SAN LUIS UNIT

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENT
LONG-TERM CONTRACT RENEWAL

Appendix A
Economic Analysis of November 1999 Tiered Pricing Proposal for PEIS Preferred Alternative

September 2005

Economic Analysis of November 1999 Tiered Pricing Proposal for PEIS Preferred Alternative

Date: April 24, 2000

This submittal presents the results of an Economic Analysis of the application to the PEIS Preferred Alternative of the November 1999 unit rates for CVP water and Tiered Pricing Proposal.

The PEIS Preferred Alternative included assumptions for the tiered pricing of CVP water that were developed during the preparation of the Draft PEIS. Subsequent to completion of the Final PEIS, a different tiered pricing proposal was developed. In addition, the PEIS assumed 1992 CVP water rates. This analysis includes the 1999 water rates. This submittal applies the new water rates and the November 1999 proposal to the Preferred Alternative and compares the results to the impact analysis of the PEIS Preferred Alternative. The level of detail presented in this submittal is consistent with the level of detail presented in the main PEIS document and the technical appendices. Tables are presented in the same format as used in the PEIS.

The economic analysis includes an evaluation of agricultural economics using Central Valley Production Model (CVPM), municipal and industrial water use economics for CVP water using the spreadsheet presented with the PEIS, and regional economics using IMPLAN. This memorandum discusses the new assumptions in the November 1999 proposal. However, this memorandum does not discuss the basic assumptions used in the PEIS models and analytical tools. This memorandum must be used in conjunction with the Draft PEIS and Final PEIS, including the methodology and modeling technical appendices, to explain the overall assumptions for evaluating the Preferred Alternative in the PEIS.

For the Agricultural Land Use and Economics analysis, the methodology used for applying CVP water rates was modified to allow for the new tiered pricing and the use of blended rates to determine a total water rate for all CVP water applied by an irrigation district or agency. These changes result in changes in water use due to the affordability of CVP water supplies, not a change in reliability.

For the Municipal and Industrial Water Use Economics analysis, blended rates had been used in the PEIS analysis. In addition, this analysis assumes that the municipal and industrial users will be able to afford the calculated water costs, as described in the PEIS. Therefore, CVP water deliveries do not change for the municipal and industrial analysis.

The Regional Economics analysis reflects only changes to agricultural and municipal and industrial sectors, but not recreation sectors.

Table of Contents for Technical Memorandum

SECTION 1
AGRICULTURAL LAND USE AND ECONOMICS

CONTRACT RENEWAL PROPOSAL WITH BLENDED WATER RATES

In the November 1999 proposal, Reclamation has proposed that water sold to CVP water service contractors be sold according to tiered water rates as required by CVPIA section 3404. Reclamation has also proposed that two categories of water be identified. Category 1 water would be calculated as the average delivery of the previous five years, and would be split into three tiers according to the 80-10-10 quantities defined in the CVPIA. Category 2 water would be any water available in excess of the 5-year rolling average, up to the total contract amount as defined by the Needs Analysis.

Tier 1 water rates include the cost-of-service component and any applicable Restoration charges and surcharges. Both the Restoration Charge and the capital component of the cost-of-service rate are subject to ability-to-pay limits. These limits are in effect for Bella Vista WD and Clear Creek CSD, contractors on the Corning and Tehama-Colusa Canals, and contractors receiving water from New Melones.

Tier 3 water rates include the full-cost rate (as defined in the Reclamation Reform Act) and any applicable Restoration Charges. No ability-to-pay relief is provided in this Tier. The Tier 2 water rate is the average of the applicable Tier 1 and Tier 3 rates. Category 2 water has the same rate as Tier 3.

For this proposal, it is assumed that water conservation guidelines allow contractors to blend the rate of CVP water delivered in any tier or Category, and that they do blend the rates. This is different from the assumption used to assess alternatives in the PEIS, in which contractors were assumed to sell CVP water to growers at tiered rates. Differences between PEIS pricing assumptions and this analysis are:

- This analysis assumes that contractors blend the price of all CVP water received at tiered rates into a single rate. Tiered rates to growers are assumed in the PEIS.
- The project water portion of Sacramento River water rights settlement contracts are not subject to the new pricing policy in this analysis. In the PEIS it was assumed that it was subject to tiered rates.
- Rates are based on the Irrigation Water Rates spreadsheets provided by Reclamation in November 1999. PEIS rates used the 1994 Irrigation Water Rates manual.
- Ability-to-pay relief is incorporated using the current payment capacity studies for Shasta County irrigation contractors, Corning Canal contractors, Tehama Colusa Canal contractors, and New Melones contractors. In the PEIS, payment capacity was based on a 1992 regional study (PEIS, 1999).

- In this analysis, ability to pay relief is provided in Tier 1, with none in Tier 3 - Tier 2 is the average of Tiers 1 and 3, and so provides 50% relief. In the PEIS, the same dollar amount of ability to pay relief is applied in all pricing tiers.

- A $7.00 per acre-foot Restoration Charge is assumed in this analysis. A $6.50 per acre-foot charge was used in the PEIS. The Friant surcharge was $7.00 per acre-foot in both studies.

- There is no lower bound on the usage of CVP water. In the PEIS each subregion was restricted to using at least the Tier 1 quantity of CVP supplies.

METHODOLOGY

Other than the differences listed above, the modeling approach and underlying data were the same as used for the PEIS. The Central Valley Production Model (CVPM) was used in this analysis, with modifications needed to assess the specific water pricing conditions proposed. Table 1 shows the regions of the CVPM and the corresponding service areas. Groundwater hydrology was not assessed as it was in the PEIS alternatives. Therefore, for purposes of analysis, most regions were assumed to have access to replacement groundwater if needed. Based on groundwater hydrology as described in the PEIS, the following subregions are assumed to be unable to replace any CVP water with groundwater on a long term basis: Shasta County irrigation contractors (subregion 1), Corning Canal contractors (subregion 2), and the Tehama-Colusa service area (subregion 3B).

Water deliveries from the CVPIA Preferred Alternative were used (Reclamation CVPIA PEIS, 1999). These deliveries were allocated on a yearly basis into pricing tiers and categories according to the rules described above. Weighted average (i.e., blended) prices were calculated for each year, with quantities in each tier and category based on the previous five years of delivery. In any given year, the quantity and blended price of water depends on the 6-year sequence leading up to and including the current year. Throughout this report the following conventions are use: an Average rear represents the average 1922-1990 water delivery from the CVPIA Preferred Alternative (Reclamation CVPIA PEIS, 1999); a Wet year represents the average delivery for the period of 1967-1971 from the CVPIA Preferred Alternative; and a Dry year is the average 1928-1934 delivery from The CVPIA Preferred Alternative.

A total of nine water supply sequences are assessed in this analysis and compared to the CVPIA Preferred Alternative:

Average-Average:	An average water year following a 5-year sequence of average years.
Wet-Average:	An average water year following a 5-year sequence of wet years.
Dry-Average:	An average water year following a 5-year sequence of dry years.
Average-Wet:	A wet water year following a 5-year sequence of average years.
Wet-Wet:	A wet water year following a 5-year sequence of wet years.
Dry-Wet:	A wet water year following a 5-year sequence of dry years.
Average-Dry:	A dry water year following a 5-year sequence of average years.
Wet-Dry:	A dry water year following a 5-year sequence of wet years.
Dry-Dry:	A dry water year following a 5-year sequence of dry years.

The CVP water rates used for each of the nine sequences described above and the CVPIA Preferred Alternative tiered prices are shown in Table 3. Tables 4-12 show the available CVP water service contract supplies by tier and the blended price for each of the 22 subregions under the nine sequences proposed for the Long-Term Contract Renewal analysis.

Results are shown for each of the nine sequences presented as differences compared to the CVPIA Preferred Alternative. When calculating differences from the CVPIA Preferred Alternative, sequences ending in an Average, Wet and Dry years are compared to the Average, Wet and Dry year CVPIA Preferred Alternative results respectively.

IRRIGATED ACRES

Changes in irrigated acres from the Preferred Alternative are summarized by region in Table 13. A complete list of changes by crop and subregion is provided as Table 17.

Both the Average-Average and Wet-Average scenarios show little difference from the Preferred Alternative under the Average hydrology conditions. The Dry-Average sequence shows a larger reduction in irrigated acres almost all of which comes from the Sacramento River region. Compared to the Wet year Preferred Alternative results, there is a similar pattern for the three Long-Term Contract Renewal sequences ending with Wet years. For all three of the Long Term Contract Renewal Sequences ending in a dry year there minimal increases in irrigated acreage compared to the Dry year CPVIA Preferred Alternative results. Irrigated acres remain unchanged under all nine sequences in the San Felipe Division.

The reduction in acreage in Average and Wet years preceded by a series of Dry years is a result of higher CVP water costs. Since the quantity of Category 1 water is based on the average deliveries of the preceding five years, the quantity of water eligible for Category 1 classification shrinks when a sustained drought is experienced. In an average or wet year follows a drought period, water becomes available however a large portion is classified as Category 2 and is priced at the full cost rate. This can be seen in Tables 6 and 9. When this relatively large block of full cost water is incorporated into the blended water price, all CVP supplies become more

expensive, and sometimes unaffordable. This result is not seen in the dry-dry sequence because there is not excess water that gets classified as Category 2.

GROSS AND NET REVENUE

Gross revenue (value of production) impacts follow acreage impacts quite closely, and are shown by region in Table 14. Compared to the Average Preferred Alternative, a small reduction of less than $1 million is estimated for the Average-Average and Wet-Average scenarios, and a $39 million reduction is estimated in Dry-Average scenario. Gross revenue also declines compared to the Wet Preferred Alternative with approximately $5 million reductions in Average and Wet years and a larger reduction of $29.6 million in the Dry-Wet scenario. In dry years preceded by all three hydrologic conditions, gross revenue is slightly higher when compared to the Preferred Alternative Dry year results. There were no changes in gross revenue for the San Felipe Division since there were no changes in irrigated acres compared to the CVPIA preferred Alternative. A complete list of changes in gross revenue by crop and subregion is provided as Table 18.

Net revenue impacts are separated into five components; Fallowed land, Groundwater pumping costs, Irrigation Costs, CVP water costs and higher crop prices. The CVP water cost component represents the impact to net revenue from changes in both the quantity of CVP water used and the price of CVP water. Therefore when the blended CVP water price increases, farmers frequently use less, and the net impact to the CVP water cost component can be positive even when the water price is higher. Table 15 summarizes the net income impacts by component. A negative entry in the table indicates a reduction in net revenue. A complete list of changes in net income by component for each subregion is provided as Table 19.

Relatively small net income impacts are seen in all water supply sequences at the State level. The Average-Average sequence compared to the Average year Preferred Alternative shows a decline of $2 million in net revenue for all of California. The Wet-Average scenario is estimated to have a net increase of approximately $4 million and the Dry-Average sequence a decrease of $11 million.

The net revenue impact in wet years relative to the Preferred Alternative wet results show a pattern similar to the Average year results. Dry years preceded by a series of Average and Wet years both show net decrease in revenue of about $4 million while the Dry-Dry sequence results in a $8 million decrease in State wide net revenue relative the Preferred Alternative Dry results.

Notice that following a series of dry years, the net revenue component associated with crop prices often results in a positive impact to net revenue. This occurs because some subregions are forced to reduce acreage because of higher blended CVP water prices, resulting in higher crop prices received for acreage that remains in production. Note also the positive impacts to net revenue due to CVP water cost following the dry condition. This occurs because large amounts of CVP water are no longer affordable and are not purchased. Frequently this increase in net revenue is offset by increases in groundwater pumping costs or reduction in net revenue from land fallowing.

There is a negative impact to net revenue from irrigation costs in all three of the Central Valley regions in each of the nine Long-Term Contract Renewal sequences. This impact is derived from the irrigation efficiency improvements induced by higher CVP water prices in the Average year sequences. The change in irrigation efficiency is carries through to the Wet and dry year sequences because they are short run analyses and irrigation technology is fixed in the short run. The increase in irrigation efficiency results in a reduction in the total water used in some subregions while irrigated acreage remains constant.

WATER USE

Table 16 summarizes water use changes by region. A complete list of changes in CVP water use and groundwater use by subregion is provided as Table 20. Water supplies other than CVP project water and groundwater are unaffected and not shown. The San Joaquin River region and most of the sequences for the Sacramento River region show the typical response represented by a shift away from CVP supplies to groundwater as CVP water becomes more expensive under the new pricing schemes. The Tulare Lake region and wet years proceeded by a series of Average and Wet years show what would be considered an atypical responses. In the Sacramento River region when five years of Wet and Average conditions are followed by a wet year, the model predicts that both groundwater and CVP water use will decline relative to the Preferred Alternative Wet condition. The decrease in groundwater use is mostly attributed to subregion 3b. In this subregion in a wet year coming out of a series of Average or Wet years the blended price is cheaper than the Preferred Alternative Tier 2 water cost as well as the cost of pumping groundwater. Therefore there is a shift away from groundwater to CVP supplies. In Average years preceded by Average or Wet years, the subregion is prevented from shifting to CVP because they are already using their full CVP supply.

In the Tulare Lake region there is a pattern of shifting from groundwater to CVP water that can be attributed to subregions 17 and 18. These subregions shift because under the blended pricing scheme the CVP water becomes cheaper than pumping groundwater; therefore they maximize their CVP water use.

In average and wet years preceded by a series of dry years, there is a large decrease in CVP water use in both the Sacramento and San Joaquin River regions. This is driven by the relatively high cost of CVP supplies under these conditions. Since many subregions receive less water in dry years, or the water falls into the higher tiers and it becomes unaffordable as the base from which the blended price tier quantities is calculated shrinks. This sets up a condition where when an Average or Wet year comes along, the additional water is classified as Category 2 and assessed the full cost price. The CVP blended price is a weighted average of all CVP supplies therefore the cost for all CVP water increases and the supplies often become unaffordable.

LOCALIZED IMPACTS

Certain subregions are substantially affected by the proposed water pricing.

- The Tehama-Colusa service area is the most-affected region. Limited groundwater availability and very high full-cost price relative to the value of water in agricultural production result in almost 60,000 acres out of production in the Dry-Average sequence and substantially higher cost for lands remaining in production. This analysis shows a one-year snapshot for two conditions. Because water pricing is based on historic delivery, a region (such as the Tehama-Colusa region) may never be able to "buy its way" back out from a drought. Looked at over a sequence of dry years such as 1928-34 or 1987-92, many or most of the districts in this area could not survive as CVP contractors.

- The analysis predicts that the Delta subregion will make a complete switch to groundwater supplies in all nine hydrologic sequences, assuming groundwater is available in all parts of the service area.

- The analysis estimates that the once an extended drought is experienced the Delta-Mendota service area would switch from its CVP water service supply to groundwater, assuming groundwater is available in all parts of the service area.

- Westlands Water District and many of the Friant Unit contractors would likely continue purchasing CVP water, but agricultural net revenue would decline substantially due to higher cost. Since these areas continue to purchase CVP supplies in all coming out of drought conditions they would eventually build their base deliveries up or "buy their way" back to pre-drought tier quantities and prices.

TABLE 1

CVPM SUBREGIONS AND DESCRIPTIONS

CVPM Subregion	Description of Major Water Users
1	CVP Users: Anderson Cottonwood, Clear Creek, Bella Vista, Sacramento River miscellaneous users.
2	CVP Users: Corning Canal, Kirkwood, Tehema, Sacramento River, miscellaneous
3	CVP Users: Glenn Colusa ID, Provident, Princeton-Codora, Maxwell, and Colusa Basin Drain MWC.
3B	Tehama Colusa Canal Service Area. CVP Users: Orland-Artois WD, most of County of Colusa, Davis, Dunnigan, Glide Kanawha, La Grande, Westside WD.
4	CVP Users: Princeton-Codora-Glenn, Colusa Irrigation Co., Meridian Farm WC, Pelger Mutual WC, Recl. Dist. 1004, Recl. Dist. 108, Robers Ditch, Sartain M.D., Sutter MWC, Swinford Tract IC, Tisdale Irrigation, Sacramento River miscellaneous
5	Most Feather River Region riparian and appropriative users.
6	Yolo, Solano Counties. CVP Users: Conaway Ranch, Sacramento River miscellaneous users.
7	Sacramento Co. north of American River. CVP Users: Natomas Central MWC, Sacramento River miscellaneous users, Pheasant Grove-Verona, San Juan Suburban.
8	Sacramento Co. south of American River, San Joaquin Co.
9	Delta Regions. CVP Users: Banta Carbona, West Side, Plainview.
10	Delta Mendota Canal. CVP Users: Pacheco, Del Puerto, Hospital, Sunflower, West Stanislaus, Mustang, Orestimba, Patterson, Foothill, San Luis WD, Broadview, Eagle Field, Mercy Springs, Pool Exchange Contractors, Schedule II water rights, more.
11	Stanislaus River water rights: Modesto ID, Oakdale ID, South San Joaquin ID.
12	Turlock ID.
13	Merced ID. CVP Users: Madera, Chowchilla, Gravely Ford.
14	CVP Users: Westlands WD.
15	Tulare Lake Bed. CVP Users: Fresno Slough, James, Tranquility, Traction Ranch, Laguna, Real. Dist. 1606.
16	Eastern Fresno Co. CVP Users: Friant-Kern Canal. Fresno ID, Garfield, International.
17	CVP Users: Friant-Kern Canal. Hills Valley, Tri-Valley Orange Cove.
18	CVP Users: Friant-Kern Canal, County of Fresno, Lower Tule River ID, Pixley ID, portion of Rag Gulch, Ducor, County of Tulare, most of Delano Earlimart, Exeter, Ivanhoe, Lewis Cr., Lindmore, Lindsay-Strathmore, Porterville, Sausalito, Stone Corral, Tea Pot Dome, Terra Bella, Tulare.
19	Kern Co. SWP Service Area.
20	CVP Users: Friant-Kern Canal. Shafter-Wasco, S. San Joaquin.
21	CVP Users: Cross Valley Canal, Friant-Kern Canal. Arvin Edison.

TABLE 2

CVP WATER RATES USED FOR LONG TERM CONTRACT RENEWAL ANALYSIS ($)

CVPM Subregion	Tiered Water Rates Used for LTCR analysis			Proposed Blended Water Rates for Water Service Contracts								
				Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry
				Followed by Average			Followed by Wet			Followed by Dry		
	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3									
1	12.01	37.56	63.12	19.67	14.98	14.14	23.91	19.67	18.20	25.19	21.09	19.67
2	10.71	36.40	62.09	18.42	10.71	49.66	29.55	18.42	52.83	10.71	10.71	18.42
3	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
3B	10.25	40.73	71.21	19.39	10.25	58.15	32.35	19.39	61.42	10.25	10.25	19.39
4	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
5	20.65	23.01	25.36	21.35	21.18	21.77	21.52	21.35	21.92	20.90	20.81	21.35
6	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
7	11.77	12.07	12.37	11.86	11.86	11.86	11.86	11.86	11.86	11.86	11.86	11.86
8	10.00	27.46	44.92	15.24	10.00	30.36	25.64	15.24	35.47	10.00	10.00	15.24
9	24.79	55.14	85.50	33.89	24.79	64.53	55.27	33.89	73.22	24.79	24.79	33.89
10	31.15	40.16	49.16	33.85	31.15	42.94	38.01	33.85	44.63	31.15	31.15	33.85
11	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
12	0.00	0.00	0.00	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
13	32.16	38.41	44.65	34.04	33.25	37.44	34.77	34.04	37.94	32.16	32.16	34.04
14	32.62	46.48	60.33	36.78	32.62	50.76	43.17	36.78	53.36	32.62	32.62	36.78
15	32.71	41.91	51.10	35.47	34.55	38.10	36.34	35.47	38.82	33.07	32.71	35.47
16	40.48	46.78	53.08	42.37	41.22	45.32	43.40	42.37	46.07	40.48	40.48	42.37
17	34.18	40.49	46.79	36.07	35.15	39.28	36.92	36.07	39.88	34.18	34.18	36.07
18	33.63	40.48	47.33	35.69	34.73	39.16	36.57	35.69	39.78	33.63	33.63	35.69
19	34.58	42.16	49.73	36.86	35.00	41.21	38.84	36.86	42.52	34.58	34.58	36.86
20	34.58	42.16	49.73	36.86	35.70	40.85	37.92	36.86	41.58	34.58	34.58	36.86
21	32.70	39.00	45.31	34.59	32.98	39.01	36.33	34.59	40.03	32.70	32.70	34.59

NOTES:

1. Blended rates used pricing components from the November, 1999 Irrigation Water Rates spreadsheets, Restoration Charge of $7.00
2. PEIS rates used regional estimates of payment capacity and allowed the same ATP relief in all tiers.
3. Blended rates use most recent available payment capacity studies from Reclamation, and allow ATP relief in Tier 1 but not in Tier 3.
4. Only Class 1 rates are shown for Friant Division. Friant surcharge is $7.00 in all rates.

TABLE 3

CVP WATER RATES USED IN PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE ($)

CVPM Subregion	Tiered Water Rates used in the PEIS Preferred Alternative		
	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3
1	5.91	14.63	23.35
2	11.83	24.70	37.57
3	2.83	5.27	7.71
3B	17.16	36.23	55.29
4	5.32	7.63	9.93
5	4.53	6.97	9.40
6	4.53	6.82	9.11
7	6.63	8.83	11.03
8	4.53	7.10	9.66
9	28.54	35.25	41.95
10	33.46	40.02	46.57
11	NA	NA	NA
12	NA	NA	NA
13	33.65	39.40	45.14
14	39.31	54.39	69.46
15	28.16	34.88	41.59
16	38.25	44.26	50.26
17	35.58	41.91	48.23
18	35.01	41.26	47.50
19	36.68	42.89	49.09
20	36.68	42.89	49.09
21	35.40	42.01	48.62

NOTES:

1. PEIS rates used pricing components from the 1994 Irrigation Water Rates Manual, Restoration Charge of $6.50.
2. PEIS rates used regional estimates of payment capacity and allowed the same ATP relief in all tiers.
3. Only Class 1 rates are shown for Friant Division. Friant surchage is $7.00 in all rates.

TABLE 4

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
AVERAGE YEAR FOLLOWING AVERAGE 5-YEAR BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	9.4	1.2	1.2	-	$ 19.67
2	21.9	2.7	2.7	-	$ 18.42
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	159.7	20.0	20.0	-	$ 19.39
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	16.0	2.0	2.0	-	$ 21.35
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	41.3	5.2	5.2	-	$ 15.24
9	22.5	2.8	2.8	-	$ 33.89
10	231.4	28.9	28.9	-	$ 33.85
11	-	-	-	-	
12	-	-	-	-	
13	153.6	19.2	19.2	-	$ 34.04
14	539.1	67.4	67.4	-	$ 36.78
15	32.3	4.0	4.0	-	$ 35.47
16	18.9	2.4	2.4	-	$ 42.37
17	34.9	4.4	4.4	-	$ 36.07
18	484.2	60.5	60.5	-	$ 35.69
19	13.1	1.6	1.6	-	$ 36.86
20	194.2	24.3	24.3	-	$ 36.86
21	129.7	16.2	16.2	-	$ 34.59

Table 5

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
AVERAGE YEAR FOLLOWING WET (1967-71) BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	10.4	1.3	0.0	-	$ 14.98
2	27.3	-	-	-	$ 10.71
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	199.6	-	-	-	$ 10.25
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	16.6	2.1	1.2	-	$ 21.18
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	51.6	-	-	-	$ 10.00
9	28.2	-	-	-	$ 24.79
10	289.2	-	-	-	$ 31.15
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	165.0	20.6	6.3	-	$ 33.25
14	673.8	-	-	-	$ 32.62
15	34.2	4.3	1.9	-	$ 34.55
16	21.0	2.6	0.1	-	$ 41.22
17	37.9	4.7	1.0	-	$ 35.15
18	523.8	65.5	15.9	-	$ 34.73
19	15.5	0.9	-	-	$ 35.00
20	211.7	26.5	4.6	-	$ 35.70
21	154.9	7.2	-	-	$ 32.98

Table 6

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
AVERAGE YEAR FOLLOWING DRY 5-YEAR BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	10.8	1.0	-	-	$ 14.14
2	6.2	0.8	0.8	19.6	$ 49.66
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	40.2	5.0	5.0	149.3	$ 58.15
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	14.3	1.8	1.8	2.1	$ 21.77
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	20.2	2.5	2.5	26.3	$ 30.36
9	9.2	1.1	1.1	16.7	$ 64.53
10	94.0	11.8	11.8	171.7	$ 42.94
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	104.4	13.0	13.0	61.6	$ 37.44
14	219.1	27.4	27.4	400.0	$ 50.76
15	26.8	3.4	3.4	6.8	$ 38.10
16	13.7	1.7	1.7	6.5	$ 45.32
17	24.5	3.1	3.1	13.1	$ 39.28
18	339.7	42.5	42.5	180.6	$ 39.16
19	8.7	1.1	1.1	5.6	$ 41.21
20	133.9	16.7	16.7	75.3	$ 40.85
21	76.2	9.5	9.5	66.8	$ 39.01

Table 7

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
WET YEAR FOLLOWING AVERAGE 5-YEAR BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	9.4	1.2	1.2	1.3	$ 23.91
2	21.9	2.7	2.7	9.4	$ 29.55
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	159.7	20.0	20.0	66.6	$ 32.35
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	16.0	2.0	2.0	0.9	$ 21.52
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	41.3	5.2	5.2	27.8	$ 25.64
9	22.5	2.8	2.8	19.9	$ 55.27
10	231.4	28.9	28.9	107.8	$ 38.01
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	153.6	19.2	19.2	14.3	$ 34.77
14	539.1	67.4	67.4	251.2	$ 43.17
15	32.3	4.0	4.0	2.4	$ 36.34
16	18.9	2.4	2.4	2.5	$ 43.40
17	34.9	4.4	4.4	3.8	$ 36.92
18	484.2	60.5	60.5	49.6	$ 36.57
19	13.1	1.6	1.6	3.0	$ 38.84
20	194.2	24.3	24.3	21.9	$ 37.92
21	129.7	16.2	16.2	31.5	$ 36.33

Table 8

PROJECT WATER BY PRICING TIERS
WET YEAR FOLLOWING WET (1967-71) BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	10.4	1.3	1.3	-	$ 19.67
2	29.4	3.7	3.7	-	$ 18.42
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	212.9	26.6	26.6	-	$ 19.39
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	16.6	2.1	2.1	-	$ 21.35
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	63.5	7.9	7.9	-	$ 15.24
9	38.5	4.8	4.8	-	$ 33.89
10	317.6	39.7	39.7	-	$ 33.85
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	165.0	20.6	20.6	-	$ 34.04
14	740.0	92.5	92.5	-	$ 36.78
15	34.2	4.3	4.3	-	$ 35.47
16	21.0	2.6	2.6	-	$ 42.37
17	37.9	4.7	4.7	-	$ 36.07
18	523.8	65.5	65.5	-	$ 35.69
19	15.5	1.9	1.9	-	$ 36.86
20	211.7	26.5	26.5	-	$ 36.86
21	164.9	19.4	19.4	-	$ 34.59

Table 9

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
WET YEAR FOLLOWING DRY 5-YEAR BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	10.8	1.3	0.9	-	$ 18.20
2	6.2	0.8	0.8	28.9	$ 52.83
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	40.2	5.0	5.0	215.9	$ 61.42
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	14.3	1.8	1.8	2.9	$ 21.92
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	20.2	2.5	2.5	54.1	$ 35.47
9	9.2	1.1	1.1	36.7	$ 73.22
10	94.0	11.8	11.8	279.5	$ 44.63
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	104.4	13.0	13.0	75.9	$ 37.94
14	219.1	27.4	27.4	651.1	$ 53.36
15	26.8	3.4	3.4	9.1	$ 38.82
16	13.7	1.7	1.7	9.1	$ 46.07
17	24.5	3.1	3.1	16.8	$ 39.88
18	339.7	42.5	42.5	230.2	$ 39.78
19	8.7	1.1	1.1	8.5	$ 42.52
20	133.9	16.7	16.7	97.2	$ 41.58
21	76.2	9.5	9.5	98.3	$ 40.03

Table 10

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
DRY YEAR FOLLOWING AVERAGE 5-YEAR BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	9.4	1.2	1.2	1.7	$ 25.19
2	7.8	-	-	-	$ 10.71
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	50.3	-	-	-	$ 10.25
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	16.0	1.9	-	-	$ 20.90
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	25.3	-	-	-	$ 10.00
9	11.5	-	-	-	$ 24.79
10	117.5	-	-	-	$ 31.15
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	130.4	-	-	-	$ 32.16
14	273.9	-	-	-	$ 32.62
15	32.3	1.3	-	-	$ 33.07
16	17.1	-	-	-	$ 40.48
17	30.6	-	-	-	$ 34.18
18	424.6	-	-	-	$ 33.63
19	10.9	-	-	-	$ 34.58
20	167.4	-	-	-	$ 34.58
21	95.3	-	-	-	$ 32.70

Table 11

PROJECT WATER APPLIED BY PRICING TIERS
DRY YEAR FOLLOWING WET (1967-71) BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	10.4	1.3	1.3	0.4	$ 21.09
2	7.8	-	-	-	$ 10.71
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	50.3	-	-	-	$ 10.25
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	16.6	1.2	-	-	$ 20.81
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	25.3	-	-	-	$ 10.00
9	11.5	-	-	-	$ 24.79
10	117.5	-	-	-	$ 31.15
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	130.4	-	-	-	$ 32.16
14	273.9	-	-	-	$ 32.62
15	33.6	-	-	-	$ 32.71
16	17.1	-	-	-	$ 40.48
17	30.6	-	-	-	$ 34.18
18	424.6	-	-	-	$ 33.63
19	10.9	-	-	-	$ 34.58
20	167.4	-	-	-	$ 34.58
21	95.3	-	-	-	$ 32.70

Table 12

PROJECT WATER BY PRICING TIERS
DRY YEAR FOLLOWING DRY (1928-34) BASE CONDITION

CVPM Subregion	Tier 1	Tier 2	Tier 3	Category 2	Blended Price ($/AF)
	(1000 AF)				
1	10.8	1.3	1.3	-	$ 19.67
2	6.2	0.8	0.8	-	$ 18.42
3	-	-	-	-	NA
3B	40.2	5.0	5.0	-	$ 19.39
4	-	-	-	-	NA
5	14.3	1.8	1.8	-	$ 21.35
6	-	-	-	-	NA
7	12.0	1.5	1.5	-	$ 11.86
8	20.2	2.5	2.5	-	$ 15.24
9	9.2	1.1	1.1	-	$ 33.89
10	94.0	11.8	11.8	-	$ 33.85
11	-	-	-	-	NA
12	-	-	-	-	NA
13	104.4	13.0	13.0	-	$ 34.04
14	219.1	27.4	27.4	-	$ 36.78
15	26.8	3.4	3.4	-	$ 35.47
16	13.7	1.7	1.7	-	$ 42.37
17	24.5	3.1	3.1	-	$ 36.07
18	339.7	42.5	42.5	-	$ 35.69
19	8.7	1.1	1.1	-	$ 36.86
20	133.9	16.7	16.7	-	$ 36.86
21	76.2	9.5	9.5	-	$ 34.59

TABLE 13

IRRIGATED ACRES BY SUBREGION (1000 ACRES)

CVPM Subregion	Average Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Average followed by Average			Wet Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Average followed by Wet			Dry Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Average followed by Dry		
		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
Sacramento River	2015.5	-1.7	-0.8	-65.3	2020.0	-5.9	-5.9	-54.1	1984.8	0.3	0.3	0.3
San Joaquin River	2526.6	-0.2	-0.2	-1.2	2529.1	-1.7	-1.7	-1.9	2505.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Tulare Lake	1992.4	0.0	0.0	-0.2	1996.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.3	1953.7	0.1	0.1	0.1
San Felipe	50.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
California Total	6585.2	-1.9	-1.0	-66.7	6614.8	-8.8	-8.8	-57.3	6466.6	0.4	0.4	0.3

TABLE 14

VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Average Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Average followed by Average: Average	Wet	Dry	Wet Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Wet PA followed by Wet: Average	Wet	Dry	Dry Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Dry PA followed by Dry: Average	Wet	Dry
Sacramento River	1,825.3	-0.4	-0.2	-37.6	1,828.0	-2.5	-2.5	-27.5	1,810.0	0.5	0.5	0.5
San Joaquin River	4,402.3	-0.1	-0.1	-1.0	4,403.8	-0.9	-1.0	-1.1	4,384.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
Tulare Lake	3,876.3	0.0	0.0	-0.3	3,879.4	-1.0	-1.0	-1.1	3,842.7	0.1	0.1	0.1
San Felipe	68.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
California Total	10,172.0	-0.5	-0.4	-38.8	10,181.2	-4.5	-4.5	-29.6	10,080.8	0.4	0.4	0.4

TABLE 15

NET REVENUE CHANGES BY REGION (Million $)

Cause of Net Revenue Change	Compared to Average Year PA			Compared to Wet Year PA			Compared to Dry Year PA		
	Average followed by Average	Wet followed by Average	Dry followed by Average	Average followed by Wet	Wet followed by Wet	Dry followed by Wet	Average followed by Dry	Wet followed by Dry	Dry followed by Dry
Sacramento River									
Fallowed Land	-0.1	0.0	-6.7	-0.4	-0.4	-4.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
Groundwater Pumping	-0.3	-0.3	-0.4	-0.1	-0.1	-5.8	3.7	3.7	3.7
Irrigation Cost	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
CVP Water Cost	-0.3	1.7	3.6	-5.1	-1.0	4.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.7
Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Change	**-1.0**	**1.0**	**-1.9**	**-5.9**	**-1.7**	**-5.2**	**3.3**	**3.3**	**2.8**
San Joaquin River									
Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	-10.3	-7.4	0.1	-14.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
Irrigation Cost	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
CVP Water Cost	1.0	4.0	2.3	7.9	6.1	6.2	-5.9	-5.9	-7.5
Higher Crop Prices	0.1	0.0	2.5	0.2	0.2	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Change	**0.9**	**3.9**	**-5.7**	**0.4**	**6.1**	**-7.3**	**-6.4**	**-6.4**	**-7.9**
Tulare Lake									
Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groundwater Pumping	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	-0.5	-0.5	-1.4
Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CVP Water Cost	-2.3	-1.2	-5.7	-3.1	-2.1	-6.4	-0.9	-0.9	-1.4
Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.1	0.1	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Change	**-2.1**	**-1.1**	**-4.2**	**-2.1**	**-1.1**	**-5.1**	**-1.3**	**-1.3**	**-2.8**
San Felipe									
Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
CVP Water Cost	-0.2	0.0	-0.6	-0.5	-0.2	-0.9	0.0	0.0	-0.1
Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Change	**-0.2**	**0.0**	**-0.6**	**-0.5**	**-0.2**	**-0.9**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**-0.1**
Total									
Fallowed Land	-0.1	-0.1	-6.9	-0.8	-0.8	-5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groundwater Pumping	-0.2	-0.2	-10.5	-6.5	1.0	-19.0	2.9	2.9	2.0
Irrigation Cost	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
CVP Water Cost	-1.6	4.5	0.2	-0.3	3.1	4.5	-6.9	-6.8	-9.5
Higher Crop Prices	0.1	0.1	5.8	0.5	0.5	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Net Change	**-2.3**	**3.7**	**-11.9**	**-7.6**	**3.3**	**-17.6**	**-4.4**	**-4.3**	**-7.9**

TABLE 16
IRRIGATION WATER APPLIED BY REGION (1000 AF)

Region	Average Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Average PA followed by Average: Average	Wet	Dry	Wet Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Wet PA followed by Wet: Average	Wet	Dry	Dry Preferred Alternative	Change Compared to Dry PA followed by Dry: Average	Wet	Dry
Sacramento River												
CVP Water*	625.9	-27.6	-23.4	-243.5	694.3	-2.4	-2.6	-305.5	402.1	-20.3	-20.3	-20.4
Groundwater	2,621.3	10.5	10.7	11.2	2,456.9	-31.5	-31.3	109.3	3,261.6	5.3	5.3	5.2
San Joaquin River												
CVP Water*	960.2	-8.7	-9.0	-269.0	1,226.6	-226.3	-21.0	-378.7	506	-17.5	-17.5	-17.5
Groundwater	3,606.2	3.3	3.5	260.0	2,974.2	214.9	10.2	366.7	4723	12.2	12.2	12.1
Tulare Lake												
CVP Water*	919.5	1.9	2.0	2.0	967.3	3.7	3.8	3.6	685.3	0.1	0.1	-9.4
Groundwater	3,369.0	-1.8	-2.0	-2.0	2,683.5	-7.7	-7.7	-7.5	4,542.9	0.0	0.0	9.4
San Felipe												
CVP Water*	71.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Groundwater	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na	na
Total												
CVP Water*	2,505.5	-34.4	-30.4	-510.5	2,868.2	-224.9	-19.9	-680.6	1,593.9	-37.7	-37.8	-47.2
Groundwater	9,596.5	11.9	12.3	269.2	8,114.6	175.7	-28.8	468.6	12,527.1	17.5	17.5	26.8

*CVP water applied is project water only. It excludes exchange contract delivery and the base supply portion of settlement contracts.

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
1	Pasture	18.3	-1.2	-0.3	-0.1	18.3	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5	18.1	-1.8	-1.8	-1.8
	Alfalfa	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	26.6	-1.3	-0.3	-0.1	26.5	-1.6	-1.6	-1.6	26.3	-1.9	-1.9	-1.9
2	Pasture	34.1	0.0	0.0	-3.6	33.9	0.0	0.0	-5.9	33.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	9.5	0.0	0.0	-0.3	9.5	0.0	0.0	-0.6	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	17.3	0.0	0.0	-0.5	17.2	0.0	0.0	-0.7	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	4.5	0.0	0.0	-0.2	4.5	0.0	0.0	-0.3	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	15.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	86.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	86.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	14.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	13.9	0.0	0.0	-0.6	13.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	195.0	0.0	0.0	-4.9	194.7	-0.1	-0.1	-8.2	193.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Pasture	7.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	138.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	139.5	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	136.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	25.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	289.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	290.7	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	286.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
3B	Pasture	5.7	0.0	0.0	-5.7	5.8	0.1	0.1	-1.5	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	10.1	0.0	0.0	-10.1	10.2	0.0	0.0	-2.6	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	5.6	0.0	0.0	-5.3	5.6	0.0	0.0	-2.8	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	13.4	0.0	0.0	-13.4	13.5	0.0	0.0	-13.5	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	9.6	0.0	0.0	-9.6	9.7	0.0	0.0	-9.7	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	0.6	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	6.1	0.0	0.0	-3.8	6.1	0.0	0.0	-1.8	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	26.9	0.0	0.0	-3.3	26.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	8.5	0.0	0.0	-8.5	8.6	0.0	0.0	-8.6	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	1.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	87.6	0.0	0.0	-59.9	87.9	0.1	0.1	-40.4	74.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
4	Pasture	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	40.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	87.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	87.8	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	87.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	34.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	30.6	0.0	0.0	-0.0	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	47.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	276.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	276.7	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	273.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Pasture	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.5	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	21.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	166.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	166.6	-0.5	-0.5	-0.4	165.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	121.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	22.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	364.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	364.9	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	362.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Pasture	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	11.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	28.7	0.0	0.0	0.1	29.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	21.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	21.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	59.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.9	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	59.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	45.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	45.9	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	45.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	24.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	64.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	64.6	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	63.3	0.2	0.2	0.2
	Grapes	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	280.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	282.2	-2.1	-2.1	-2.0	278.4	0.2	0.2	0.2
7	Pasture	14.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	48.3	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	47.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	9.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	91.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.5	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	90.5	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
8	Pasture	47.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	12.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	42.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	46.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	29.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	284.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	284.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	282.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Pasture	24.6	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	24.6	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	23.4	0.7	0.7	0.7
	Alfalfa	43.6	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	43.8	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	43.1	0.4	0.4	0.4
	Sugar Beets	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	28.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Other Field Crops	114.9	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	115.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	113.6	0.7	0.7	0.7
	Rice	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	46.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	42.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.3	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Deciduous Orchard	21.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	98.8	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	97.5	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	93.7	1.0	1.0	1.0
	Grapes	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	425.0	-0.6	-0.6	-0.4	425.9	-1.6	-1.6	-1.4	418.4	3.0	3.0	3.0
10	Pasture	13.3	0.0	0.0	-0.2	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	40.8	0.0	0.0	-0.3	40.9	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	40.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	48.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	48.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	48.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	112.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	112.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	40.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
10	Deciduous Orchard	36.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.1	0.0	0.1	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	103.1	0.0	0.0	-0.5	103.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	103.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	427.1	0.0	0.0	-1.1	427.2	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	427.1	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
11	Pasture	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	43.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	42.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	80.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	174.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	174.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	173.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	Pasture	18.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	18.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	41.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	94.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	200.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	200.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	200.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	Pasture	39.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.9	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	39.5	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
	Alfalfa	41.8	0.0	0.0	0.1	42.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	41.8	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Sugar Beets	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	54.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	54.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Rice	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	135.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	135.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	135.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	46.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	46.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Grapes	99.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	99.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	71.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	71.6	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Subtropical Orchard	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	532.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	534.1	-0.6	-0.8	-1.1	531.6	-0.9	-0.9	-0.9

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA: Average	Wet	Dry	Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA: Average	Wet	Dry	Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA: Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
14	Pasture	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	18.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	136.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	136.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	136.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	77.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	77.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	76.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	206.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	206.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	198.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	**500.4**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**500.5**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**489.9**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**
15	Pasture	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	83.1	0.0	0.0	0.2	83.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	80.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	86.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	86.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	84.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	71.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	67.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	242.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	242.7	0.0	0.0	-0.1	235.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	**600.1**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**-0.1**	**601.7**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**585.9**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**
16	Pasture	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	6.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	**111.4**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**0.0**	**111.8**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**	**111.3**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
17	Pasture	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	73.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	73.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	109.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	109.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	109.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	260.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	260.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	255.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	Pasture	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	62.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	62.6	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	59.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	78.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	78.5	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	75.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	69.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	41.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	36.8	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Grapes	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	56.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	170.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	171.2	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5	163.7	0.0	0.0	0.1
	Subtropical Orchard	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	97.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	592.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	594.9	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	577.2	0.1	0.1	0.1
19	Pasture	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	25.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	117.9	0.0	0.0	-0.1	117.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	253.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	253.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	249.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 17 IRRIGATED ACREAGE BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
20	Pasture	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	12.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	41.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	52.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	202.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	203.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	199.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
21	Pasture	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	27.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	16.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	107.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	107.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	107.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	36.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	120.8	0.0	0.0	-0.1	120.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	119.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	359.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	359.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	357.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES:

1. All acreage values in thousands.
2. A negative value represents a lower acreage in an alternative than in the Preferred Alternative.
3. Not all 12 crops are grown in all subregions.
4. Subregions 3 and 3B should be added together to get the complete subregion 3. 3B represents the area within this subregion served by the Tehama Colusa Canal.

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
1	Pasture	2.7	-0.2	0.0	0.0	2.6	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	2.6	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
	Alfalfa	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	8.4	-0.2	-0.1	0.0	8.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	8.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
2	Pasture	4.9	0.0	0.0	-0.5	4.9	0.0	0.0	-0.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	5.1	0.0	0.0	-0.2	5.1	0.0	0.0	-0.3	5.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	7.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	7.8	0.0	0.0	-0.3	7.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	3.8	0.0	0.0	-0.1	3.8	0.0	0.0	-0.3	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	55.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	55.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	55.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	91.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	91.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	91.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	4.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	3.9	0.0	0.0	-0.2	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	14.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	189.5	0.0	0.0	-1.3	189.4	0.0	0.0	-2.1	189.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	Pasture	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	116.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	116.6	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	116.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	89.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	89.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	37.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	298.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	299.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.2	295.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
3B	Pasture	0.8	0.0	0.0	-0.8	0.8	0.0	0.0	-0.2	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	5.4	0.0	0.0	-5.4	5.4	0.0	0.0	-1.4	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	4.1	0.0	0.0	-3.9	4.1	0.0	0.0	-2.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	6.1	0.0	0.0	-6.0	6.1	0.0	0.0	-6.1	4.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	8.2	0.0	0.0	-8.2	8.2	0.0	0.0	-8.2	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	2.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	2.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	8.9	0.0	0.0	-5.6	8.9	0.0	0.0	-2.7	8.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	28.6	0.0	0.0	-3.5	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	2.4	0.0	0.0	-2.4	2.4	0.0	0.0	-2.4	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	1.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	67.9	0.0	0.0	-36.2	68.1	0.1	0.1	-23.1	60.5	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
4	Pasture	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	74.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	74.8	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	74.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	60.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	49.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	32.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	260.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	260.9	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	259.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	Pasture	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	141.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	141.7	-0.4	-0.4	-0.3	140.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	23.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	23.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	129.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	129.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	129.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	320.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	320.5	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	319.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	Pasture	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	16.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	16.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	16.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	16.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	28.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	29.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	28.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	10.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	14.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	70.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	21.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	21.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Grapes	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	13.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	220.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	221.2	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	219.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	Pasture	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	39.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.7	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	39.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	62.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.4	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	61.9	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
8	Pasture	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	20.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	20.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	70.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	70.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	19.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	49.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	101.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	101.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	101.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	299.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	300.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	299.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	Pasture	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	3.4	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Alfalfa	25.6	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	25.7	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	25.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	Sugar Beets	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	21.9	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Other Field Crops	55.9	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	56.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	55.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Rice	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	190.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	190.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	190.6	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Tomatoes	64.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	64.8	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Deciduous Orchard	22.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	30.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.8	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	29.7	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Grapes	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	426.8	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	427.2	-0.7	-0.7	-0.6	424.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
10	Pasture	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	23.6	0.0	0.0	-0.2	23.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	23.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	12.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	31.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	31.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	718.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	717.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	718.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	60.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	52.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.5	0.1	0.0	0.1	7.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	102.6	0.0	0.0	-0.5	102.7	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	102.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	1015.1	0.0	0.0	-0.8	1015.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1015.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
11	Pasture	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	40.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	40.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	115.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	207.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	207.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	207.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	Pasture	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	26.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	134.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	134.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	134.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	231.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	230.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	230.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	Pasture	9.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	9.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Alfalfa	24.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	24.2	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Sugar Beets	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	35.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.4	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	35.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Rice	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	114.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	114.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	114.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	193.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	193.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	193.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	25.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	184.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	184.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	184.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	71.4	0.0	0.0	-0.1	71.8	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	71.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Subtropical Orchard	34.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	710.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	711.5	-0.5	-0.5	-0.7	709.9	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
14	Pasture	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	11.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	817.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	817.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	816.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	114.6	0.0	0.0	0.1	114.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	38.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	234.8	0.0	0.0	-0.1	234.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	225.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	1253.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1253.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1241.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
15	Pasture	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	51.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	51.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	51.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	51.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	50.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	72.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	72.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	71.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	58.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	41.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	41.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	275.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	275.7	0.0	0.0	-0.1	267.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	683.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	684.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	671.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	Pasture	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	24.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	Small Grain	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	119.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	119.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	119.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	5.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	33.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	224.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	224.5	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	224.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
17	Pasture	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	60.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	112.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	112.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	112.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	236.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	236.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	236.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	11.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	131.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	131.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	131.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	565.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	565.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	562.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	Pasture	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	36.7	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	36.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	46.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	46.7	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	44.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	78.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	78.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	77.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	106.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	106.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	106.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	24.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	24.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	22.7	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Grapes	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	121.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	193.5	0.0	0.0	-0.1	194.6	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6	186.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	363.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	363.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	363.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	974.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	976.1	-1.0	-1.0	-1.0	961.5	0.1	0.1	0.1
19	Pasture	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	15.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	147.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	147.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	147.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	80.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	80.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	33.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	125.2	0.0	0.0	-0.1	125.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	122.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	17.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	433.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	433.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	429.7	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 18 VALUE OF PRODUCTION BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Crop Category	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
20	Pasture	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	7.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	251.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	251.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	251.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	81.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	109.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	109.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	109.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	35.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	35.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	32.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	115.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	115.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	**603.9**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**604.1**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**600.4**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**
21	Pasture	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Alfalfa	16.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	16.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Sugar Beets	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	6.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Other Field Crops	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Rice	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Truck Crops	661.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	661.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	661.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Tomatoes	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Deciduous Orchard	39.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	39.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Small Grain	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Grapes	122.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	122.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	122.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Cotton	128.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	128.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	126.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtropical Orchard	59.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	59.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Subtotal	**1047.6**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**1047.6**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**1045.7**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**

NOTES:

1. All values in millions of 1992 dollars.
2. A negative value represents a lower gross revenue in an alternative than in the Preferred Alternative.
3. Not all 12 crops are grown in all subregions.
4. Subregions 3 and 3B should be added together to get the complete subregion 3. 3B represents the area within this subregion served by the Tehama Colusa Canal

TABLE 19 CHANGES IN NET REVENUE BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Cause of Net Revenue Change	Change Compared to Average PA			Change Compared to Wet PA			Change Compared to Dry PA		
		Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry
		Followed By Average			Followed By Wet			Followed By Dry		
1	Fallowed Land	-0.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Irrigation Cost	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2
	CVP Water Cost	-0.3	-0.2	-0.1	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**-0.2**	**0.0**	**0.1**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**
2	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	-0.3	0.0	0.0	-0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.2	0.0	-0.1	0.6	0.2	-0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.2**	**0.0**	**-0.2**	**0.8**	**0.4**	**-0.7**	**-1.2**	**-1.2**	**-1.1**
3	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	-0.6	-0.6	-0.6
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.3**	**0.3**	**0.3**	**0.5**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**
3B	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	-6.4	0.0	0.0	-3.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	-1.4	-1.4	4.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.4	-1.4	-3.7	4.7	1.2	-4.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.3
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.4**	**-1.4**	**-10.1**	**3.3**	**-0.1**	**-3.8**	**-0.5**	**-0.5**	**-0.1**
4	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.3**	**0.2**	**0.2**	**0.4**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**

TABLE 19 CHANGES IN NET REVENUE BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Cause of Net Revenue Change	Change Compared to Average PA			Change Compared to Wet PA			Change Compared to Dry PA		
		Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry
		Followed By Average			Followed By Wet			Followed By Dry		
5	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.3	0.4	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.3**	**0.3**	**0.6**	**0.6**	**0.6**	**0.8**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**	**-0.4**
6	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.4**	**-0.2**	**-0.2**	**0.0**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**
7	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.4	-0.4	-0.4
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.1**	**0.1**	**0.2**	**0.2**	**0.2**	**0.2**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**	**-0.3**
8	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.8	0.5	1.6	2.0	1.2	2.8	0.3	0.3	0.4
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.8**	**0.5**	**1.8**	**1.9**	**1.1**	**2.8**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.1**
9	Fallowed Land	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
	Groundwater Pumping	0.6	0.6	0.6	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.3	0.3	0.3
	Irrigation Cost	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
	CVP Water Cost	-1.2	-1.2	-1.2	-2.0	-2.0	-2.0	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**-0.4**	**-0.4**	**0.1**	**-0.7**	**-0.7**	**-0.5**	**0.4**	**0.4**	**0.3**

TABLE 19 CHANGES IN NET REVENUE BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Cause of Net Revenue Change	Change Compared to Average PA			Change Compared to Wet PA			Change Compared to Dry PA		
		Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry
		Followed By Average			Followed By Wet			Followed By Dry		
10	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	6.8	8.3	0.8	8.6	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.1	-0.4	-6.3	-7.9	-0.7	-8.1	-0.2	-0.2	0.1
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	0.1	-0.4	0.8	0.5	0.1	0.7	-0.3	-0.3	0.0
11	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
13	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Groundwater Pumping	-0.8	-0.7	2.7	-1.6	-1.6	4.9	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.8	0.6	-2.1	1.7	1.5	-4.3	0.2	0.2	0.4
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	0.1	-0.1	1.1	0.0	-0.1	0.6	-0.1	-0.1	0.2
14	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	-1.3	-3.5	6.0	-1.8	-6.4	5.5	6.3	6.3	7.3
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	-1.3	-3.5	6.5	-1.8	-6.4	5.7	6.3	6.3	7.3

TABLE 19 CHANGES IN NET REVENUE BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Cause of Net Revenue Change	Change Compared to Average PA			Change Compared to Wet PA			Change Compared to Dry PA		
		Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry
		Followed By Average			Followed By Wet			Followed By Dry		
15	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	1.5	1.5	1.5
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.3	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.4	0.5
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.3**	**0.2**	**0.8**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**0.2**	**1.9**	**1.9**	**1.9**
16	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.7	-0.5	-0.5	-0.5
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.0**
17	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.1	0.1	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.1
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.0**	**-0.1**	**0.3**	**0.1**	**0.0**	**0.2**	**-0.1**	**-0.1**	**0.0**
18	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.8	-0.8	-0.8
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	1.5	1.0	3.3	2.2	1.7	3.9	-0.8	-0.8	0.0
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**1.5**	**1.0**	**3.7**	**1.9**	**1.4**	**3.6**	**-1.6**	**-1.6**	**-0.8**
19	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	0.8	0.8	1.7
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	-0.4
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.5**	**0.5**	**0.8**	**0.3**	**0.3**	**0.4**	**1.3**	**1.3**	**1.3**

TABLE 19 CHANGES IN NET REVENUE BY SUBREGION (Million $)

CVPM Subregion	Cause of Net Revenue Change	Change Compared to Average PA			Change Compared to Wet PA			Change Compared to Dry PA		
		Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry	Average	Wet	Dry
		Followed By Average			Followed By Wet			Followed By Dry		
20	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	0.1	-0.2	0.9	0.3	0.1	1.1	0.2	0.2	0.5
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**0.1**	**-0.2**	**1.1**	**0.3**	**0.1**	**1.1**	**0.0**	**0.0**	**0.3**
21	Fallowed Land	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater Pumping	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2	-0.3	-0.3	-0.3
	Irrigation Cost	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	CVP Water Cost	-0.1	-0.3	0.5	-0.2	-0.5	0.4	0.7	0.7	0.9
	Higher Crop Prices	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**-0.1**	**-0.3**	**0.7**	**-0.4**	**-0.7**	**0.2**	**0.4**	**0.4**	**0.6**
Total	Fallowed Land	-0.1	0.0	-6.8	-0.5	-0.5	-4.7	-0.2	-0.2	-0.2
	Groundwater Pumping	-0.4	-0.4	9.9	5.5	-2.1	17.9	-2.1	-2.1	-1.2
	Irrigation Cost	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3
	CVP Water Cost	1.3	-4.3	-2.3	0.0	-2.9	-6.5	8.0	7.9	9.8
	Higher Crop Prices	0.1	0.0	4.7	0.4	0.4	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Net Change	**1.1**	**-4.4**	**5.6**	**5.7**	**-4.8**	**8.8**	**5.9**	**5.9**	**8.7**

Notes:
1. All values in millions of 1992 dollars
2. A negative value represents a reduction in net revenue compared to the Preferred Alternative
3. Subregions 3 and 3B should be added together to get the complete subregion 3. 3B represents the area within this subregion served by the Tehama Colusa Canal
4. PA is the Preferred Alternative

TABLE 20 IRRIGATION WATER APPLIED BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Water Source	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
1	CVP Water	19.3	-10.8	-6.4	-5.4	20.5	-13.0	-13.0	-13.0	21.0	-13.5	-13.5	-13.5
	Groundwater	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	-1.5	-1.5	-1.5
2	CVP Water	27.7	0.0	0.0	-21.6	37.1	0.0	0.1	-36.7	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	512.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	506.4	-0.4	-0.5	0.0	584.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
3	CVP Water	170.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	174.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	154.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	248.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	227.0	-1.8	-1.8	-1.3	355.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
3B	CVP Water	199.6	0.1	0.0	-199.6	227.0	39.3	39.1	-227.0	50.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1
	Groundwater	78.7	-0.1	0.0	0.0	50.4	-38.7	-38.5	99.6	191.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
4	CVP Water	129.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	133.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	113.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	326.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	305.1	-0.5	-0.5	-0.2	442.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
5	CVP Water	19.9	0.1	0.0	0.1	20.8	0.1	0.0	0.0	17.8	0.0	-0.1	0.0
	Groundwater	492.6	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	449.3	-3.7	-3.6	-3.1	588.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
6	CVP Water	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	452.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	447.6	-6.9	-6.8	-6.6	521.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
7	CVP Water	22.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	19.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	193.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	177.9	-0.7	-0.7	-0.5	217.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
8	CVP Water	51.6	0.1	0.0	-0.1	79.4	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	25.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1
	Groundwater	756.4	-0.1	0.0	0.1	717.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	851.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
9	CVP Water	28.2	-28.2	-28.2	-28.2	48.1	-48.1	-48.1	-48.1	11.5	-11.5	-11.5	-11.5
	Groundwater	80.3	17.9	17.9	18.7	70.2	35.4	35.4	35.8	100.1	11.5	11.5	11.4
10	CVP Water	183.4	0.0	0.0	-183.4	234.4	-228.4	-22.8	-234.4	92.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	498.2	0.0	0.0	179.4	414.4	227.7	22.7	233.7	632.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
11	CVP Water	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	34.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	26.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	34.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
12	CVP Water	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	173.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	141.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	228.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
13	CVP Water	163.6	16.7	16.6	-60.2	159.0	33.2	33.1	-113.1	128.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	912.5	-16.7	-16.6	60.2	812.0	-36.2	-36.1	109.1	1,161.4	-3.8	-3.8	-3.8
14	CVP Water	524.4	0.1	0.0	0.1	719.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	230.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	826.3	-0.1	0.0	-0.1	603.6	-0.1	0.0	0.0	1,176.4	0.0	0.0	0.0

TABLE 20 IRRIGATION WATER APPLIED BY SUBREGION

CVPM Subregion	Water Source	Preferred Alternative Average	Changes Compared to Average PA			Preferred Alternative Wet	Changes Compared to Wet PA			Preferred Alternative Dry	Changes Compared to Dry PA		
			Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry		Average	Wet	Dry
			Followed by Average				Followed by Wet				Followed by Dry		
15	CVP Water	35.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	36.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Groundwater	1,276.6	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	1,099.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	1,600.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
16	CVP Water	16.2	-16.2	-16.2	-16.2	15.7	-15.7	-15.7	-15.7	12.9	-12.9	-12.9	-12.9
	Groundwater	49.6	14.9	14.8	15.0	0.0	13.2	13.2	13.2	107.3	11.5	11.5	11.5
17	CVP Water	34.6	3.9	3.8	4.0	32.5	7.4	7.3	7.4	27.1	0.0	0.0	0.1
	Groundwater	415.1	-3.8	-3.8	-3.9	303.2	-7.4	-7.2	-7.4	577.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
18	CVP Water	517.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	526.3	0.0	0.0	0.1	399.0	0.0	0.0	0.1
	Groundwater	1,018.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	821.8	-4.0	-4.0	-3.8	1,334.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
19	CVP Water	13.3	-0.1	0.0	0.1	15.4	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	9.4	0.0	0.0	-9.4
	Groundwater	366.8	0.1	0.0	-0.1	250.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	578.4	0.0	0.0	9.4
20	CVP Water	208.7	0.1	0.1	-0.2	219.8	0.1	0.1	-0.1	154.1	0.0	0.0	-0.1
	Groundwater	303.8	-0.1	-0.1	0.1	244.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	437.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
21	CVP Water	138.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1	163.0	0.0	0.1	-0.1	89.3	0.0	0.0	-0.1
	Groundwater	579.4	0.0	0.0	0.1	445.2	0.0	-0.1	0.0	783.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	CVP Water	2,505.5	-34.4	-30.4	-510.5	2,888.2	-224.9	-19.8	-680.6	1,593.9	-37.7	-37.8	-47.2
	Groundwater	9,596.5	11.9	12.3	269.2	8,114.6	175.7	-28.8	468.5	12,527.1	17.5	17.5	26.8

Notes:
1. All quantities in thousands of acre-feet
2. A negative value represents a lower quantitity than in the Preferred Alternative
3. Subregions 3 and 3B should be added together to get the complete subregion 3. 3B represents the area within this subregion served by the Tehama Colusa Canal
4. PA is the Preferred Alternative

TABLE 21 SUBREGION ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN WATER USE

Subregion	Outcome	Explanation
1	Decrease in CVP use and no GW substitution in all sequences	Less CVP water is used than in the Preferred Alternative because the blended price is 140% to 330% higher than the Preferred Alternative Tier 1 (the only tier of water that was used for this scenario). For hydrologic reasons, subregion 1 is restricted from switching to groundwater
2	Decrease in CVP use and no GW substitution in Dry to Average and Dry to Wet sequences	Less CVP water is used than in the Preferred Alternative because the blended prices for the Dry to Average and Dry to Wet sequences are 320% and 345% higher than the Preferred Alternative Tier 1 price (the only water tier that was used for this scenario). For hydrologic reasons, subregion 2 is restricted from switching to groundwater
3B	Decrease CVP and no GW substitution in Dry to Average sequence	Less CVP water is used than in the Preferred Alternative because the blended price is 240% higher than the Tier 1 price from the Preferred Alternative, which is the only tier of water that was used. For hydrologic reasons the region is restricted from switching to groundwater in this long-run scenario
3B	Decrease in CVP use and GW substitution in Dry to Wet sequence	CVP water use decreases because the blended price is 260% higher than the Preferred Alternative Tier 1 price. The model allowed a shift to groundwater on a short run basis to provide water to permanent crops during the wet year when groundwater
3B	Shift from Groundwater to CVP water in Avarage to Wet and Wet to Wet sequences	In the Preferred Alternative wet year analysis subregion 3B has 39 TAF of water that falls in Tiers 2 or 3. Under the LTCR blended pricing mechanism all of the subregions CVP water is prices at a level that is lower than the Preferred Alternative Tier 2. This additional affordable CVP water is used resulting in a less groundwater being pumped.
9	Shift from CVP to Groundwater in all sequences	The blended price of CVP water in subregion 9 is greater than the groundwater pumping cost resulting in the shift from CVP to groundwater
10	Shift from CVP to Groundwater in Dry to Average and Average,Wet and Dry to Wet sequences	Due to an increase in the CVP price relative to the Preferred Alternative, the depth to which groundwater can be affordable pumped increases resulting in the shift from CVP supplies to groundwater
13	Shift from groundwater to CVP in Average to Average, Wet to Aaverage, Average to Wet and Wet to Wet sequences	In the Preferred Alternative Average and Wet conditions subregion 13 had water classified as Tier 2 or Tier 3 which was not affordable, and pumped groundwater to supplement it's Tier 1 supply down to a depth at which it was no longer affordable. In the LTCR sequences, the blended price is less expensive than the Preferred Alternative upper Tier price, therefor a shift is made from the deepest groundwater to

TABLE 21 SUBREGION ANALYSIS OF SIGNIFICANT CHANGES IN WATER USE

Subregion	Outcome	Explanation
13	Shift from CVP to Groundwater in Dry to Average and Dry to Wet sequences	Under the LTCR blended price mechanism, when coming out of a drought into a Average or Wet year the blended price increases. In these situations, shallow groundwater is less expensive than the CVP blended price. As more groundwater is pumped the cost increases as the pump lift increases and the cost eventually becomes greater than the CVP blended price. When this happens the remainder of
16	Shift from CVP to Groundwater in all sequences	The blended price of CVP water in subregion 16 is greater than the groundwater pumping cost resulting in the shift from CVP to groundwater
17	Shift from groundwater to CVP	In the Preferred Alternative Average and Wet conditions this subregion had water classified as Tier 2 or Tier 3 which was not affordable. The subregion pumped groundwater down to a depth at which it was no longer affordable to supplement the CVP water is was able to afford. In the LTCR sequences, the blended price is less expensive than the least expensive CVP tier that was not used, therefor a shift is made from the deepest groundwater to the now affordable CVP supply.
19	Shift from CVP to Groundwater in Dry to Dry sequence	The blended pricing causes the Dry to Dry CVP water cost to rise higher than the groundwater pumping cost resulting in the shift from CVP to groundwater

SECTION 2
MUNICIPAL AND INDUSTRIAL WATER USE ECONOMICS

TABLE 22

SUMMARY OF M&I ECONOMICS ANALYSIS FOR AVERAGE AND DRY YEAR CONDITIONS

Result	Preferred Alternative Average	Change from the Preferred Alternative Average		
		Average-Average	Dry-Average	Wet-Average
Average Condition Supplies, 1,000 acre-feet (1)				
Sacramento Valley	929.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bay Area	1024.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Joaquin Valley	704.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Central and South Coast	5921.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Average Condition Economic Costs, Million $ (2)				
Sacramento Valley	1.1	4.1	4.3	4.1
Bay Area	3.5	4.6	4.6	4.6
San Joaquin Valley	0.3	5.2	5.2	5.2
Central and South Coast	649.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Preferred Alternative Dry	**Change from the Preferred Alternative Dry**		
		Average-Dry	Dry-Dry	Wet-Dry
Dry Condition Supplies 1,000 acre-feet (3)				
Sacramento Valley	976.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bay Area	832.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Joaquin Valley	656.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Central and South Coast	4987.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Annual Additional Cost of Dry Condition, Million $ (4)				
Sacramento Valley	11.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Bay Area	222.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
San Joaquin Valley	19.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Central and South Coast	1229.8	0.0	0.0	0.0

NOTES:

Water transfers not considered as replacement supplies in this comparison.

(1) After purchase or development of non-transfer replacement supplies to make supply equal demand.

(2) Total costs include replacement supplies, restoration payments and metering. A negative cost means a net gain is estimated.

(3) Before development of any replacement supplies. A positive means the Alternative provides more water supply than the No-Action Alternative.

(4) The annual cost of shortage following the average condition is in addition to the average costs.

SECTION 3
REGIONAL ECONOMICS

REGIONAL ECONOMICS

This analysis identifies the regional economic impacts of two out of the nine total Long Term Contract Renewal sequences; Average-Average, and Dry-Average. The regional economic analysis is restricted to these sequences because they are the only sequences that represent long-run conditions. The Input-Output model used in the regional economic analysis assumes a long run equilibrium is reached, therefore it is inappropriate to model short run responses represented by the Wet and Dry year conditions. While the Dry-Average sequence is not strictly a long-run scenario, as described in the Agricultural and Land Use and Economics section, there are some regions that will be permanently impacted by a five year series of drought years. Because of this the results can be considered long run.

The assumptions and baseline data used in this analysis are the same as what was used in the Preferred Alternative. Tables 23 and 24 show the results of the average-average sequence, Tables 25 and 26 the wet-average sequence, and Tables 27 and 28 the dry-average sequence. Tables 23, 25, and 27 present the impacts by economic sectors that are aggregations of SIC industries. Tables 24, 26, and 28 present the regional economic impacts broken out by the source of the impact including reduced agricultural output, change in farm net incomes, and changes in M&I water costs. Note that regional economic impacts are not reported for the North Coast or the Central and South Coast regions because the rolling five year average tiered pricing mechanism has no impact on these regions.

AVERAGE YEAR FOLLOWING AVERAGE BASE CONDITION

Total impacts of the Average-Average scenario relative to the Preferred Alternative include losses of about 120 jobs, $7.2 million in output, and $3.9 million in PoW income. Table 23 shows the employment, output and income effects on all sectors in each regional economy of the long-term contract renewals. Most of the impacts are felt in the Manufacturing, Trade and Services sectors. These impacts are derived from the impact to net income.

The economic impacts by region from each source can be seen in Table 24. Reduction in net income resulting from changes in CVP water cost, groundwater pumping, irrigation costs and changes in crop prices have the greatest impact at the statewide level.

DRY YEAR FOLLOWING AVERAGE BASE CONDITION

The total impacts to the State economy of the new pricing mechanism when coming out of drought conditions relative the Preferred Alternative include losses of about 2,450 jobs, $206.2 million in output, and $93.1 million in PoW income. Table 27 shows the employment, output and income effects for each regional economy and the State as a whole broken out by the impacted sectors.

Table 28 shows how each of the impact sources contribute to the total impact. The reduction in agricultural output in the Sacramento River region relative to the Preferred Alternative dominates the Statewide impact.

TABLE 23

REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACTS ON ALL SECTORS FOR THE AVERAGE TO AVERAGE SEQUENCE COMPARED TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AVERAGE

Region Directly Impacted	Impacts on all Sectors					
	Employment (# of jobs)		Output ($MM)		PoW Income ($MM)	
	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Sacramento River						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	-10	-20	-0.5	-1.2	-0.2	-0.6
Reduced Net Income	-20	-50	-0.9	-2.3	-0.5	-1.3
Total Agriculture	-30	-60	-1.4	-3.5	-0.7	-1.9
M&I Water Costs	-60	-130	-3.9	-8.5	-2.0	-4.7
TOTAL 1/	-90	-190	-5.3	-12.0	-2.8	-6.6
San Joaquin River						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2
Reduced Net Income	20	40	0.8	1.8	0.5	1.0
Total Agriculture	20	30	0.7	1.5	0.4	0.9
M&I Water Costs	-80	-150	-5.0	-9.4	-2.6	-5.1
TOTAL 1/	-60	-120	-4.3	-7.9	-2.2	-4.2
Tulare Lake						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reduced Net Income	-50	-80	-2.1	-4.1	-1.1	-2.2
Total Agriculture	-50	-80	-2.1	-4.1	-1.1	-2.2
M&I Water Costs	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL 1/	-50	-80	-2.1	-4.1	-1.1	-2.2
Bay Area						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reduced Net Income	0	-10	-0.2	-0.4	-0.1	-0.2
Total Agriculture	0	-10	-0.2	-0.4	-0.1	-0.2
M&I Water Costs	-60	-130	-4.4	-9.4	-2.4	-5.4
TOTAL 1/	-60	-130	-4.6	-9.8	-2.5	-5.6
California Total						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	-10	-20	-0.7	-1.5	-0.3	-0.8
Reduced Net Income	-50	-100	-2.3	-5.0	-1.2	-2.7
Total Agriculture	-60	-120	-3.0	-6.5	-1.6	-3.5
M&I Water Costs	-200	-410	-13.3	-27.4	-7.0	-15.1
TOTAL 1/	-260	-530	-16.3	-33.9	-8.6	-18.6

Note: (1) May differ from sum of elements due to rounding.

TABLE 24

REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE AVERAGE TO AVERAGE HYDROLOGIC SEQUENCE
COMPARED TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AVERAGE YEAR CONDITION

Region and Affected Sector	Employment (# of jobs)		Output ($MM)		PoW Income ($MM)	
	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Sacramento River						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	-10	-10	-0.4	-0.5	-0.2	-0.3
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-10	-20	-1.6	-2.2	-0.6	-0.8
TCU	0	-10	-0.2	-0.9	-0.1	-0.5
Trade	-40	-70	-1.1	-2.1	-0.7	-1.3
FIRE	-10	-20	-0.8	-2.6	-0.5	-1.7
Services	-20	-60	-0.9	-2.8	-0.6	-1.7
Government	0	-10	-0.2	-0.7	-0.1	-0.3
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-90	-190	-5.3	-12.0	-2.8	-6.6
San Joaquin River						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	-10	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1
Mining	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-10	-10	-0.8	-1.1	-0.2	-0.3
TCU	0	-10	-0.3	-0.6	-0.2	-0.3
Trade	-10	-30	-0.4	-1.1	-0.2	-0.6
FIRE	-10	-20	-1.1	-2.1	-0.7	-1.3
Services	-30	-50	-1.2	-2.2	-0.7	-1.3
Government	0	0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-60	-120	-4.3	-7.9	-2.2	-4.2
Tulare Lake						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manufacturing	-10	-10	-1.0	-1.3	-0.4	-1.3
TCU	0	0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.2
Trade	-40	-50	-1.0	-1.4	-0.7	-1.4
FIRE	0	0	0.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.4
Services	0	-10	0.0	-0.6	0.0	-0.6
Government	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-50	-60	-2.1	-4.1	-1.1	-4.1
Bay Area						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-10	-10	-1.2	-1.9	-0.4	-0.7
TCU	0	-10	-0.3	-0.8	-0.2	-0.4
Trade	-20	-40	-0.9	-1.7	-0.5	-1.0
FIRE	-10	-20	-1.0	-2.3	-0.6	-1.5
Services	-20	-50	-1.1	-2.6	-0.7	-1.6
Government	0	0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-60	-130	-4.6	-9.8	-2.5	-5.6
California Total						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	-10	-20	-0.6	-0.9	-0.3	-0.5
Mining	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	-10	0.0	-0.5	0.0	-0.3
Manufacturing	-30	-50	-4.7	-6.5	-1.6	-3.1
TCU	-10	-20	-0.8	-2.5	-0.4	-1.4
Trade	-110	-190	-3.4	-6.3	-2.2	-4.4
FIRE	-20	-60	-2.9	-7.4	-1.8	-4.9
Services	-70	-180	-3.2	-8.1	-1.9	-5.2
Government	0	-10	-0.6	-1.4	-0.3	-0.7
Misc	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
TOTAL/1	-260	-530	-16.3	-33.9	-8.6	-20.5

Note:(1) May differ from sum of elements because of rounding

Table 25

REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACTS ON ALL SECTORS FOR THE AVERAGE TO WET SEQUENCE COMPARED TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AVERAGE

Region Directly Impacted	Impacts on all Sectors					
	Employment (# of jobs)		Output ($MM)		PoW Income ($MM)	
	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Sacramento River						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	-10	-0.4	-0.8	-0.2	-0.4
Reduced Net Income	30	50	1.0	2.6	0.5	1.4
Total Agriculture	20	40	0.6	1.8	0.4	1.0
M&I Water Costs	-60	-130	-3.9	-8.5	-2.0	-4.7
TOTAL 1/	**-40**	**-90**	**-3.3**	**-6.7**	**-1.6**	**-3.6**
San Joaquin River						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2
Reduced Net Income	100	170	3.7	8.1	2.1	4.5
Total Agriculture	90	160	3.6	7.8	2.0	4.4
M&I Water Costs	-80	-150	-5.0	-9.4	-2.6	-5.1
TOTAL 1/	**20**	**10**	**-1.4**	**-1.6**	**-0.6**	**-0.7**
Tulare Lake						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reduced Net Income	-30	-40	-1.1	-2.1	-0.6	-1.1
Total Agriculture	-30	-40	-1.1	-2.1	-0.6	-1.1
M&I Water Costs	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL 1/	**-30**	**-40**	**-1.1**	**-2.1**	**-0.6**	**-1.1**
Bay Area						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reduced Net Income	0	0	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.1
Total Agriculture	0	0	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.1
M&I Water Costs	-60	-130	-4.4	-9.4	-2.4	-5.4
TOTAL 1/	**-60**	**-130**	**-4.5**	**-9.6**	**-2.5**	**-5.5**
California Total						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	-10	-0.5	-1.1	-0.2	-0.6
Reduced Net Income	100	180	3.6	8.4	2.0	4.7
Total Agriculture	100	170	3.0	7.3	1.7	4.2
M&I Water Costs	-200	-410	-13.3	-27.4	-7.0	-15.1
TOTAL 1/	**-100**	**-240**	**-10.3**	**-20.1**	**-5.3**	**-11.0**

Note: (1) May differ from sum of elements due to rounding.

TABLE 26

REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE AVERAGE TO WET HYDROLOGIC SEQUENCE COMPARED TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AVERAGE YEAR CONDITION

	Employment (# of jobs)		Output ($MM)		PoW Income ($MM)	
Region and Affected Sector	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Sacramento River						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	-10	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	0	-10	-0.7	-0.9	-0.2	-0.3
TCU	0	0	-0.2	-0.6	-0.1	-0.3
Trade	0	-10	-0.2	-0.7	0.0	-0.3
FIRE	-10	-20	-0.8	-1.8	-0.5	-1.1
Services	-20	-40	-0.9	-1.9	-0.6	-1.1
Government	0	0	-0.2	-0.5	-0.1	-0.2
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-40	-90	-3.3	-6.7	-1.6	-3.6
San Joaquin River						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	0	-0.1	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
Mining	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Manufacturing	10	10	0.6	0.8	0.3	0.4
TCU	0	0	-0.3	-0.4	-0.2	-0.2
Trade	60	60	1.0	1.1	0.8	0.9
FIRE	-10	-10	-1.1	-1.2	-0.7	-0.8
Services	-30	-30	-1.2	-1.2	-0.7	-0.7
Government	0	0	-0.2	-0.2	-0.1	-0.1
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	20	10	-1.4	-1.6	-0.6	-0.7
Tulare Lake						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Manufacturing	0	-10	-0.5	-0.7	-0.2	-0.7
TCU	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Trade	-20	-30	-0.5	-0.7	-0.4	-0.7
FIRE	0	0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.2
Services	0	-10	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.3
Government	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-30	-40	-1.1	-2.1	-0.6	-2.1
Bay Area						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-10	-10	-1.2	-1.9	-0.4	-0.7
TCU	0	-10	-0.3	-0.8	-0.2	-0.4
Trade	-20	-40	-0.8	-1.6	-0.5	-1.0
FIRE	-10	-10	-1.0	-2.2	-0.6	-1.5
Services	-20	-50	-1.1	-2.6	-0.7	-1.6
Government	0	0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.1
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-60	-130	-4.5	-9.6	-2.5	-5.5
California Total						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	-10	-10	-0.4	-0.7	-0.2	-0.3
Mining	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.2
Manufacturing	-10	-10	-1.7	-2.7	-0.5	-1.2
TCU	-10	-10	-0.8	-1.8	-0.4	-1.0
Trade	20	-20	-0.5	-1.9	-0.1	-1.2
FIRE	-20	-40	-2.9	-5.5	-1.8	-3.6
Services	-70	-130	-3.2	-5.9	-1.9	-3.8
Government	0	-10	-0.6	-1.0	-0.3	-0.5
Misc	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
TOTAL/1	-100	-250	-10.3	-20.1	-5.3	-12.0

Note:(1) May differ from sum of elements because of rounding

TABLE 27

REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACTS ON ALL SECTORS FOR THE AVERAGE TO DRY SEQUENCE COMPARED TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AVERAGE

Region Directly Impacted	Impacts on all Sectors					
	Employment (# of jobs)		Output ($MM)		PoW Income ($MM)	
	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Sacramento River						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	-700	-2240	-92.1	-194.5	-30.8	-86.9
Reduced Net Income	130	240	4.7	12.4	2.6	6.9
Total Agriculture	-570	-2000	-87.4	-182.1	-28.2	-80.0
M&I Water Costs	-60	-140	0.4	-0.9	-0.2	-0.5
TOTAL 1/	**-630**	**-2140**	**-91.8**	**-191.6**	**-30.5**	**-85.2**
San Joaquin River						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	-10	-20	-0.7	-1.5	-0.3	-0.7
Reduced Net Income	-140	-240	-5.4	-11.7	-3.0	-6.5
Total Agriculture	-150	-270	-6.1	-13.2	-3.3	-7.3
M&I Water Costs	-80	-150	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL 1/	**-230**	**-420**	**-11.0**	**-22.7**	**-5.9**	**-12.4**
Tulare Lake						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	-10	-0.2	-0.5	-0.1	-0.2
Reduced Net Income	-100	-170	-3.6	-7.1	-1.9	-3.8
Total Agriculture	-100	-170	-3.8	-7.6	-2.0	-4.0
M&I Water Costs	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL 1/	**-100**	**-170**	**-4.4**	**-8.8**	**-2.3**	**-4.6**
Bay Area						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Reduced Net Income	-10	-20	-0.6	-1.4	-0.3	-0.8
Total Agriculture	-10	-20	-0.6	-1.4	-0.3	-0.8
M&I Water Costs	-60	-130	-0.5	-1.1	-0.3	-0.6
TOTAL 1/	**-70**	**-150**	**-5.0**	**-10.8**	**-2.6**	**-6.2**
California Total						
Agriculture						
Reduced Output	-710	-2270	-93.0	-196.5	-31.2	-87.9
Reduced Net Income	-120	-190	-4.8	-7.8	-2.6	-4.1
Total Agriculture	-830	-2460	-97.8	-204.3	-33.8	-92.0
M&I Water Costs	-200	-420	-0.1	-1.9	-0.5	-1.1
TOTAL 1/	**-1030**	**-2880**	**-112.2**	**-233.8**	**-41.4**	**-108.3**

Note: (1) May differ from sum of elements due to rounding.

TABLE 28

REGIONAL ECONOMIC IMPACT OF THE AVERAGE TO DRY HYDROLOGIC SEQUENCE
COMPARED TO THE PREFERRED ALTERNATIVE AVERAGE YEAR CONDITION

Region and Affected Sector	Employment (# of jobs)		Output ($MM)		PoW Income ($MM)	
	Direct	Total	Direct	Total	Direct	Total
Sacramento River						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	-450	-630	-26.1	-33.0	-13.4	-16.6
Mining	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	-30	0.0	-2.1	0.0	-1.2
Manufacturing	-230	-290	-64.9	-73.1	-16.9	-19.6
TCU	0	-120	-0.2	-16.8	-0.1	-7.5
Trade	90	-310	1.6	-13.8	1.2	-8.1
FIRE	-10	-200	-0.9	-22.7	-0.5	-14.6
Services	-20	-500	-1.0	-22.8	-0.6	-13.6
Government	0	-50	-0.2	-7.2	-0.1	-3.5
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-530	-2130	-91.8	-191.6	-30.5	-85.2
San Joaquin River						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	-10	-20	-0.8	-1.2	-0.4	-0.5
Mining	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.3	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-30	-40	-3.8	-5.1	-1.4	-1.9
TCU	0	-10	-0.3	-1.2	-0.2	-0.6
Trade	-140	-210	-3.6	-5.6	-2.4	-3.7
FIRE	-10	-30	-1.1	-4.2	-0.7	-2.7
Services	-30	-100	-1.2	-4.3	-0.7	-2.6
Government	0	-10	-0.2	-0.5	-0.1	-0.2
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-230	-420	-11.0	-22.7	-5.9	-12.4
Tulare Lake						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	-10	-0.3	-0.4	-0.1	-0.4
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-20	-20	-2.1	-2.7	-0.7	-2.7
TCU	0	0	0.0	-0.4	0.0	-0.4
Trade	-80	-110	-2.1	-2.9	-1.5	-2.9
FIRE	0	-10	0.0	-0.9	0.0	-0.9
Services	0	-30	0.0	-1.2	0.0	-1.2
Government	0	0	0.0	-0.2	0.0	-0.2
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-100	-170	-4.4	-8.8	-2.3	-8.8
Bay Area						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0
Mining	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Construction	0	0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	-0.1
Manufacturing	-10	-10	-1.4	-2.2	-0.5	-0.8
TCU	0	-10	-0.3	-0.8	-0.2	-0.4
Trade	-30	-50	-1.1	-2.0	-0.7	-1.3
FIRE	-10	-20	-1.0	-2.4	-0.6	-1.6
Services	-20	-60	-1.1	-2.8	-0.7	-1.8
Government	0	0	-0.2	-0.3	-0.1	-0.2
Misc	0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
TOTAL/1	-70	-150	-5.0	-10.8	-2.8	-6.2
California Total						
Agric., Frst., Fish.	-470	-660	-27.2	-34.6	-13.9	-17.5
Mining	0	0	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	-0.1
Construction	0	-40	0.0	-2.6	0.0	-1.5
Manufacturing	-290	-370	-72.2	-83.1	-19.6	-25.2
TCU	-10	-140	-0.8	-19.3	-0.4	-8.9
Trade	-170	-680	-5.0	-24.5	-3.3	-16.0
FIRE	-20	-260	-2.9	-30.2	-1.8	-19.8
Services	-70	-680	-3.3	-31.1	-2.0	-19.3
Government	0	-60	-0.6	-8.2	-0.3	-4.1
Misc	0	0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1	-0.1
TOTAL/1	-1030	-2880	-112.2	-233.8	-41.4	-112.5

Note:(1) May differ from sum of elements because of rounding