle, Bank Swallow, Foothill Yellow-legged Frog, Little Willow  
26 Flycatcher, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Northwestern Pond Turtle, Pacific  
27 Fisher, and Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

28 *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

29 It is assumed that under Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative, the State of  
30 California would continue to implement flood management projects to reduce  
31 flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta with  
32 consideration for opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural  
33 ecosystems. The related terrestrial habitat that would occur due to  
34 implementation of the flood management projects would be the same under  
35 Alternative 3 and the No Action Alternative.

36 *Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass*

37 Flows from the Sacramento River into the Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir would  
38 be similar or higher (10 to 30 percent) under Alternative 3 as compared to the No  
39 Action Alternative. Terrestrial habitat could be similar or increased due to the  
40 flow patterns.

1 *Changes in Delta Habitat due to Changes in Water Quality*

2 Under Alternative 3, the freshwater interface would be similar to conditions under  
3 the No Action Alternative in all months in below normal, dry, and critical dry  
4 years; and from January through August in wet and above normal years. In the  
5 fall months in wet years, the X2 location would be 9 to 14 kilometers towards the  
6 east in September through December under Alternative 3 as compared to the No  
7 Action Alternative.

8 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

9 Higher Delta salinity under Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action  
10 Alternative would reduce habitat conditions for Bolander's Water Hemlock, Delta  
11 Button-celery, Delta Tule Pea, Mason's Lilaopsis, Soft Birds-beak, Suisun  
12 Marsh Aster, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse, and Suisun Shrew.

13 *Effects Related to Cross Delta Water Transfers*

14 Potential effects to terrestrial resources could be similar to those identified in a  
15 recent environmental analysis conducted by Reclamation for long-term water  
16 transfers from the Sacramento to San Joaquin valleys (Reclamation 2014d).  
17 Potential effects to terrestrial resources were identified as changes in stream flows  
18 due declining groundwater levels along streams due to the use of groundwater  
19 substitution to provide transfer water. The analysis indicated that these potential  
20 impacts would not be substantial due to the inclusion of a monitoring and  
21 mitigation program.

22 Under Alternative 3, water could be transferred throughout the year without an  
23 annual volumetric limit. Under the No Action Alternative, the timing of cross  
24 Delta water transfers would be limited to July through September and include  
25 annual volumetric limits, in accordance with the 2008 USFWS BO and 2009  
26 NMFS BO. Overall, the potential for cross Delta water transfers would be greater  
27 under Alternative 3 as compared to the No Action Alternative.

28 **10.4.3.4.2 Alternative 3 Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison**

29 *Trinity River Region*

30 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

31 River flows in Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam in the critical period  
32 for terrestrial resources of March through May would be similar under  
33 Alternative 3 and the Second Basis of Comparison. Therefore, terrestrial  
34 resources habitat conditions along the Trinity River and lower Klamath River  
35 riparian corridors would be similar under Alternative 3 as compared to the Second  
36 Basis of Comparison.

37 *Central Valley Region*

38 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

39 Flows in the spring months would be similar in the Sacramento River at Keswick  
40 and Freeport, Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex, and American  
41 River downstream of Nimbus Dam; and reduced flows in the Stanislaus River  
42 downstream of Goodwin Dam (6 to 52 percent, depending upon water year type)

1 under Alternative 3 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison. This  
2 analysis does not include site specific evaluation of all terrestrial resources along  
3 these riparian corridors. However, the changes in flows are indicative of the  
4 potential for change in the terrestrial resources. Therefore, under Alternative 3 as  
5 compared to the Second Basis of Comparison, the potential for similar terrestrial  
6 resources habitat would occur along the Sacramento, American, and Feather  
7 rivers; and the potential for reduced terrestrial resources would occur along the  
8 Stanislaus River.

9 Monthly Clear Creek flows under Alternative 3 as compared to the Second Basis  
10 of Comparison are identical under Alternative 3; therefore, terrestrial resources  
11 habitat in the floodplains of lower Clear Creek would be similar under Alternative  
12 3 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

13 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

14 Habitat changes along the riparian corridors related to changes in spring flows  
15 that support riparian vegetation recruitment would affect numerous bird species  
16 that use the riparian corridor, including Black Tern, Least Bell's Vireo, Least  
17 Bittern, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo,  
18 White-tailed Kite, Yellow Warbler, Ringtail, Western Pond Turtle, Valley  
19 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle, and Delta Button-celery. Potential adverse effects  
20 could occur to these species due to reduced flows in the spring months on the  
21 Stanislaus River.

22 Under the Alternative 3 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison,  
23 terrestrial resources habitat would be similar for species that use the floodplain,  
24 including habitat used by the Bald Eagle, Bank Swallow, Foothill Yellow-legged  
25 Frog, Little Willow Flycatcher, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Northwestern  
26 Pond Turtle, Pacific Fisher, and Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

27 *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

28 It is assumed that under Alternative 3 and the Second Basis of Comparison, the  
29 State of California would continue to implement flood management projects to  
30 reduce flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta  
31 with consideration for opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural  
32 ecosystems. The related terrestrial habitat conditions that would occur due to  
33 implementation of the flood management projects would be the same under  
34 Alternative 3 and the Second Basis of Comparison.

35 *Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass*

36 Flows from the Sacramento River into the Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir and  
37 associated terrestrial habitat would be similar under Alternative 3 as compared to  
38 the Second Basis of Comparison.

39 *Changes in Delta Habitat due to Changes in Water Quality*

40 Under Alternative 3, the freshwater-saltwater interface would be similar to  
41 conditions under the Second Basis of Comparison in all months and in all water  
42 year types.

1           *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

2 Delta salinity under Alternative 3 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison  
3 would result in similar habitat conditions for Bolander's Water Hemlock, Delta  
4 Button-celery, Delta Tule Pea, Mason's Lilaeopsis, Soft Birds-beak, Suisun  
5 Marsh Aster, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse, and Suisun Shrew.

6           *Effects Related to Cross Delta Water Transfers*

7 Potential effects to terrestrial resources could be similar to those identified in a  
8 recent environmental analysis conducted by Reclamation for long-term water  
9 transfers from the Sacramento to San Joaquin valleys (Reclamation 2014d).  
10 Potential effects to terrestrial resources were identified as changes in stream flows  
11 due declining groundwater levels along streams due to the use of groundwater  
12 substitution to provide transfer water. The analysis indicated that these potential  
13 impacts would not be substantial due to the inclusion of a monitoring and  
14 mitigation program.

15 Under Alternative 3 and the Second Basis of Comparison, water could be  
16 transferred throughout the year without an annual volumetric limit. Overall, the  
17 potential for cross Delta water transfers would be similar under Alternative 3 as  
18 compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

19    **10.4.3.5 Alternative 4**

20 The CVP and SWP operations under Alternative 4 are identical to the CVP and  
21 SWP operations under the Second Basis of Comparison and Alternative 1.  
22 Alternative 4 also includes additional institutional requirements for development  
23 within the floodplain and floodways, including the following items.

- 24    • Compliance with Endangered Species Act in defining floodplain map  
25       revisions.
- 26    • Improvements in floodplain management criteria to support natural and  
27       beneficial functions.
- 28    • Prohibition of new development and substantial improvements to existing  
29       development within any designated floodway or within 170 feet of the  
30       ordinary high water line of any floodway.
- 31    • Modification of USACE requirements to remove vegetation along portions of  
32       the waterside of levees, as described in Section 10.4.3.1, No Action  
33       Alternative.

34 Alternative 4 is compared to the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of  
35 Comparison.

36    **10.4.3.5.1 Alternative 4 Compared to the No Action Alternative**

37 These actions would not change CVP and SWP operations; and would only affect  
38 the Changes in River and Delta Floodplains. Therefore, changes in terrestrial  
39 resources due to changes in CVP and SWP under Alternative 4 as compared to the  
40 No Action Alternative would be the same as the impacts described in  
41 Section 10.4.3.2.1, Alternative 1 Compared to the No Action Alternative.

1           *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

2 It is assumed that under the No Action Alternative, the State of California would  
3 continue to implement flood management projects to reduce flood risks along the  
4 Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta with consideration for  
5 opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural ecosystems. The  
6 USACE policies for vegetation on levees would be implemented; and by 2030,  
7 much of the vegetation along Delta channels would have been removed.

8 Under Alternative 4, implementation of institutional provisions would result in  
9 development of the floodplains and floodways, especially in the Delta, that would  
10 be similar to development under the No Action Alternative. Under the No Action  
11 Alternative, as described in Chapter 13, Land Use, development along major river  
12 corridors in the Central Valley would be limited by state regulations implemented  
13 by the Central Valley Flood Protection Board and the USACE. Within the Delta,  
14 the floodways are further regulated by the Delta Protection Commission and Delta  
15 Stewardship Council to preserve and protect the natural resources of the Delta;  
16 and prevent encroachment into Delta floodways. These regulations would  
17 prevent development within the Delta floodplains and floodways and in the  
18 Sacramento, Feather, American, and San Joaquin rivers corridors upstream of the  
19 Delta. Under Alternative 4, development would be prevented within 170 feet  
20 from the ordinary high water line of any floodway. This setback area could  
21 provide opportunities to establish vegetative corridors.

22 Under Alternative 4 and the No Action Alternative, vegetation management along  
23 the Delta levees would include removal of all vegetation from the upper third of  
24 the waterside slope of the levee, the top of the levee, landside slope of the levee,  
25 and within 15 feet on the landside of the toe of the levee (“toe” is where the levee  
26 slope meets the ground surfaces). Under Alternative 4, vegetation could be  
27 maintained on the lower two-thirds of the waterside slope of the levee and within  
28 15 feet of the toe of the levee on the waterside along benches above the water  
29 surface. This would provide shaded riverine aquatic habitat and riparian  
30 vegetation along many of the Delta channels as compared to the No Action  
31 Alternative.

32 Overall, Alternative 4 would result in increased vegetation along the riparian  
33 corridors related to recruitment of riparian vegetation in the Delta watershed as  
34 compared to the No Action Alternative.

35       **10.4.3.5.2 Alternative 4 Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison**

36 The changes in river and Delta floodplain actions would not change CVP and  
37 SWP operations which would be identical under Alternative 4 and under the  
38 Second Basis of Comparison.

39           *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

40 It is assumed that under the Second Basis of Comparison, the State of California  
41 would continue to implement flood management projects to reduce flood risks  
42 along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta with consideration  
43 for opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural ecosystems. The



1 USACE policies for vegetation on levees would be implemented; and by 2030,  
2 much of the vegetation along Delta channels would have been removed.

3 Under Alternative 4, implementation of institutional provisions would result in  
4 development of the floodplains and floodways, especially in the Delta, that would  
5 be similar to development under the Second Basis of Comparison. Under the  
6 Second Basis of Comparison, as described in Chapter 13, Land Use, development  
7 along major river corridors in the Central Valley would be limited by state  
8 regulations implemented by the Central Valley Flood Protection Board and the  
9 USACE. Within the Delta, the floodways are further regulated by the Delta  
10 Protection Commission and Delta Stewardship Council to preserve and protect the  
11 natural resources of the Delta; and prevent encroachment into Delta floodways.  
12 These regulations would prevent development within the Delta floodplains and  
13 floodways and in the Sacramento, Feather, American, and San Joaquin rivers  
14 corridors upstream of the Delta. Under Alternative 4, development would be  
15 prevented within 170 feet from the ordinary high water line of any floodway.  
16 This setback area could provide opportunities to establish vegetative corridors.

17 Under Alternative 4 and the Second Basis of Comparison, vegetation  
18 management along the Delta levees would include removal of all vegetation from  
19 the upper third of the waterside slope of the levee, the top of the levee, landside  
20 slope of the levee, and within 15 feet on the landside of the toe of the levee (“toe”  
21 is where the levee slope meets the ground surfaces). Under Alternative 4,  
22 vegetation could be maintained on the lower two-thirds of the waterside slope of  
23 the levee and within 15 feet of the toe of the levee on the waterside along benches  
24 above the water surface. This would provide shaded riverine aquatic habitat and  
25 riparian vegetation along many of the Delta channels as compared to the Second  
26 Basis of Comparison.

27 Overall, Alternative 4 would result in increased terrestrial resources along the  
28 riparian corridors related to recruitment of riparian vegetation in the Delta  
29 watershed as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

#### 30 **10.4.3.6 Alternative 5**

31 As described in Chapter 3, Description of Alternatives, CVP and SWP operations  
32 under Alternative 5 are similar to the No Action Alternative with modified Old  
33 and Middle River flow criteria and New Melones Reservoir operations. As  
34 described in Chapter 4, Approach to Environmental Analysis, Alternative 5 is  
35 compared to the No Action Alternative and the Second Basis of Comparison.

#### 36 **10.4.3.6.1 Alternative 5 Compared to the No Action Alternative**

##### 37 *Trinity River Region*

##### 38 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

39 River flows in Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam in the critical period  
40 for terrestrial resources of March through May would be similar under  
41 Alternative 5 and the No Action Alternative. Therefore, terrestrial resources  
42 habitat conditions along the Trinity River and lower Klamath River riparian

1 corridors would be similar under Alternative 5 as compared to the No  
2 Action Alternative.

3 *Central Valley Region*

4 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

5 Flows in the spring months would be similar in the Sacramento River at Keswick  
6 and Freeport, Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex, American River  
7 downstream of Nimbus Dam; and flows in the Stanislaus River downstream of  
8 Goodwin Dam would increase 22 to 40 percent in some spring months and 8 to  
9 18 percent in other spring months, depending upon water year type under  
10 Alternative 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative. This analysis does not  
11 include site specific evaluation of all terrestrial resources along these riparian  
12 corridors. However, the changes in flows are indicative of the potential for  
13 change in the terrestrial resources. Therefore, under Alternative 5 as compared to  
14 the No Action Alternative, the potential for similar or improved terrestrial  
15 resources habitat would occur along the Sacramento, Feather, and American  
16 rivers; and the potential for both increased and reduced terrestrial resources  
17 habitat would occur along the Stanislaus River.

18 Monthly Clear Creek flows would be identical under Alternative 5 as compared to  
19 the No Action Alternative; therefore, terrestrial resources habitat in the  
20 floodplains of lower Clear Creek would be similar under Alternative 5 as  
21 compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

22 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

23 Habitat changes along the riparian corridors related to changes in spring flows  
24 that support riparian vegetation recruitment would affect numerous bird species  
25 that use the riparian corridor, including Black Tern, Least Bell's Vireo, Least  
26 Bittern, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo,  
27 White-tailed Kite, Yellow Warbler, Ringtail, Western Pond Turtle, Valley  
28 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle, and Delta Button-celery. Potential adverse effects  
29 could occur to these species due to reduced flows in the spring months on the  
30 Stanislaus River.

31 Under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison, the channel  
32 maintenance flows and actions in Clear Creek would not change terrestrial  
33 resources habitat for species that use the floodplain, including habitat used by the  
34 Bald Eagle, Bank Swallow, Foothill Yellow-legged Frog, Little Willow  
35 Flycatcher, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Northwestern Pond Turtle, Pacific  
36 Fisher, and Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

37 *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

38 It is assumed that under Alternative 5 and the No Action Alternative, the State of  
39 California would continue to implement flood management projects to reduce  
40 flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta with  
41 consideration for opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural  
42 ecosystems. The related terrestrial habitat conditions that would occur due to

1 implementation of the flood management projects would be the same under  
2 Alternative 5 and the No Action Alternative.

3 *Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass*

4 Flows from the Sacramento River into the Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir and  
5 associated terrestrial habitat would be similar under Alternative 5 as compared to  
6 the No Action Alternative.

7 *Changes in Delta Habitat due to Changes in Water Quality*

8 Under Alternative 5, the freshwater interface would be similar to conditions under  
9 the No Action Alternative in all months and in all water year types.

10 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

11 Similar Delta salinity under Alternative 5 as compared to the No Action  
12 Alternative would result in similar habitat conditions for Bolander's Water  
13 Hemlock, Delta Button-celery, Delta Tule Pea, Mason's Lilaeopsis, Soft Birds-  
14 beak, Suisun Marsh Aster, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse, and Suisun Shrew.

15 *Effects Related to Cross Delta Water Transfers*

16 Potential effects to terrestrial resources could be similar to those identified in a  
17 recent environmental analysis conducted by Reclamation for long-term water  
18 transfers from the Sacramento to San Joaquin valleys (Reclamation 2014d).  
19 Potential effects to terrestrial resources were identified as changes in stream flows  
20 due declining groundwater levels along streams due to the use of groundwater  
21 substitution to provide transfer water. The analysis indicated that these potential  
22 impacts would not be substantial due to the inclusion of a monitoring and  
23 mitigation program.

24 Under Alternative 5 and the No Action Alternative, the timing of cross Delta  
25 water transfers would be limited to July through September and include annual  
26 volumetric limits, in accordance with the 2008 USFWS BO and 2009 NMFS BO.  
27 Overall, the potential for cross Delta water transfers would be similar under  
28 Alternative 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative.

29 **10.4.3.6.2 Alternative 5 Compared to the Second Basis of Comparison**

30 *Trinity River Region*

31 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

32 River flows in Trinity River downstream of Lewiston Dam in the critical period  
33 for terrestrial resources of March through May would be similar under  
34 Alternative 5 and the Second Basis of Comparison. Therefore, terrestrial  
35 resources habitat conditions along the Trinity River and lower Klamath River  
36 riparian corridors would be similar under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second  
37 Basis of Comparison.

1 *Central Valley Region*

2 *Changes in Rivers Downstream of CVP and SWP Reservoirs*

3 Flows in the spring months would be similar in the American River downstream  
4 of Nimbus Dam; increased flows in the Stanislaus River downstream of Goodwin  
5 Dam (over 100 percent); and reduced in the Sacramento River at Keswick and  
6 Freeport and Feather River downstream of Thermalito Complex (8 to 13 percent  
7 and 25 to 45 percent, respectively) under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second  
8 Basis of Comparison. This analysis does not include site specific evaluation of all  
9 terrestrial resources along these riparian corridors. However, the changes in flows  
10 are indicative of the potential for change in the terrestrial resources. Therefore,  
11 under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison, the potential  
12 for similar or improved terrestrial resources habitat would occur along the  
13 American and Stanislaus rivers; and the potential for reduced terrestrial resources  
14 habitat would occur along the Sacramento and Feather rivers.

15 Monthly Clear Creek flows under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis  
16 of Comparison are identical except in May. In May, under Alternative 5, flows  
17 are up to 40.7 percent higher than under the Second Basis of Comparison in  
18 accordance with the 2009 NMFS BO. The increased flows would be released for  
19 channel maintenance and floodplain habitat restoration; therefore, terrestrial  
20 resources habitat in the floodplains of lower Clear Creek would be improved  
21 under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

22 *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

23 Habitat changes along the riparian corridors related to changes in spring flows  
24 that support riparian vegetation recruitment would affect numerous bird species  
25 that use the riparian corridor, including Black Tern, Least Bell's Vireo, Least  
26 Bittern, Swainson's Hawk, Tricolored Blackbird, Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo,  
27 White-tailed Kite, Yellow Warbler, Ringtail, Western Pond Turtle, Valley  
28 Elderberry Longhorn Beetle, and Delta Button-celery. Potential adverse effects  
29 could occur to these species due to reduced flows in the spring months on the  
30 Sacramento and Feather rivers.

31 Under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison, the channel  
32 maintenance flows and actions in Clear Creek would improve terrestrial resources  
33 habitat for species that use the floodplain, including habitat used by the Bald  
34 Eagle, Bank Swallow, Foothill Yellow-legged Frog, Little Willow Flycatcher,  
35 Western Yellow-billed Cuckoo, Northwestern Pond Turtle, Pacific Fisher, and  
36 Valley Elderberry Longhorn Beetle.

37 *Changes in River and Delta Floodplains*

38 It is assumed that under Alternative 5 and the Second Basis of Comparison, the  
39 State of California would continue to implement flood management projects to  
40 reduce flood risks along the Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers and in the Delta  
41 with consideration for opportunities to restore or maintain the function of natural  
42 ecosystems. The related terrestrial habitat conditions that would occur due to  
43 implementation of the flood management projects would be the same under  
44 Alternative 5 and the Second Basis of Comparison.

1            *Changes in Flows over Fremont Weir into the Yolo Bypass*

2        Flows from the Sacramento River into the Yolo Bypass at Fremont Weir would  
3        similar or lower (24 percent) under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis  
4        of Comparison. The decrease in the extent of flow inundation in the Yolo Bypass  
5        could cause degradation of terrestrial habitat as compared to the Second Basis of  
6        Comparison.

7            *Changes in Delta Habitat due to Changes in Water Quality*

8        Under Alternative 5, the freshwater interface would be similar to conditions under  
9        the Second Basis of Comparison in all months in below normal, dry, and critical  
10        dry years; and from January through August in wet and above normal years. In  
11        the fall months in wet years, the X2 location would be 9 to 14 kilometers towards  
12        the west in September through December under Alternative 5 as compared to the  
13        Second Basis of Comparison.

14           *Potential Effects on Special Status Species*

15        Lower Delta salinity under Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of  
16        Comparison would improve habitat conditions for Bolander's Water Hemlock,  
17        Delta Button-celery, Delta Tule Pea, Mason's Lilaeopsis, Soft Birds-beak, Suisun  
18        Marsh Aster, Salt Marsh Harvest Mouse, and Suisun Shrew.

19           *Effects Related to Cross Delta Water Transfers*

20        Potential effects to terrestrial resources could be similar to those identified in a  
21        recent environmental analysis conducted by Reclamation for long-term water  
22        transfers from the Sacramento to San Joaquin valleys (Reclamation 2014d).  
23        Potential effects to terrestrial resources were identified as changes in stream flows  
24        due declining groundwater levels along streams due to the use of groundwater  
25        substitution to provide transfer water. The analysis indicated that these potential  
26        impacts would not be substantial due to the inclusion of a monitoring and  
27        mitigation program.

28        Under Alternative 5, the timing of cross Delta water transfers would be limited to  
29        July through September and include annual volumetric limits, in accordance with  
30        the 2008 USFWS BO and 2009 NMFS BO. Under Second Basis of Comparison,  
31        water could be transferred throughout the year without an annual volumetric limit.  
32        Overall, the potential for cross Delta water transfers would be less under  
33        Alternative 5 as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.

34        **10.4.3.7 Summary of Environmental Consequences**

35        The results of the environmental consequences of implementation of  
36        Alternatives 1 through 5 as compared to the No Action Alternative and the  
37        Second Basis of Comparison are presented in Tables 10.2 and 10.3.

1

**Table 10.2 Comparison of Alternatives 1 through 5 to No Action Alternative**

<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Potential Change</b>	<b>Consideration for Mitigation Measures</b>
Alternative 1	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Reduced floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased salt water habitat in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water years could adversely affect species that have acclimated to freshwater conditions.</p>	<p>Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR, USFWS, and NMFS to reduce flow reduction impacts on the Stanislaus River.</p> <p>Implement program for gravel augmentation and mechanical modification of floodplain habitat along the lower Clear Creek to reduce floodplain impacts.</p> <p>Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR, USFWS, and NMFS to reduce adverse impacts due to increased salinity in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water year types.</p>
Alternative 2	No effects on terrestrial resources.	None needed
Alternative 3	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Reduced floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar or improved terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased salt water habitat in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water years could adversely affect species that have acclimated to freshwater conditions.</p>	<p>Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR, USFWS, and NMFS to reduce flow reduction impacts on the Stanislaus River.</p> <p>Implement program for gravel augmentation and mechanical modification of floodplain habitat along the lower Clear Creek to reduce floodplain impacts.</p> <p>Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR, USFWS, and NMFS to reduce adverse impacts due to increased salinity in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water year types.</p>

<b>Alternative</b>	<b>Potential Change</b>	<b>Consideration for Mitigation Measures</b>
Alternative 4	Same effects as described for Alternative 1 compared to the No Action Alternative; except for increased terrestrial vegetation along the riparian corridors related to recruitment of riparian vegetation.	<p>Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR, USFWS, and NMFS to reduce flow reduction impacts on the Stanislaus River.</p> <p>Implement program for gravel augmentation and mechanical modification of floodplain habitat along the lower Clear Creek to reduce floodplain impacts.</p> <p>Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR, USFWS, and NMFS to reduce adverse impacts due to increased salinity in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water year types.</p>
Alternative 5	<p>Similar flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Increased flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be improved terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Similar floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Similar freshwater and salt water habitats.</p>	None needed.

1  
2

**Table 10.3 Comparison of No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1 through 5 to Second Basis of Comparison**

Alternative	Potential Change	Consideration for Mitigation Measures
No Action Alternative	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Stanislaus rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Feather River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Improved floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased freshwater habitat in the western Delta.</p>	Not considered for this comparison.
Alternative 1	No effects on terrestrial resources.	Not considered for this comparison.
Alternative 2	Same effects as described for No Action Alternative as compared to the Second Basis of Comparison.	Not considered for this comparison.
Alternative 3	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, Sacramento, American, and Feather rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Stanislaus River in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Similar habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Similar freshwater and salt water habitats.</p>	Not considered for this comparison.
Alternative 4	Similar effects except for increased terrestrial vegetation along the riparian corridors related to recruitment of riparian vegetation.	Not considered for this comparison.
Alternative 5	<p>Similar or increased flows along Trinity, American, and Stanislaus rivers in the spring to support riparian terrestrial habitat. Reduced flows along the Sacramento and Feather rivers in the spring; therefore, could be reduced terrestrial habitat conditions.</p> <p>Improved floodplain habitat along lower Clear Creek.</p> <p>Similar or decreased terrestrial conditions in Yolo Bypass related to similar or lower water that flows from the Sacramento River at the Fremont Weir.</p> <p>Increased freshwater habitat in the western Delta.</p>	Not considered for this comparison.



#### 1 **10.4.3.8 Potential Mitigation Measures**

2 Changes in CVP and SWP operations under Alternatives 1 through 5 as compared  
3 to the No Action Alternative would result in adverse changes in terrestrial  
4 resources along rivers when spring flows are less than under the No Action  
5 Alternative; and when the salinity increases in the western Delta. Potential  
6 mitigation measures that could be considered to reduce the adverse  
7 impacts include:

- 8 • Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR,  
9 USFWS, and NMFS to reduce flow reduction impacts on the Stanislaus River  
10 under Alternatives 1, 3, and 4.
- 11 • Implement program for gravel augmentation and mechanical modification of  
12 floodplain habitat along the lower Clear Creek to reduce floodplain impacts  
13 under Alternatives 1, 3, and 4.
- 14 • Coordination of CVP and SWP operations between Reclamation, DWR,  
15 USFWS, and NMFS to reduce adverse impacts due to increased salinity in the  
16 western Delta in the fall months of wet and above normal water year types  
17 under Alternatives 1, 3, and 4.

#### 18 **10.4.3.9 Cumulative Effects Analysis**

19 As described in Chapter 3, the cumulative effects analysis considers projects,  
20 programs, and policies that are not speculative; and are based upon known or  
21 reasonably foreseeable long-range plans, regulations, operating agreements, or  
22 other information that establishes them as reasonably foreseeable.

23 The No Action Alternative, Alternatives 1 through 5, and Second Basis of  
24 Comparison include climate change and sea level rise, implementation of general  
25 plans, and completion of ongoing projects and programs (see Chapter 3,  
26 Description of Alternatives). The effects of these items were analyzed  
27 quantitatively and qualitatively, as described in the Impact Analysis of this  
28 chapter. The discussion below focuses on the qualitative effects of the  
29 alternatives and other past, present, and reasonably foreseeable future projects  
30 identified for consideration of cumulative effects (see Chapter 3, Description  
31 of Alternatives).

##### 32 **10.4.3.9.1 No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1 through 5**

33 Continued coordinated long-term operation of the CVP and SWP under the No  
34 Action Alternative would result in reduced CVP and SWP water supply  
35 availability as compared to recent conditions due to climate change and sea level  
36 rise by 2030. These conditions are included in the analysis presented above.

37 Future water resource management projects considered in cumulative effects  
38 analysis could increase water supply availability, as described in Chapter 5,  
39 Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies; and reduce terrestrial resources  
40 impacts in the San Francisco Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California  
41 regions by providing additional water supplies that could be stored in existing  
42 reservoirs.

1 There also are several ongoing programs that could result in reductions in CVP  
2 and SWP water supply availability due to changes in flow patterns in the  
3 Sacramento and San Joaquin rivers watersheds and the Delta that could reduce  
4 availability of CVP and SWP water deliveries as well as local and regional water  
5 supplies, as described in Chapter 5, Surface Water Resources and Water Supplies.  
6 Reduction in available surface water supplies as compared to projected water  
7 supplies under the No Action Alternative and Alternatives 1 through 5 could  
8 result in reduction of terrestrial resources conditions at reservoirs in San Francisco  
9 Bay Area, Central Coast, and Southern California.

10 There were be adverse terrestrial resources impacts associated with  
11 implementation of the alternatives as compared to the No Action Alternative.  
12 Therefore, Alternatives 1 through 5 would contribute cumulative impacts to  
13 terrestrial resources, specifically associated with:

- 14 • Flow reduction impacts on the Stanislaus River and Clear Creek under  
15 Alternatives 1, 3, and 4.
- 16 • Increased salinity in the western Delta in the fall months of wet and above  
17 normal water year types under Alternatives 1, 3, and 4.
- 18 • Entrainment impacts on Delta Smelt and Longfin Smelt under Alternatives 1,  
19 3, and 4.
- 20 • Impacts on bass nests at reservoirs on the Sacramento River system under  
21 Alternatives 1, 3, and 4.
- 22 • Temperature impacts on Striped Bass and Hardhead on the Stanislaus and  
23 San Joaquin rivers system under Alternatives 3 and 5.

## 24 **10.5 References**

- 25 BLM (Bureau of Land Management). 2006. *Welcome to the Sacramento River*  
26 *Rail Trail*.
- 27 BLM and NPS (Bureau of Land Management and National Park Service). 2008.  
28 *Environmental Assessment for the Lower Clear Creek Anadromous Fish*  
29 *Restoration & Management Project*. BLM EA RE-2008-16. April.
- 30 CALFED (CALFED Bay-Delta Program). 2000. *Final Programmatic*  
31 *Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report*. July.
- 32 CALFED et al. (CALFED Bay-Delta Program, Yolo Bypass Working Group, and  
33 Yolo Basin Foundation). 2001. *A Framework for the Future: Yolo Bypass*  
34 *Management Strategy*. August.
- 35 Cal-IPC (California Invasive Plant Council). 2006. *California Invasive Plant*  
36 *Inventory*. Cal-IPC Publication 2006-02. February.
- 37 CalTrans and DFG (California Department of Transportation and California  
38 Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of Fish and

- 1 Wildlife]). 2010. *California Essential Habitat Connectivity Project: A*  
 2 *Strategy for Conserving a Connected California*. February.
- 3 CBDA (California Bay-Delta Authority). 2004. *Environmental Water Program*  
 4 *Pilot Flow Augmentation Project: Concept Proposal for Flow Acquisition*  
 5 *on Lower Clear Creek*. August.
- 6 CCWA (Central Coastal Water Authority). 2013. *Central Coast Water Authority*  
 7 *fiscal year 2013/14 Budget*. April 25.
- 8 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014a. *Los Banos Wildlife*  
 9 *Area – Merced County*. Site accessed July 28, 2014.  
 10 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Los-Banos-WA>.
- 11 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014b. *Volta Wildlife Area*  
 12 *– Merced County*. Site accessed July 28, 2014.  
 13 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Volta-WA>.
- 14 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014c. *North Grasslands*  
 15 *Wildlife Area – Merced & Stanislaus County*. Site accessed July 28, 2014.  
 16 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/North-Grasslands-WA>.
- 17 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014d. *Miner Slough*  
 18 *Wildlife Area – Solano County*. Site accessed August 15, 2014.  
 19 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Miner-Slough-WA>.
- 20 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014e. *Rhode Island*  
 21 *Wildlife Area – Contra Costa County*. Site accessed August 15, 2014.  
 22 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Rhode-Island-WA>.
- 23 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014f. *White Slough*  
 24 *Wildlife Area – San Joaquin County*. Site accessed August 15, 2014.  
 25 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/White-Slough-WA>.
- 26 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014g. *Hill Slough*  
 27 *Wildlife Area – Solano County*. Site accessed August 15, 2014.  
 28 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Hill-Slough-WA>.
- 29 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014h. *Grizzly Island*  
 30 *Wildlife Area – General Information*. Site accessed August 15, 2014.  
 31 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Grizzly-Island-WA>.
- 32 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014i. *What's Going on at*  
 33 *Grizzly Island Wildlife Area During the Year*. Site accessed August 15,  
 34 2014. [https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Grizzly-Island-](https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Grizzly-Island-WA)  
 35 [WA](https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Grizzly-Island-WA).
- 36 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014j. *Point Edith Wildlife*  
 37 *Area – Contra Costa County*. Site accessed August 15, 2014.  
 38 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Point-Edith-WA>.
- 39 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014k. *Fremont Weir*  
 40 *Wildlife Area – Sutter and Yolo Counties*. Site accessed October 7, 2014.  
 41 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Fremont-Weir-WA>.

- 1 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014l. *Sacramento Bypass*  
2 *Wildlife Area –Yolo County*. Site accessed October 7, 2014.  
3 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Sacramento-Bypass->  
4 [WA](https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Sacramento-Bypass-WA).
- 5 CDFW (California Department of Fish and Wildlife). 2014m. *Calhoun Cut*  
6 *Ecological Reserve –Solano County*. Site accessed October 7, 2014.  
7 <https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/Lands/Places-to-Visit/Calhoun-Cut-ER>.
- 8 City of Escondido. 2012. *Escondido General Plan Update, Downtown Specific*  
9 *Plan Update, and Climate Action Plan, Environmental Impact Report*.  
10 April 23.
- 11 City of Hemet. 2012. *City of Hemet General Plan 2030, Environmental Impact*  
12 *Report, Final*. January 12.
- 13 County of Orange. 1996. *Final (Administrative Record Copy) Natural Community*  
14 *Conservation Plan & Habitat Conservation Plan*. July 17.
- 15 County of San Bernardino. 2011. *County of San Bernardino General Plan*  
16 *Amendment and Greenhouse Gas Reduction Plan, Draft Supplemental*  
17 *Program Environmental Impact Report*. March.
- 18 County of Sacramento. 2008. *American River Parkway Plan 2008*.
- 19 CVAG (Coachella Valley Association of Governments). 2007. *Final Recirculated*  
20 *Coachella Valley Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan and Natural*  
21 *Community Conservation Plan*. September.
- 22 DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
23 Fish and Wildlife]). 1988. *California Wildlife Habitat Relationships*  
24 *System, Fresh Emergent Wetland* by Gary Kramer. Site accessed October  
25 6, 2014. [https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife\\_habitats.asp](https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife_habitats.asp).
- 26 DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
27 Fish and Wildlife]). 1995. *Stanislaus River Basin and Calaveras River*  
28 *Water Use Program Threatened and Endangered Species Report*. March.  
29 Site accessed September 30, 2014.  
30 <http://www.dfg.ca.gov/delta/reports/stanriver/> with 56 attachments from  
31 this website.
- 32 DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
33 Fish and Wildlife]). 1999a. *California Wildlife Habitat Relationships*  
34 *System, Deciduous Orchard* by Robert F. Schultze. Site accessed October  
35 6, 2014. [https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife\\_habitats.asp](https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife_habitats.asp).
- 36 DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
37 Fish and Wildlife]). 1999b. *California Wildlife Habitat Relationships*  
38 *System, Evergreen Orchard* by Robert F. Schultze. Site accessed October  
39 6, 2014. [https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife\\_habitats.asp](https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife_habitats.asp).
- 40 DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
41 Fish and Wildlife]). 1999c. *California Wildlife Habitat Relationships*

- 1            *System, Vineyard* by Robert F. Schultze. Site accessed October 6, 2014.  
 2            [https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife\\_habitats.asp](https://www.dfg.ca.gov/biogeodata/cwhr/wildlife_habitats.asp).
- 3            DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
 4            Fish and Wildlife]). 2007. *Lower Sherman Island Wildlife Area Land  
 5            Management Plan, Final*. April.
- 6            DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
 7            Fish and Wildlife]). 2008. *California Aquatic Invasive Species  
 8            Management Plan*. January.
- 9            DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
 10           Fish and Wildlife]). 2011a. *Gray Lodge Wildlife Area Water Management  
 11           Plan*. July 11.
- 12           DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
 13           Fish and Wildlife]). 2011b. *North Grasslands Wildlife Area, China Island  
 14           Unit, Water Management Plan*. June 23.
- 15           DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
 16           Fish and Wildlife]). 2011c. *North Grasslands Wildlife Area, Salt Slough  
 17           Unit, Water Management Plan*. February 16.
- 18           DFG (California Department of Fish and Game [now known as Department of  
 19           Fish and Wildlife]). 2012. California Natural Diversity Database
- 20           DFG and Yolo Basin Foundation (California Department of Fish and Game [now  
 21           known as Department of Fish and Wildlife] and Yolo Basin Foundation).  
 22           2008. *Yolo Bypass Wildlife Area Land Management Plan*. June.
- 23           DOI and DFG (Department of the Interior and California Department of Fish and  
 24           Game [now known as Department of Fish and Wildlife]). 2012. *Klamath  
 25           Facilities Removal Final Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental  
 26           Impact Report*. December.
- 27           DSC (Delta Stewardship Council). 2011. *Draft Delta Plan Program  
 28           Environmental Impact Report*. November.
- 29           DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 1997. *Quail Lake*. July.
- 30           DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2001. *South Bay Aqueduct  
 31           (Bethany Reservoir and Lake Del Valle)*. April.
- 32           DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2003. *Initial Study/Mitigated  
 33           Negative Declaration, Public Review Draft, Decker Island Phase II  
 34           Habitat Development and Levee Rehabilitation Project*. July.
- 35           DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2004a. *Draft Final Report  
 36           SP-T1: Effects of Project Operations and Features on Wildlife and  
 37           Wildlife Habitat, Oroville Facilities Relicensing FERC Project No. 2100*.  
 38           April.
- 39           DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2004b. *SP-T3/5 Project  
 40           Effects on Riparian Resources, Wetlands, and Associated Floodplains*,

- 1           *Draft Final Report, Oroville Facilities Relicensing FERC Project No.*  
2           *2100.* July.
- 3     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2004c. *Draft Environmental*  
4           *Impact Report for the Simulation of Natural Flows in Middle Piru Creek.*  
5           November.
- 6     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2005. *Department of Water*  
7           *Resources South Bay Aqueduct Improvement and Enlargement Project,*  
8           *Conformed EIR.* June.
- 9     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2007a. *Draft Environmental*  
10           *Impact Report Oroville Facilities Relicensing—FERC Project No. 2100.*  
11           May.
- 12     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2007b. *Monterey Plus Draft*  
13           *Environmental Impact Report.* October.
- 14     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2007c. *Value Engineering*  
15           *Study, Franks Tract Pilot Project, Final Report.* June.
- 16     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2009a. *The California Water*  
17           *Plan Update 2009, Bulletin 160-09.* December.
- 18     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2009b. *East Branch*  
19           *Extension Phase I Improvements Project, Draft Supplemental*  
20           *Environmental Impact Report No. 2.* March.
- 21     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2010a. *Perris Dam*  
22           *Remediation Program, Draft Environmental Impact Report.* January.
- 23     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2010b. *Final Environmental*  
24           *Impact Report, North Delta Flood Control and Ecosystem Restoration*  
25           *Project.* October.
- 26     DWR (Department of Water Resources). 2011. Effects of U.S. Army Corps of  
27           Engineers' Policy on Levee Vegetation in California. Accessed September  
28           26, 2011. [water.ca.gov/floodsafe/leveeveg/](http://water.ca.gov/floodsafe/leveeveg/).
- 29     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2012. *2012 Central Valley*  
30           *Flood Protection Plan Consolidated Final Program Environmental*  
31           *Impact Report.* July.
- 32     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2013a. *Upper Feather River*  
33           *Lakes.* April.
- 34     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2013b. *California's Flood*  
35           *Future Highlights, Recommendations for Managing the State's Flood*  
36           *Risk, Final.* November.
- 37     DWR (California Department of Water Resources). 2014. *Initial Study/Proposed*  
38           *Mitigated Negative Declaration, Bethany Reservoir Sediment Removal*  
39           *Project.* May.

- 1 DWR and Reclamation (Department of Water Resources and Bureau of  
2 Reclamation). 2002. *Riparian Vegetation of the San Joaquin River*.  
3 *Technical Information Record SJD-02-1*. May.
- 4 DWR, Reclamation, USFWS and NMFS (California Department of Water  
5 Resources, Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and  
6 National Marine Fisheries Service). 2013. *Draft Environmental Impact*  
7 *Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Bay Delta Conservation*  
8 *Plan*. November.
- 9 DWR et al. (California Department of Water Resources, Yuba County Water  
10 Agency, Bureau of Reclamation). 2007. *Draft Environmental Impact*  
11 *Report/Environmental Impact Statement for the Proposed Lower Yuba*  
12 *River Accord*. June.
- 13 EBMUD (East Bay Municipal Utility District). 1999. *East Bay Watershed Master*  
14 *Plan*. March.
- 15 EBRPD (East Bay Regional Park District). 1996. *Master Plan 1997*. December  
16 17.
- 17 EBRPD (East Bay Regional Park District). 2001. *Wildland Management Policies*  
18 *and Guidelines*. June 5.
- 19 EBRPD (East Bay Regional Park District). 2012. *Del Valle Regional Park,*  
20 *Checklist of Wild Plants, Sorted Alphabetically by Growth, Form*  
21 *Scientific Name*. February 27.
- 22 EBRPD (East Bay Regional Park District). 2013. *Master Plan 2013*. July 16.
- 23 ECCCHCPA (East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan Association).  
24 2006. *Final East Contra Costa County Habitat Conservation Plan/Natural*  
25 *Community Conservation Plan*. October.
- 26 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 2007. *Final Environmental*  
27 *Impact Statement for Hydropower License, Oroville Facilities – FERC*  
28 *Project No. 2100-052*. May.
- 29 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 2013. *Draft Environmental*  
30 *Impact Statement for Hydropower License*. May.
- 31 FERC (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission). 2015. *FERC: Hydropower-*  
32 *General Information – Licensing*. Site accessed April 29, 2015.  
33 <http://www.ferc.gov/industries/hydropower/gen-info/licensing.asp>.
- 34 Goodwin Power, LLC. 2013. Submittal to Federal Energy Regulatory  
35 Commission by American Renewables, LLC. Re: Goodwin Dam  
36 Hydroelectric Project. FERC Project No. 13728. Transmittal of  
37 Notification of Intent and Pre-Application Document, Request for  
38 Comments. October 30.
- 39 GRCD (Grasslands Resource Conservation District). 2014. *Grasslands Resource*  
40 *Conservation District*. Site accessed October 1, 2014.  
41 <http://gwdwater.org/grcd/who-we-are.php>.

- 1 Green Diamond Resource Company. 2006. *Aquatic Habitat Conservation Plan*  
2 *and Candidate Conservation Agreement with Assurances*. October.
- 3 Hartman, C.A., and K. Kyle. 2010. *Farming for Birds: Alfalfa and Forages as*  
4 *Valuable Wildlife Habitat*. IN: Proceedings, 2010 California Alfalfa &  
5 Forage Symposium and Corn/Cereal Silage Mini-Symposium, Visalia,  
6 CA, 1-2. December, 2010. UC Cooperative Extension, Plant Sciences  
7 Department, University of California, Davis.
- 8 Hickson, D., and T. Keeler-Wolf. 2007. *Vegetation and Land Use Classification*  
9 *and Map of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta*. February.
- 10 Huddleston, Robert J. 2001. "Mendota Wildlife Area: A key habitat in the San  
11 Joaquin Valley" *Outdoor California*, July-August 2001.
- 12 Kozlowski, T.T., and S.G. Pallardy. 2002. "Acclimation and Adaptive  
13 Responses of Woody Plants to Environmental Stresses". *The Botanical*  
14 *Review*, Vol. 68, No. 2, pp. 270–334.
- 15 Lake Arrowhead. 2014a. *Lake Arrowhead*. Site accessed May 19, 2014.  
16 <http://www.lakearrowhead.com/activities.html>.
- 17 Lake Arrowhead. 2014b. *Lake Arrowhead, Hiking Tips*. Site accessed  
18 October 5, 2014. <http://www.lakearrowhead.com/hikingtips.html>.
- 19 Merz, J.E., Moyle, P.B. 2006. *Salmon, Wildlife, and Wine: Marine-derived*  
20 *Nutrients in Human-Dominated Ecosystems of Central California*.  
21 *Ecological Applications* 16: 999–1009.
- 22 MWD (Metropolitan Water District of Southern California). 2014. *Diamond*  
23 *Valley Lake, Southwestern Riverside County Multi-Species Reserve*. Site  
24 accessed October 5, 2014. <http://www.dvlake.com/shiple01.html>.
- 25 NCRWQCB et al. (California North Coast Regional Water Quality Control Board  
26 and Bureau of Reclamation). 2009. *Channel Rehabilitation and Sediment*  
27 *Management for Remaining Phase 1 and Phase 2 Sites, Draft Master*  
28 *Environmental Impact Report and Environmental Assessment*. June.
- 29 NCRWQCB et al. (California North Coast Regional Water Quality Control  
30 Board, Bureau of Reclamation, Bureau of Land Management). 2013.  
31 *Trinity River Channel Rehabilitation Sites: Bucktail, (River*  
32 *Mile 105.3-106.35) and Lower Junction City (River Mile 78.8-79.8), Draft*  
33 *Environmental Assessment/Initial Study*. December.
- 34 NID (Nevada Irrigation District). 2005. *Raw Water Master Plan Update, Phase I:*  
35 *Technical Analysis, Volume I, Final Report*. September.
- 36 Nogeire T.M., F.W. Davis, J.M. Duggan, K.R. Crooks, and E.E. Boydston. 2013.  
37 *Carnivore Use of Avocado Orchards across an Agricultural-Wildland*  
38 *Gradient*. *PLoS ONE* 8(7): e68025.  
39 <http://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0068025>.



- 1 NPS (National Park Service). 1999. *Whiskeytown Unit, Whiskeytown-Shasta-*  
2 *Trinity National Recreation Area General Management Plan and*  
3 *Environmental Impact Statement*. June.
- 4 NPS (National Park Service). 2014. *Whiskeytown National Recreation Area,*  
5 *Environmental Assessment and Assessment of Effect, Princess Ditch Trail*  
6 *Construction*. March.
- 7 PFC (Pacific Flyway Council). 2014. *Pacific Flyway Council, Coordinated*  
8 *Management*. Site accessed October 7, 2014.  
9 <http://www.pacificflyway.gov/>.
- 10 Philipp, M. 2005. *Decker Island Wildlife Area: Enhancing Delta wetlands one*  
11 *phase at a time*. Outdoor California. March-April edition. Pages 4-8.
- 12 Plumas County. 2012. *2035 Plumas County General Plan Update Draft*  
13 *Environmental Impact Report*. November.
- 14 Putnam, D., M. Russelle, S. Orloff, J. Kuhn, L. Fitzhugh, L. Godfrey, A. Kleiss,  
15 and R. Long. 2001. *Alfalfa, Wildlife, and the Environment: the*  
16 *Importance and Benefits of Alfalfa in the 21st Century*. Published by  
17 California Alfalfa and Forage Association.
- 18 RCWD (Rancho California Water District). 2015. *Welcome to Vail Lake*. Site  
19 accessed June 15, 2015.  
20 <http://www.ranchowater.com/index.aspx?NID=265>.
- 21 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2005a. *Central Valley Project Long-Term*  
22 *Water Service Contract Renewal American River Division Environmental*  
23 *Impact Statement*. June.
- 24 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2005b. *Central Valley Project Long-*  
25 *Term Water Service Contract Renewal San Luis Unit, Public Draft*  
26 *Environmental Impact Statement and Appendices*. September.
- 27 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2010a. *2010-2011 Water Transfer*  
28 *Program Draft Environmental Assessment*. January.
- 29 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2010b. *New Melones Lake Area, Final*  
30 *Resource Management Plan and Environmental Impact Statement*.  
31 February.
- 32 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2010c. *Cachuma Lake Final Resource*  
33 *Management Plan/Environmental Impact Statement*. May.
- 34 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2012. *Refuge Water Supply Program*  
35 *2012 Annual Work Plan, CVPIA 3406(b)(3) & (d)(1)(2)(5)*.
- 36 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2013a. *Shasta Lake Water Resources*  
37 *Investigation Draft Environmental Impact Statement*. June.
- 38 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2013b. *Record of Decision, Water*  
39 *Transfer Program for the San Joaquin River Exchange Contractors Water*  
40 *Authority, 2014-2038*. July 30.

Chapter 10: Terrestrial Biological Resources

- 1 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2014a. *Draft Resource Management Plan*  
2 *and Draft Environmental Impact Statement, Contra Loma Reservoir and*  
3 *Recreation Area*. May.
- 4 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2014b. *Findings of No Significant Impact,*  
5 *2014 Tehama-Colusa Canal Authority Water Transfers*. April 22.
- 6 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2014c. *Findings of No Significant Impact,*  
7 *2014 San Luis & Delta-Mendota Water Authority Water Transfers*.  
8 April 22.
- 9 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2014d. *Long-Term Water Transfers*  
10 *Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report, Public*  
11 *Draft*. September.
- 12 Reclamation (Bureau of Reclamation). 2014e. *Upper San Joaquin River Basin*  
13 *Storage Investigation, Draft Environmental Impact Statement*. August.
- 14 Reclamation and DWR (Bureau of Reclamation, and California Department of  
15 Water Resources). 2011. *San Joaquin River Restoration Program*  
16 *Environmental Impact Statement/Report*.
- 17 Reclamation and State Parks (Bureau of Reclamation and California Department  
18 of Parks and Recreation). 2010. *Millerton Lake Final Resource*  
19 *Management Plan/General Plan Environmental Impact*  
20 *Statement/Environmental Impact Report*. April.
- 21 Reclamation and State Parks (Bureau of Reclamation and California Department  
22 of Parks and Recreation). 2013. *San Luis Reservoir State Recreation*  
23 *Area, Final Resource Management Plan/General Plan and Final*  
24 *Environmental Impact Statement/ Environmental Impact Report*. June.
- 25 Reclamation, CCWD, and Western (Bureau of Reclamation, Contra Costa Water  
26 District, and Western Area Power Administration). 2010. *Los Vaqueros*  
27 *Expansion Project, Environmental Impact Statement/Environmental*  
28 *Impact Report*. March.
- 29 Reclamation et al. (Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,  
30 California Department of Fish and Game (now known as Department of  
31 Fish and Wildlife Service)). 2001a. *Final NEPA Environmental*  
32 *Assessment and CEQA Initial Study, Refuge Water Supply Long-Term*  
33 *Water Supply Agreements, Sacramento River Basin*. January.
- 34 Reclamation et al. (Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service,  
35 California Department of Fish and Game (now known as Department of  
36 Fish and Wildlife Service)). 2001b. *Final NEPA Environmental*  
37 *Assessment and CEQA Initial Study, Refuge Water Supply Long-Term*  
38 *Water Supply Agreements, San Joaquin River Basin*. January.
- 39 Reclamation et al. (Bureau of Reclamation, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers,  
40 California Department of Water Resources, State of California  
41 Reclamation Board, and Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency). 2006.

- 1            *Folsom Dam Safety and Flood Damage Reduction Draft Environmental*  
 2            *Impact Statement/Environmental Impact Report*. December.
- 3        Reclamation et al. (Bureau of Reclamation, Contra Costa Water District, and  
 4            Western Area Power Administration). 2009. *Draft Los Vaqueros*  
 5            *Reservoir Expansion Project, Environmental Impact Statement*  
 6            *Environmental Impact Report*. February.
- 7        Reclamation et al. (Bureau of Reclamation, California Department of Fish and  
 8            Game [now known as Department of Fish and Wildlife], and U.S. Fish  
 9            and Wildlife Service). 2011. *Suisun Marsh Habitat Management,*  
 10           *Preservation, and Restoration Plan Final Environmental Impact*  
 11           *Statement/Environmental Impact Report*. November.
- 12        Riverside County. 2003. *Western Riverside County Multiple Species Habitat*  
 13           *Conservation Plan*. June 17, 2003.
- 14        Riverside County Habitat Conservation Agency. 2014. *Habitat Conservation*  
 15           *Plan for the Stephen's Kangaroo Rat in Western Riverside County,*  
 16           *California*. Site accessed October 7, 2014.  
 17           <http://www.skrplan.org/skr.html>.
- 18        Roberts et al. (Roberts, M.D., D.R. Peterson, D.E. Jukkola, and V.L. Snowden).  
 19            2002. *A Pilot Investigation of Cottonwood Recruitment on the*  
 20            *Sacramento River, The Nature Conservancy*. May.
- 21        SANDAG (San Diego Association of Governments). 2003. *Final MHCP Plan,*  
 22           *Multiple Habitat Conservation Program*. March.
- 23        San Diego County. 2011. *San Diego County General Plan Update, Final*  
 24           *Environmental Impact Report*. August.
- 25        San Diego County. 2014a. *Multiple Species Conservation Program*. Site accessed  
 26            October 7, 2014. <http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/pds/mscp/>.
- 27        San Diego County. 2014b. *About the MSCP*. Site accessed October 7, 2014.  
 28            <http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/pds/mscp/overview.html>.
- 29        San Diego County. 2015. *About the MSCP*. Site accessed June 15, 2015.  
 30            <http://www.sandiegocounty.gov/content/sdc/pds/mscp/overview.html>.
- 31        Santa Clara County et al. (County of Santa Clara, City of San Jose, City of  
 32            Morgan Hill, City of Gilroy, Santa Clara Valley Water District, and Santa  
 33            Clara Valley Transportation Authority). 2012. *Final Santa Clara Valley*  
 34            *Habitat Plan*. August.
- 35        SBCWD (San Benito County Water District). 2012. *Initial Study, Zebra Mussel*  
 36            *Eradication Project: San Justo Reservoir, Hollister Conduit, & San Benito*  
 37            *County Water District Subsystems*. January.
- 38        SCVWD (Santa Clara Valley Water District). 2010. *Urban Water Management*  
 39            *Plan*.

- 1 SDCWA and USACE (San Diego County Water Authority and U.S. Army Corps  
2 of Engineers). 2008. *Final Environmental Impact Report/Environmental  
3 Impact Statement for the Carryover Storage and San Vicente Dam Raise  
4 Project*. April 2008.
- 5 SDCWA and USFWS (San Diego County Water Authority and U.S. Fish and  
6 Wildlife Service). 2010. *Final Environmental Impact  
7 Report/Environmental Impact Statement (EIR/EIS) for the San Diego  
8 County Water Authority Subregional Natural Community Conservation  
9 Plan/Habitat Conservation Plan (NCCP/HCP)*. October.
- 10 SDRP (San Dieguito River Park). *Keep Your Eye on Lake Hodges Wildlife*. Site  
11 accessed June 15, 2015.  
12 <http://www.sdrp.org/resources/What's%20Growing%20On/Lake%20Hodges%20Wildlife.htm>.  
13
- 14 SDRWWG (San Diego River Watershed Work Group). 2005. *San Diego River  
15 Watershed Management Plan, Final Watershed Management Plan*.  
16 March.
- 17 SFEI (San Francisco Estuary Institute-Aquatic Science Center). 2012.  
18 *Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Historical Ecology Investigation:  
19 Exploring Pattern and Process*. Whipple, A.A., R.M. Grossinger, D.  
20 Rankin, B. Stanford, and R.A. Askevold. Prepared for the California  
21 Department of Fish and Game and Ecosystem Restoration Program.  
22 Historical Ecology Program Publication #672. August.
- 23 Siegel et al. (Siegel, S., C. Enright, C. Toms, C. Enos, and J. Sutherland). 2010a.  
24 “Suisun Marsh Tidal Marsh and Aquatic Habitats Conceptual Model.  
25 Chapter 1: Physical Processes, Final Review Draft”. *Suisun Marsh  
26 Habitat Management, Restoration and Preservation Plan*. September 15.
- 27 Siegel et al. (Siegel, S., C. Toms, D. Gillenwater, and C. Enright). 2010b.  
28 “Suisun Marsh Tidal Marsh and Aquatic Habitats Conceptual Model.  
29 Chapter 3: Tidal Marsh, In-progress Review Draft.” *Suisun Marsh  
30 Habitat Management, Restoration and Preservation Plan*. October 26.
- 31 State Parks (California Department of Parks and Recreation). 2006. *Silverwood  
32 Lake State Recreation Area*.
- 33 State Parks (California Department of Parks and Recreation). 2009. *Silverwood  
34 Lake State Recreation Area, Nature Center Interpretive Project Plan*.  
35 April.
- 36 STNF (Shasta-Trinity National Forest). 2014. *Management Guide, Shasta and  
37 Trinity Units*. Version 2.12.2014.
- 38 SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 2006. *Water Quality Control  
39 Plan for the San Francisco Bay/Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta Estuary*.  
40 December 13.

- 1 SWRCB (State Water Resources Control Board). 2013. *Comprehensive (Phase 2)*  
 2 *Review and Update to the Bay-Delta Plan, DRAFT Bay-Delta Plan*  
 3 *Workshops Summary Report*. January.
- 4 SWSD (Semitropic Water Storage District). 2011. *Delta Wetlands Project Place*  
 5 *of Use, Final Environmental Impact Report*. August.
- 6 Tri-Dam Project. 2003. Letter from Steve Felte, Tri-Dam Project, and Mick  
 7 Klasson, Stanislaus County, to Interested Agencies Re: Request for  
 8 Preliminary Input on the Proposed Goodwin Hydroelectric Project.  
 9 August 8.
- 10 Tri-Dam Project. 2007. Submittal to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by  
 11 Tri-Dam Project, Re: Tulloch Project No. 2067, License Compliance  
 12 Filings, *Tulloch Project, FERC No. 2067, Tulloch Reservoir Shoreline*  
 13 *Management Plan*. November 21.
- 14 Tri-Dam Project. 2008. Submittal to Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by  
 15 Tri-Dam Project, Re: Tulloch Project No. 2067, License Compliance  
 16 Filings, *Tulloch Project, FERC No. 2067, Tulloch Reservoir Shoreline*  
 17 *Management Plan*. June 23.
- 18 USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2009. *ETL 1110-2-571 Guidelines For*  
 19 *Landscape Planting and Vegetation Management at Levees, Floodwalls,*  
 20 *Embankment Dams, and Appurtenant Structures*. April 10.
- 21 USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2010. *Draft Process for Requesting a*  
 22 *Variance from Vegetation Standards for Levees and Floodwalls--75 Fed.*  
 23 *Reg. 6364-68*. February 9.
- 24 USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2013. *Biological Assessment for the*  
 25 *U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Ongoing Operation and Maintenance of*  
 26 *Englebright Dam and Reservoir on the Yuba River*. October.
- 27 USACE (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers). 2014. *Voluntary Conservation*  
 28 *Measures – Habitat Enhancement on the Lower Yuba River, Yuba County,*  
 29 *California, Draft Environmental Assessment*. July.
- 30 USFS (U.S. Forest Service). 2005. *Upper Trinity River Watershed Analysis,*  
 31 *Shasta-Trinity National Forest*.
- 32 USFS (U.S. Forest Service). 2012. *Bald Eagles of Shasta, Trinity, and Lewiston*  
 33 *Lakes*. April.
- 34 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 1975. *Deer Loss Compensation*  
 35 *Program Resulting from Trinity River Division*. April.
- 36 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2002. *Environmental Assessment*  
 37 *Proposed North Delta National Wildlife Refuge*.
- 38 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2004. Intra-Service Formal Section 7  
 39 Consultation/Conference for Issuance of an Endangered Species Act  
 40 Section 10(a)(1)(B) Permit (TE-088609-0) for the Western Riverside

- 1 County Multiple Species Habitat Conservation Plan, Riverside County,  
2 California. June 22.
- 3 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2006. *San Joaquin River National  
4 Wildlife Refuge Draft Comprehensive Conservation Plan and  
5 Environmental Assessment*. Prepared by California/Nevada Operations  
6 Office, San Luis National Wildlife Refuge Complex, and  
7 California/Nevada Refuge Planning Office.
- 8 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2007. *Stone Lakes National Wildlife  
9 Refuge Comprehensive Conservation Plan*. January.
- 10 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2010. *Notice of Availability of Federal  
11 Assistance 2010 Request for Proposals, Mill Creek*.
- 12 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2012a. *San Luis National Wildlife  
13 Refuge, Resource Management*. December 18. Site accessed September  
14 30, 2014.  
15 [http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San\\_Luis/what\\_we\\_do/resource\\_management  
16 .html](http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San_Luis/what_we_do/resource_management.html).
- 17 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2012b. *San Luis National Wildlife  
18 Refuge, Wildlife & Habitat*. December 18. Site accessed  
19 September 30, 2014.  
20 [http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San\\_Luis/wildlife\\_and\\_habitat/index.html](http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San_Luis/wildlife_and_habitat/index.html).
- 21 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2012c. *San Luis National Wildlife  
22 Refuge*. December 18. Site accessed September 30, 2014.  
23 [http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San\\_Luis/about.html](http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San_Luis/about.html).
- 24 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2012d. *Merced National Wildlife  
25 Refuge, About the Merced National Wildlife Refuge*. December 19. Site  
26 accessed on July 28, 2014.  
27 <http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/Merced/about.html>.
- 28 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2012e. *San Joaquin River National  
29 Wildlife Refuge Wildlife & Habitat*. December 21. Site accessed  
30 July 28, 2014.  
31 [http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San\\_Joaquin\\_River/wildlife\\_and\\_habitat/inde  
32 x.html](http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San_Joaquin_River/wildlife_and_habitat/index.html).
- 33 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2012f. *San Joaquin River National  
34 Wildlife Refuge, About the Refuge*. December 21. Site accessed  
35 October 1, 2014.  
36 [http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San\\_Joaquin\\_River/about.html](http://www.fws.gov/Refuge/San_Joaquin_River/about.html).
- 37 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013a. *Sacramento National Wildlife  
38 Refuge, About the Sacramento NWR Complex*. Site accessed  
39 July 28, 2014.  
40 <http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Sacramento/Aboutthecomplex.html>.

- 1 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013b. *San Luis National Wildlife*  
2 *Refuge, About the Complex*. April 2. Site accessed September 30, 2014.  
3 [http://www.fws.gov/refuge/san\\_luis/About\\_the\\_Complex.html](http://www.fws.gov/refuge/san_luis/About_the_Complex.html).
- 4 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013c. *San Luis National Wildlife*  
5 *Refuge, Grasslands Wildlife Management Area*. April 9. . Site accessed  
6 July 28, 2014. [http://www.fws.gov/refuge/san\\_luis/grasslands.html](http://www.fws.gov/refuge/san_luis/grasslands.html).
- 7 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013d. *Kern National Wildlife Refuge,*  
8 *About the Complex*. November 21. Site accessed July 29, 2014.  
9 [http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Kern/About\\_the\\_Complex.html](http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Kern/About_the_Complex.html).
- 10 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2013e. *Kern National Wildlife Refuge,*  
11 *Wildlife & Habitat*. November 21. Site accessed July 29, 2014.  
12 [http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Kern/wildlife\\_and\\_habitat/index.html](http://www.fws.gov/refuge/Kern/wildlife_and_habitat/index.html).
- 13 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014a. Critical habitat list for Alameda  
14 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 15 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014b. Critical habitat list for Butte  
16 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 17 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014c. Critical habitat list for Colusa  
18 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 19 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014d. Critical habitat list for Contra  
20 Costa County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
21 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 22 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014e. Critical habitat list for Del  
23 Norte County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
24 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 25 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014f. Critical habitat list for El  
26 Dorado County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
27 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 28 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014g. Critical habitat list for Fresno  
29 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
30 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/politicalList.do>
- 31 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014h. Critical habitat list for Glenn  
32 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 33 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014i. Critical habitat list for Humboldt  
34 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 35 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014j Critical habitat list for Kern  
36 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 37 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014k. Critical habitat list for Kings  
38 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.

Chapter 10: Terrestrial Biological Resources

- 1 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014l. Critical habitat list for Los  
2 Angeles County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
3 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 4 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014m. Critical habitat list for Madera  
5 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 6 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014n. Critical habitat list for Merced  
7 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 8 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014o. Critical habitat list for Nevada  
9 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 10 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014p. Critical habitat list for Orange  
11 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 12 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014q. Critical habitat list for Placer  
13 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 14 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014r. Critical habitat list for Plumas  
15 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 16 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014s. Critical habitat list for Riverside  
17 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 18 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014t. Critical habitat list for  
19 Sacramento County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
20 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 21 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014u. Critical habitat list for San  
22 Benito County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
23 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 24 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014v. Critical habitat list for San  
25 Bernardino County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
26 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 27 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014w. Critical habitat list for San  
28 Diego County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
29 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 30 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014x. Critical habitat list for San  
31 Joaquin County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
32 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 33 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014y. Critical habitat list for Santa  
34 Barbara County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
35 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 36 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014z. Critical habitat list for Santa  
37 Clara County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
38 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 39 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014aa. Critical habitat list for Shasta  
40 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.



- 1 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ab. Critical habitat list for Solano  
2 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 3 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ac. Critical habitat list for  
4 Stanislaus County. Site accessed September 28, 2014.  
5 <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 6 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ad. Critical habitat list for Sutter  
7 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 8 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ae. Critical habitat list for Tehama  
9 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 10 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014af. Critical habitat list for Trinity  
11 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 12 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ag. Critical habitat list for Tulare  
13 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 14 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ah. Critical habitat list for Ventura  
15 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 16 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ai. Critical habitat list for Yolo  
17 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 18 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014aj. Critical habitat list for Yuba  
19 County. Site accessed September 28, 2014. <http://ecos.fws.gov/crithab/>.
- 20 USFWS (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service). 2014ak. *Pixley National Wildlife*  
21 *Refuge*. Site accessed October 1, 2014.  
22 <http://www.fws.gov/refuges/profiles/index.cfm?id=81612>.
- 23 USFWS et al. (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Bureau of Reclamation, Hoopa  
24 Valley Tribe, and Trinity County). 1999. *Trinity River Mainstem Fishery*  
25 *Restoration Environmental Impact Statement/Report*. October.
- 26 UWCD (United Water Conservation District). 1999. *Lake Piru Recreation Area*  
27 *Master Plan, Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration*. August.
- 28 UWCD (United Water Conservation District). 2014. *Facilities and Strategies*.  
29 Site accessed March 26, 2014. [http://www.unitedwater.org/about-us-](http://www.unitedwater.org/about-us-6/facilities-a-strategies)  
30 [6/facilities-a-strategies](http://www.unitedwater.org/about-us-6/facilities-a-strategies).
- 31 Witham, C.W and Karacfelas, G.A. 1994. *Final Report, Botanical Resources*  
32 *Inventory At Calhoun Cut Ecological Reserve Following California's*  
33 *Recent Drought*. Prepared for California Department of Fish and Game  
34 (now known as California Department of Fish and Wildlife). August 15.
- 35 YNHP (Yolo County Natural Heritage Program). 2015. *Yolo Natural Heritage*  
36 *Plan*. Site accessed June 3, 2015. <http://www.yoloconservationplan.org/>.
- 37 Yolo County. 2013. *Final Report: Agricultural and Economic Impacts of Yolo*  
38 *Bypass Fish Habitat Proposals*. Prepared by Richard Howitt, Duncan  
39 MacEwan, Chloe Garnache, Josue Medellin Azura, Petrea Marchand,  
40 Doug Brown, Johan Six, and Juhwan Lee. April.

Chapter 10: Terrestrial Biological Resources

- 1 Yurok Tribe. 2000. *Lower Klamath River Sub-Basin Watershed Restoration Plan*.
- 2 April.
- 3 Yuba County. 2011. *Final Yuba County 2030 General Plan Environmental*
- 4 *Impact Report*. May.